PRINTED: 05/19/2011 FORM APPROVED

California Department of Public Health (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X3) DATE SURVEY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION AND PLAN OF CORRECTION COMPLETED IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: A. BUILDING C B. WING CA050000039 07/10/2009 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 3291 LOMA VISTA RD VENTURA COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER VENTURA, CA 93003 SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X5) COMPLETE (X4) ID (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE PREFIX PREFIX REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) DATE CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE TAG TAG **DEFICIENCY**) E 000 E 000 Initial Comments Preparation and execution of this plan of The following reflects the findings of the California correction does not constitute admission Department of Public Health, Licensing and or agreement of the facts alleged. Certification, during the investigation of an entity It is being prepared solely because it is reported ADVERSE EVENT. required by Federal and State law. ADVERSE EVENT # CA00192993 Representing the Department: HFE-N The inspection was limited to the specific facility event investigated and does not represent the findings of a full inspection of the facility. T22 DIV5 CH1 ART3-70213(d) Nursing Service E 271 Policies and Procedures. E271: Please see page 2 for corrective action (d) Policies and procedures that require consistency and continuity in patient care, incorporating the nursing process and the medical treatment plan, shall be developed and implemented in cooperation with the medical staff. This Statute is not met as evidenced by: Based on staff interview, medical record review, and facility policy and procedure review the facility failed to implement its written polices and procedures to ensure that sponges, sharps and instruments, used during surgical procedures, are accurately accounted for. Patient A had abdominal surgery on 709. Post operatively Patient A developed increased abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and a swollen abdomen. A second surgery on //09 revealed a surgical towel was left in the patient's abdomen and was removed. Surgical staff failed to provide safe practices for Patient A by failing to ensure the Licensing and Certification Division

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

California Department of Public Health (X3) DATE SURVEY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION AND PLAN OF CORRECTION COMPLETED IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: A. BUILDING C B, WING CA050000039 07/10/2009 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 3291 LOMA VISTA RD **VENTURA COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER** VENTURA; CA 93003 SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X4) ID ()(5) (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE PREFIX PREFIX DATE CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE TAG REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) TAG DEFICIENCY) E 271 Continued From page 1 E 271 D S UBI CA scrub technician and the circulating nurse audibly >0 and visually accounted for the use of the surgical 00 $\sigma \varsigma$ towel. In addition, the perioperative record dated CERTIF /09 lacked the signature of the participating scrub technician, verifying the results of the counts with the circulating nurse, as required per ⇔ facility policy and procedure. = Findings: Review of the facility's policy and procedure titled E271- Policy was reviewed that relates to "Accountability for Sponges, Sharps, and documentation on the surgical record. Instruments" Purpose "To provide guidelines of Education was provided to all circulating accountability for sponges, sharps, and nurses in the OR related to proper docuinstruments uses during a surgical procedure. To mentation, which includes documenting provide safe practice for the surgical patient, the "initials" of the OR scrub tech. The prevent patient injury and adhere to legal improper documentation of "ST" short for standards." The policy stated in part... "all counts scrub tech was discussed and all staff shall be audibly and visually performed according were reminded that this is an inappropriate to procedure by the circulating nurse and scrub documentation. The OR Director and nurse." The circulator may allow another licensed Nurse Manager are responsible to audit nurse to count with the scrub nurse, but the name this on the surgical record. For on-going of the licensed person must appear on the quality improvement these audits are pre-Peri-Operative record. The circulating nurse and sented at the Surgery Committee meeting scrub nurse shall document and sign the on a quarterly basis. This process was Peri-Operative Record with the results of the Sep 2009 completed in September of 2009 and we counts. Patients are not to leave the Operating continue to audit the surgical record as Room until missing items are accounted for." of now Jun 2011 Clinical record review beginning on 6/29/09 at 9:00 a.m. revealed that Patient A was admitted to the hospital on 100 for a scheduled laparoscopy, possible laparotomy, to close a colostomy (opening in to abdomen to divert feces). A laparotomy with closure of the colostomy was completed and the patient was discharged home on According to the Operative Room Nursing Record

dated 100/09, three registered nurses (RN 1, 2,

California Department of Public Health (X3) DATE SURVEY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION AND PLAN OF CORRECTION COMPLETED IDENTIFICATION NUMBER A. BUILDING B. WING CA050000039 07/10/2009 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 3291 LOMA VISTA RD VENTURA COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER VENTURA, CA 93003 SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X4) ID ID (X5) COMPLETE (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE **PREFIX** PREFIX REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE TAG TAG DEFICIENCY E 271 Continued From page 2 E 271 E271- VCMC did a complete review of this 3), two surgical technicians (ST 1, 2) and a incident immediately upon discovery. We student surgical technician were in the operating interviewed all staff involved, as well as room, at various times during the surgical the surgeon and anesthesiologist. We procedure. RN 1 was assigned the primary discovered that there were processes responsibility for circulating the procedure and ST that could be improved on. While everyone 1 was the primary scrub technician. The in the room during the surgical procedure documentation of the counts, recorded on the agreed that the count was correct and 109 OR Nursing record RN 3 did the initial followed the policy for counting, there were count. RN 1 did the 1st and 2nd counts, and RN system issues that did not prevent the 2 did the final count. According to the towel from being left in. We reviewed our documentation all counts were correct. policy for counting and made revisions to the policy. The surgery nurse manager Further review of the count verifications revealed and the Chief Nurse Executive provided that the only count initialed by the surgical inservice education to all staff who circulate technician(s) as accurate was the initial count or scrub in a surgical case regarding the with RN 3. The subsequent counts (1st, 2nd and change in the process for counting, which 3rd), although initialed by RN 1 and RN 2, had included new white boards in each room only "ST" written in the verifying column where to document every single thing that is the scrub nurse/tech would document their entered into the surgical field. Towels initials. In an interview with DON on 6/30/09 she had not been listed on the count board stated that the letters "ST" were recorded in the prior to this and it was felt that by providing scrub nurse/technician column by RN 1 because a tool to document a towel in the field staff she was not sure of the name of scrub tech she would be aware to include this in the final and RN 2 had verified the counts with. There count. This process is audited by the was no documentation to indicate the surgical technicians documented and signed the OR Director and Nurse Manager, random! Sep 2009 Peri-Operative Record with the results of the 1st. on a weekly basis. This continues to be a focus of audits as 2nd and 3rd counts verifying the accuracy of the counts, as required by facility policy and Jun 2011 procedure. /09 Patient A presented at the emergency department with complaints of increased abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and abdominal bloating. Radiological studies done at this time showed a distended bowel and a "swirt-like material" and a "band-like foreign body." Patient A had a second abdominal surgery on 1/09. According to the postoperative diagnosis on the operative report Patient A had an... "abdominal icensing and Certification Division To alimention sheet 3 of 12 STATE FORM K28H11

California Department of Public Health STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES. (X3) DATE SURVEY (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: COMPLETED A. BUILDING C B. WING CA050000039 07/10/2009 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 3291 LOMA VISTA RD **VENTURA COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER** VENTURA, CA 93003 SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X4) ID in (X5) COMPLETE (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE PREFIX PRÉFIX DATE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE TAG TAG **DEFICIENCY**) E 271 Continued From page 3 E 271 mass post colostomy takedown secondary to a radiopaque towel with small bowel perforation and incidental rectosigmoid perforation. Abdominal abscess secondary to radiopaque. E-271 VCMC's procedure for counting was towel in the right mid abdomen and small bowel reviewed and re-education of staff occurred deserosalization x 2." This was done to be certain that all staff members who participate in the count Interview with surgical technician 1 (ST 1) on process, as well as the surgeons follow 6/29/09 at 11:00 a.m. confirmed that he was the the proper process for counting. Making primary scrub technician for Patient A's sure that time is given for the count to be abdominal surgery on 709. ST 1 stated he carried out and that all members of the remembers holding up two surgical towels and team agree with the count. We also deteraudibly counting "one", "two." However, he could mined that having staff take breaks during not recall which RN counted with him, or whether a procedure might lead to weaknesses in the surgical towels were written on the white board as added to the count. There was no the process, so altered the process for breaks documentation to verify that all counts were to be sure that the surgeon agrees the timing of a break doesn't cause any audibly and visually performed and recorded on the surgical board, according to procedure by the difficulty in maintaining process. There circulating nurse and scrub nurse. was a nurse who started the procedure and a different nurse who ended the pro-During an interview on 6/30/09 at 2:30 p.m. RN 1 cedure. Our policy for counting when a confirmed that she was assigned the primary relief circulating nurse takes over was circulating duties during the Patient A's surgery ammended to include the process of on 709, and that she was responsible for checking with the surgeon prior to a break erisuring that the sponge counts were correct. occurring. The OR Director and Nurse She stated RN 3 completed the initial count (prior Manager are responsible for auditing cases to surgery), she (RN 1) completed the 1st and as the are going on to determine the 2nd counts, and RN 2 completed the 3rd count. process established is being followed. RN 1 stated she did not count any surgical towels This was completed in September of 2009 with ST 1. and continues now. Jun 2011. Interview with RN 3 on 7/9/09 at 10:00 a.m. verified that she completed the initial count with ST 1 before the procedure started and wrote the number of sponges on the white board in the surgical room. She stated she did not count in any surgical towels with ST 1. Interview with RN 2, on 6/29/09 at 9:30 a.m., Licensing and Certification Division If continuation sheet 4 of 12 STATE FORM K28H11

California Department of Public Health (X3) DATE SURVEY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION AND PLAN OF CORRECTION COMPLETED IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: A. BUILDING C B. WING CA050000039 07/10/2009 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 3291 LOMA VISTA RD **VENTURA COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER** VENTURA, CA 93003 (X4) ID SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X5) (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL COMPLETE (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE PREFIX PREFIX DATE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE TAG TAG DEFICIENCY E 271 Continued From page 4 E 271 revealed he came into the room to help RN 1, but did not relieve her. He stated that he did the third count (after the skin was closed) with ST 2 and the student surgical technician. The count was correct with the number of sponges recorded on OF DI the white board. He denied adding any surgical towels to the operative field. On 6/30/09 at 3:00 p.m. an interview with surgical technician 2 (ST 2), revealed he relieved ST 1 at the end of the procedure. The 1st and 2nd Ξ counts were already done when he entered the room, and ST 1 had already left the room. ST 2 stated ST 1 did not give him a report or count sponges with him prior to leaving the room. He stated although he was scrubbed as part of the surgical team, he only supervised the student technician who was already there. T22 DIV5 CH1 ART3-70214(a)(2)(A) Nursing E 278 E276-Review of the process for evaluating Staff Development competency of nurses in the OR was reviewed. The process was altered as it (a) There shall be a written, organized in-service didn't seperate the intial competency education program for all patient care personnel, evaluation from the speciality competency including temporary staff as described in assessment. All Circulating nurses who subsection 70217(m). The program shall include. work in the OR are required to complete but shall not be limited to, orientation and the the initial competency assessment reprocess of competency validation as described in lated to basic working in the OR related subsection 70213(c). to circulting now. Then as they are exposed and become competent to do (2) All patient care personnel, including temporary speciality cases they complete a seperate staff as described in subsection 70217(m), shall competency. This is audited by the be subject to the process of competency OR Director and Nurse Manager. The files validation for their assigned patient care unit or are reviewed annually for completness. Jan 2010 units. Prior to the completion of validation of the competency standards for a patient care unit, patient care assignments shall be subject to the following restrictions: (A) Assignments shall include only those duties

California Department of Public Health STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X3) DATE SURVEY (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: COMPLETED A. BUILDING B. WING CA050000039 07/10/2009 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 3291 LOMA VISTA RD **VENTURA COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER VENTURA, CA 93003** SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION ID (X5) COMPLETE (X4) ID (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE PREFIX PREFIX REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DATE TAG TAG DEFICIENCY) E 276 E 276 Continued From page 5 and responsibilities for which competency has been validated. This Statute is not met as evidenced by: Based on staff interviews and review of two personnel files the facility failed to ensure staff competency was validated, before being assigned the duties and responsibilities of a circulating nurse. Findings: Patient A was admitted for an elective surgical procedure on 109 to remove a colostomy (reconnect the bowel from an opening in the abdomen to the patient's rectum to restore normal bowel function). The patient was discharged home five days later. Patient A presented to the emergency room complaining of nausea, vomiting, increased pain and abdominal bloating on 109, 16 days after the initial surgery or 09. Diagnostic studies were inconclusive and Patient A was returned to surgery on 09. A review of Patient A's operative record, dated /09, on 6/29/09 at 9:00 a.m. revealed RN 1 was assigned the primary responsibility for Patient A's abdominal surgery as the circulating nurse. RN 3 was in the room with RN 1 at the start of the procedure, and RN 2 came into the room to assist the primary circulating nurse (RN 1) almost 2 hours after the surgery began. Review of RN 2's personnel file on 11;30 a.m. revealed he was hired to work in the

Licensing and Certification Division

operating room a year ago, but had no previous

California Department of Public Health (X3) DATE SURVEY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION COMPLETED AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: A. BUILDING B. WING CA050000039 07/10/2009 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 3291 LOMA VISTA RD **VENTURA COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER** VENTURA, CA 93003 SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X4) ID ID (X5) (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE COMPLETE PREFIX DATE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE TAG TAG **DEFICIENCY**) E 276 E 276 Continued From page 6 surgical nursing experience. There was no documentation to verify that he received orientation to the surgical suite, or that he was verified as competent to perform circulating duties, before being assigned cases to do independently. E276- As mentioned previously, we have Interview with RN 2 on 6/29/09 at 9:30 a.m. altered our process to have two competendy revealed he was hired about "a year ago." After evaluations; one that identifies the basic he completed the orientation to the operating competency needed to circulate in the OR room he was "turned loose" to circulate and the second is for specialized equipment independently. RN 2 stated that he was not in the and cases. The Surgical Manager and operating room for the entire procedure on Director are responsible for auditing all RN's /09. He stated that he only completed the final and OR Tech's have completed their count (when the skin was closed), which was competency evaluations. This is an ongoing correct with the number of sponges recorded on quality improvement process for the OR. the white board in the room. All employee files are reviewed annually for ongoing competency evaluation. As A review of RN 1's personnel file on 6/29/09 at well, any new staff member must complete 11:15 a.m. revealed she was hired to work in the a basic competency evaluation prior to operating room in late 2008. According to the file being allowed to work independently in RN 1's previous experience was working on a the OR Jan 2010 medical surgical unit. There was no documentation to indicate that she had previous The OR Director is responsible for experience in the surgical department/operating ongoing evaluation of this process. Jun 2011 room. There was no documentation to verify that RN 1 had completed orientation to the surgical suite, or that she was competent to perform circulating duties before being assigned cases to do independently. In an interview with RN 1 on 6/30/09 at 2:30 p.m. she stated she was able to circulate independently on "routine surgical procedures." A review of the documentation provided by the facility on 7/6/09 revealed the facility had no competency verification requirements for basic surgical nursing skills, duties and/or responsibilities. The current competency list only addressed the use of specialized equipment and

California Department of Public Health STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X3) DATE SURVEY (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION AND PLAN OF CORRECTION COMPLETED IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: A. BUILDING C B. WING CA050000039 07/10/2009 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 3291 LOMA VISTA RD VENTURA COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER VENTURA, CA 93003 SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X4) ID ID (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE COMPLETE PREFIX PREFIX REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DATE TAG TAG VENTUR! DEFICIENCY) E 276 Continued From page 7 F 276 procedures. ۵۵ ISTRI A telephone interview on 7/9/09 at 10:00 a.m. with the RN responsible for training personnel in the OR verified that the competency checklist was about equipment and special procedures and not about general orientation for surgical skills. duties and responsibilities. E 347 T22 DIV5 CH1 ART3-70223(b)(2) Surgical E 347- Immediately following the incident Service General Requirements VCMC began a rigorous review of our practice, policy, and process related to (b) A committee of the medical staff shall be counting of items during a surgical case. assigned responsibility for. We reviewed our policy related to when an (2) Development, maintenance and xray would be performed, established implementation of written policies and procedures that this patient missed every element in consultation with other appropriate health requiring an xray, which is why one didn't professionals and administration. Policies shall occur. Recognizing that by changing our be approved by the governing body. Procedures policy to xray a patient if 30 or more shall be approved by the administration and lap sponges are used in a case we would medical staff where such is appropriate. provide a better safety process. We altered our policy to reflect that. This Statute is not met as evidenced by: Also, at the time of this case, the white DEFICIENCY CONSTITUTING IMMEDIATE "count" board did not have an designated JEOPARDY: T22 DIV 5 CH1-70223(b) area to document the use of a surgical towel. (2)SURGICAL SERVICE GENERAL The practice was to "write it" on the REQUIREMENTS whiteboard. When the towels were intro-Based on staff interview, clinical record and duced into the field, the RN did not document facility policy and procedure review, the facility them on the board. When we discovered failed to implement its policy and procedures to this, during our review, we immediately ensure that sponges, sharps and instruments removed sterile surgical used during surgical procedures are accurately towels from the OR. There was a memo to accounted for. Patient A had abdominal surgery that affect placed in the OR and everyone /09. Postoperatively the patient developed made aware of the removal. increased abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and New white boards were placed in each abdominal bloating. The patient returned to OR that did list "towel" Once those were surgery on 100. A retained surgical towel up we also located a large "lap towel" that found in the patient's abdomen was removed. met the needs of the surgeons,

Licensing and Certification Division

(continued on page 9)

California Department of Public Health STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X3) DATE SURVEY (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION AND PLAN OF CORRECTION COMPLETED IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: A. BUILDING C B. WING CA050000039 07/10/2009 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 3291 LOMA VISTA RD VENTURA COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER VENTURA, CA 93003 SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X4) ID tD (X5) (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PRÉFIX PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE COMPLETE TAG REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DATE TAG DEFICIENCY E 347 Continued From page 8 E 347 E-347 (continued) Findings: allowing the use of these recognized lap towels instead of a surgical towel once Clinical record review beginning on 6/29/09 at again. 9:00 a.m. revealed that Patient A was admitted to The OR Director and Nurse Manager the facility on 09 for scheduled abdominal are responsible for auditing the process surgery. According to the surgeon's operative of surgical counts and related documentation report the procedures performed included on the white board during a case. This attempted laparoscopic colostomy process was completed in Jan 2010 takedown-converted to open colostomy takedown Ongoing quality monitoring of this process with coloproctostomy, lysis (cutting) of multiple is still being done as of now. Jun 2011. adhesions, and splenic flexure mobilization. The patient tolerated the procedure well, no complications were encountered and instrument. needle and sponge counts were correct. According to the Operative Room Nursing Record /09, three registered nurses (RN 1, 2, 3), two surgical technicians, who scrubbed for the surgery (ST 1, 2) and a student surgical technician were in the operating room, at various times during the surgical procedure. RN 1 was assigned the primary responsibility for circulating the procedure and ST 1 was the primary scrub technician. The "OR (Operative Room) Nursing Record" documentation, signed by RN 1, indicated that RN 3 completed the initial counts, RN 1 completed the 1st and 2nd counts and RN 2 completed the 3rd count. The document indicated that all counts were correct. Following surgery, Patient A presented at the emergency department on 09, thirteen days after the operation, with complaints of increased abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and abdominal bloating. Radiological studies done at this time, showed a very distended bowel with a "swirl-like material which may represent stool and possibly ingested material medial to which there is a curvilinear band-like foreign body", however, the

Licensing and Certification Division

tests were inconclusive.

California Department of Public Health (X3) DATE SURVEY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION AND PLAN OF CORRECTION COMPLETED **IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:** A. BUILDING C B. WING CA050000039 07/10/2009 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 3291 LOMA VISTA RD VENTURA COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER VENTURA, CA 93003 SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X4) ID ID (X5) COMPLETE (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE PREFIX REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DATE TAG TAG DEFICIENCY) E 347 E 347 Continued From page 9 Patient A return to the operating room on for explorative surgery. According to the operative report findings "a radiopaque towel" was "present in the right mid abdomen/right upper quadrant, with perforation of the mid jejunum with a hole measuring approximately 2 to 3 cm on the antimesenteric border." The towel was "covered partially in succus enterious and had a foul odor to it." The postoperative diagnosis included "abdominal mass post colostomy takedown (of 709), secondary to the presence of a radiopaque towel, with a small bowel perforation and incidental rectosigmoid perforation. Abdominal abscess secondary to radiopaque towel in the right mid abdomen. Small bowel deserosalization x 2." The Surgery Department policy and procedure D.1 "Accountability for Sponges, Sharps, and Instrument Counts" was reviewed on 6/29/09 at 2:00 p.m. The purpose of the policy is to "provide guidelines to ensure that sponges, sharps, and instruments used during the surgical procedure are accounted for. To provide safe practice for the surgical patient, prevent patient injury and adhere to legal standards." The policy stated in part "All counts shall be audibly and visually performed according to procedure by the circulating nurse and the scrub nurse... The circulator may allow another licensed person to count with the scrub nurse, but the name of the license person must appear on the Peri-Operative record." The circulating nurse and scrub nurse shall document and sign the Peri-Operative record with the results of the counts..." The procedures stated in part..." Sponges shall

Licensing and Certification Division

California Department of Public Health (X3) DATE SURVEY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION AND PLAN OF CORRECTION COMPLETED IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: A. BUILDING C B. WING CA050000039 07/10/2009 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 3291 LOMA VISTA RD VENTURA COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER VENTURA, CA 93003 SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X4) ID COMPLETE (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PREFIX **PREFIX** (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) TAG TAG DEFICIENCY E 347 E 347 Continued From page 10 be counted on all procedures involving a major body cavity or the depth and location of the wound as such that a sponge could be retained "... "Sponges shall be counted in the Operating room prior to: and at the closure of the fascia (fibrous tissue supporting the lining of the peritoneum), at the closure of the skin and at the request of the surgeon by the scrub nurse and the circulating nurse together.." "Additional sponge counts are taken before any part of a cavity or a cavity within a cavity is closed.." Interview with surgical technician 1 (ST 1) on 6/29/09 at 11:00 a.m. confirmed that he was the primary scrub technician for Patient A's abdominal surgical procedure on 109. In response to questions he stated that this surgeon was the only surgeon on staff who occasionally used surgical towels on the operative field as packing before inserting a retractor. He stated he remembers holding up two towels and audibly counting "one", "two." However, he does not recall which RN counted with him, or whether the surgical towels were written on the white board as added to the count. During an interview on 6/30/09 at 2:30 p.m. RN 1 confirmed that she was assigned the primary circulating duties during the procedure on and was responsible for ensuring that the sponge counts were correct. She stated RN 3 completed the initial count prior to surgery, and RN 2 completed the 3rd count. RN 1 stated she did not add surgical towels to the operative field after the procedure started. During an interview with RN 3 on 7/9/09 at 10:00 a.m. she confirmed that she completed the initial count and wrote the number of sponges on the white board in the room. She stated she did not

Licensing and Certification Division

California Department of Public Health (X3) DATE SURVEY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER AND PLAN OF CORRECTION COMPLETED A. BUILDING C B. WNG CA050000039 07/10/2009 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 3291 LOMA VISTA RD VENTURA COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER VENTURA, CA 93003 SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X4) ID (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE COMPLETE PREFIX PREFIX DATE CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) TAG TAG DEFICIENCY) E 347 E 347 Continued From page 11 count any surgical towels with ST 1. Interview with RN 2, on 6/29/09 at 9:30 a.m., revealed he came into the room to help RN 1, but did not relieve her. He stated that he did the third count (after the skin was closed) with ST 2 and the student surgical technician. The count was correct with the number of sponges recorded on the white board. He denied adding any surgical towels to the operative field. On 6/30/09 at 3:00 p.m. an interview with surgical technician 2 (ST 2), revealed he provided relief of ST 1 at the end of the procedure. The 1st and 2nd counts were already done when he entered the room, and ST 1 had already left the room. ST 2 stated ST-1 did not give him a report or count sponges with him prior to leaving the room. He stated although he was scrubbed is as part of the surgical team, he only supervised the student technician who was already there. Patients in whom a surgical towel is left after abdominal surgery are at high risk for serious complications including pain, infection, abscess, fistula formation, and intestinal obstructions. The facility's failure to ensure that the sponge count was correct, and that no sponges or towels were retained in Patient A following his surgery on /09, created a situation that was likely to cause serious injury or death to the patient, and therefore constitutes an immediate jeopardy within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 1280.1.