

Introduction to County Low-Income Health Program (LIHP)/AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) Drug Cost Tables

The data in these tables are based upon ADAP calendar year 2010 transactions. The first column, Federal Poverty Level (FPL) Percent Range, gives ranges of FPL. Note that these ranges are cumulative (i.e., the 0–50 percent range includes data in the 0–25 percent range). Actual dollar amounts for each FPL range are provided below. The second column, # Clients, gives the number of ADAP clients in each FPL range in the respective county. As noted on each spreadsheet, the data only include clients in ADAP who have no other payer source (“ADAP-only” no other payer source), documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64 years, inclusive. The third column contains Total Expenditures for these clients in each FPL range. For purposes of comparing local drug costs to ADAP drug expenditures, ADAP and pharmacy benefits manager (PBM) contracted drug reimbursement rates should be considered. In general, the ADAP contracted drug reimbursement rate for the period was Average Wholesale Price (AWP) – 14 percent for brand drugs and AWP – 20 percent for generic drugs. Lower reimbursement rates are paid to Public Health Services (PHS)/340B pharmacies. Additionally, the ADAP PBM negotiated even lower drug reimbursement rates with some pharmacies on behalf of ADAP. Finally, total expenditures include not only drug costs but also PBM transaction fees (\$6 per prescription) and pharmacy dispense fees (which range from \$0 to \$4.05 per prescription). Total dispense and transaction fees account for approximately 1.8 percent of total expenditures.

The first Per Client column gives total expenditures per client, and is computed by dividing Total Expenditures by # Clients. The Rebate column gives an estimate of rebate received back on the total expenditures. We used a rebate rate of 31.41 percent of total expenditures, which is in turn derived from the average amount of rebate received on all expenditures for ADAP-only clients during FY 2009-10. As noted in each table, this rebate rate reflects a combination of both mandatory (Medicaid 340B) and negotiated, voluntary ADAP supplemental rebates (negotiated by the ADAP Crisis Task Force). Counties are not eligible to receive rebate, but many counties may dispense LIHP formulary drugs through a qualified 340B pharmacy. The 340B pharmacies can purchase drugs at an up-front discounted cost that is equal to receiving the mandatory Medicaid rebate. The Net Cost columns give the Total Net Costs, which is Total Expenditures less Rebate, and the Net Cost per Client. Thus, overall, the costs given in each table reflect costs to ADAP and do not necessarily represent the costs that the counties would incur, prior to receiving reimbursements associated with LIHP, should they take over providing services to these clients.

Please note that in calendar year 2010 ADAP had no clients in the following 11 counties:

- Alpine
- Amador
- Colusa
- Glenn
- Lassen
- Mariposa
- Modoc
- Mono
- San Benito
- Sierra
- Tuolumne

August 4, 2011

The following table gives the income values for a one-person household associated with FPL ranges used in this analysis:

FPL Range (Based on annual income for a 1 person household)	
%	\$
0 - 25%	\$0 - \$2,723
0 - 50%	\$0 - \$5,445
0 - 75%	\$0 - \$8,168
0 - 100%	\$0 - \$10,890
0 - 125%	\$0 - \$13,613
0 - 133%	\$0 - \$14,484
0 - 150%	\$0 - \$16,335
0 - 175%	\$0 - \$19,058
0 - 200%	\$0 - \$21,780

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Alameda County (Includes Berkeley LHJ)**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	225	\$1,939,486	\$8,620	\$609,193	\$1,330,293	\$5,912
0 - 50%	286	\$2,564,178	\$8,966	\$805,408	\$1,758,770	\$6,150
0 - 75%	345	\$3,274,874	\$9,492	\$1,028,638	\$2,246,236	\$6,511
0 - 100%	421	\$4,446,539	\$10,562	\$1,396,658	\$3,049,881	\$7,244
0 - 125%	470	\$5,156,657	\$10,972	\$1,619,706	\$3,536,951	\$7,525
0 - 150%	531	\$6,041,614	\$11,378	\$1,897,671	\$4,143,943	\$7,804
0 - 175%	562	\$6,514,818	\$11,592	\$2,046,304	\$4,468,514	\$7,951
0 - 200%	611	\$7,160,008	\$11,719	\$2,248,959	\$4,911,050	\$8,038
0 - 133%	500	\$5,581,762	\$11,164	\$1,753,231	\$3,828,530	\$7,657
134 - 200%	111	\$1,578,246	\$14,218	\$495,727	\$1,082,519	\$9,752
Total	611	\$7,160,008	\$11,719	\$2,248,959	\$4,911,050	\$8,038

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Butte County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	15	\$294,628	\$19,642	\$92,543	\$202,085	\$13,472
0 - 50%	17	\$350,343	\$20,608	\$110,043	\$240,300	\$14,135
0 - 75%	20	\$400,382	\$20,019	\$125,760	\$274,622	\$13,731
0 - 100%	22	\$430,511	\$19,569	\$135,224	\$295,288	\$13,422
0 - 125%	24	\$462,610	\$19,275	\$145,306	\$317,304	\$13,221
0 - 150%	26	\$514,589	\$19,792	\$161,632	\$352,956	\$13,575
0 - 175%	28	\$570,722	\$20,383	\$179,264	\$391,458	\$13,981
0 - 200%	30	\$603,776	\$20,126	\$189,646	\$414,130	\$13,804
0 - 133%	25	\$501,717	\$20,069	\$157,589	\$344,128	\$13,765
134 - 200%	5	\$102,059	\$20,412	\$32,057	\$70,002	\$14,000
Total	30	\$603,776	\$20,126	\$189,646	\$414,130	\$13,804

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Calaveras County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 50%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 75%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 100%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 125%	6	\$113,241	\$18,874	\$35,569	\$77,672	\$12,945
0 - 150%	8	\$129,650	\$16,206	\$40,723	\$88,927	\$11,116
0 - 175%	8	\$129,650	\$16,206	\$40,723	\$88,927	\$11,116
0 - 200%	11	\$162,711	\$14,792	\$51,107	\$111,603	\$10,146
0 - 133%	6	\$113,241	\$18,874	\$35,569	\$77,672	\$12,945
134 - 200%	5	\$49,469	\$9,894	\$15,538	\$33,931	\$6,786
Total	11	\$162,711	\$14,792	\$51,107	\$111,603	\$10,146

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Contra Costa County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	79	\$741,677	\$9,388	\$232,961	\$508,716	\$6,439
0 - 50%	88	\$881,641	\$10,019	\$276,924	\$604,718	\$6,872
0 - 75%	93	\$961,853	\$10,343	\$302,118	\$659,735	\$7,094
0 - 100%	104	\$1,126,787	\$10,834	\$353,924	\$772,863	\$7,431
0 - 125%	111	\$1,217,703	\$10,970	\$382,480	\$835,222	\$7,525
0 - 150%	118	\$1,289,386	\$10,927	\$404,996	\$884,390	\$7,495
0 - 175%	126	\$1,392,712	\$11,053	\$437,451	\$955,261	\$7,581
0 - 200%	133	\$1,491,159	\$11,212	\$468,373	\$1,022,786	\$7,690
0 - 133%	111	\$1,217,703	\$10,970	\$382,480	\$835,222	\$7,525
134 - 200%	22	\$273,456	\$12,430	\$85,893	\$187,564	\$8,526
Total	133	\$1,491,159	\$11,212	\$468,373	\$1,022,786	\$7,690

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Del Norte County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 50%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 75%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 100%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 125%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 150%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 175%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 200%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 133%	*	-	-	-	-	-
134 - 200%	*	-	-	-	-	-
Total	*	\$31,368	-	\$9,853	\$21,515	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
EL Dorado County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 50%	5	\$66,819	\$13,364	\$20,988	\$45,831	\$9,166
0 - 75%	7	\$94,013	\$13,430	\$29,529	\$64,483	\$9,212
0 - 100%	8	\$145,800	\$18,225	\$45,796	\$100,004	\$12,501
0 - 125%	9	\$165,426	\$18,381	\$51,960	\$113,466	\$12,607
0 - 150%	11	\$187,952	\$17,087	\$59,036	\$128,916	\$11,720
0 - 175%	14	\$235,153	\$16,797	\$73,861	\$161,291	\$11,521
0 - 200%	15	\$256,742	\$17,116	\$80,643	\$176,099	\$11,740
0 - 133%	9	\$165,426	\$18,381	\$51,960	\$113,466	\$12,607
134 - 200%	6	\$91,316	\$15,219	\$28,682	\$62,634	\$10,439
Total	15	\$256,742	\$17,116	\$80,643	\$176,099	\$11,740

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Fresno County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	135	\$1,446,690	\$10,716	\$454,405	\$992,285	\$7,350
0 - 50%	160	\$1,768,975	\$11,056	\$555,635	\$1,213,340	\$7,583
0 - 75%	173	\$1,939,281	\$11,210	\$609,128	\$1,330,153	\$7,689
0 - 100%	185	\$2,140,102	\$11,568	\$672,206	\$1,467,896	\$7,935
0 - 125%	194	\$2,305,221	\$11,883	\$724,070	\$1,581,151	\$8,150
0 - 150%	208	\$2,488,496	\$11,964	\$781,637	\$1,706,859	\$8,206
0 - 175%	223	\$2,695,017	\$12,085	\$846,505	\$1,848,512	\$8,289
0 - 200%	232	\$2,826,267	\$12,182	\$887,730	\$1,938,537	\$8,356
0 - 133%	200	\$2,378,990	\$11,895	\$747,241	\$1,631,749	\$8,159
134 - 200%	32	\$447,277	\$13,977	\$140,490	\$306,787	\$9,587
Total	232	\$2,826,267	\$12,182	\$887,730	\$1,938,537	\$8,356

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Humboldt County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	14	\$209,311	\$14,951	\$65,745	\$143,566	\$10,255
0 - 50%	16	\$261,988	\$16,374	\$82,290	\$179,697	\$11,231
0 - 75%	19	\$319,827	\$16,833	\$100,458	\$219,369	\$11,546
0 - 100%	21	\$348,318	\$16,587	\$109,407	\$238,912	\$11,377
0 - 125%	22	\$377,442	\$17,156	\$118,555	\$258,887	\$11,768
0 - 150%	23	\$389,276	\$16,925	\$122,272	\$267,005	\$11,609
0 - 175%	25	\$432,744	\$17,310	\$135,925	\$296,819	\$11,873
0 - 200%	25	\$432,744	\$17,310	\$135,925	\$296,819	\$11,873
0 - 133%	22	\$377,442	\$17,156	\$118,555	\$258,887	\$11,768
134 - 200%	*	\$55,302	-	\$17,370	\$37,931	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Imperial County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	11	\$161,604	\$14,691	\$50,760	\$110,844	\$10,077
0 - 50%	15	\$254,069	\$16,938	\$79,803	\$174,266	\$11,618
0 - 75%	17	\$287,350	\$16,903	\$90,256	\$197,093	\$11,594
0 - 100%	19	\$345,944	\$18,208	\$108,661	\$237,283	\$12,489
0 - 125%	21	\$389,489	\$18,547	\$122,338	\$267,150	\$12,721
0 - 150%	23	\$439,872	\$19,125	\$138,164	\$301,708	\$13,118
0 - 175%	23	\$439,872	\$19,125	\$138,164	\$301,708	\$13,118
0 - 200%	23	\$439,872	\$19,125	\$138,164	\$301,708	\$13,118
0 - 133%	21	\$389,489	\$18,547	\$122,338	\$267,150	\$12,721
134 - 200%	*	\$50,383	-	\$15,825	\$34,558	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Inyo County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 50%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 75%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 100%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 125%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 150%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 175%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 200%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 133%	*	-	-	-	-	-
134 - 200%	*	-	-	-	-	-
Total	*	\$33,451	-	\$10,507	\$22,944	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Kern County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	67	\$980,193	\$14,630	\$307,878	\$672,314	\$10,035
0 - 50%	73	\$1,053,965	\$14,438	\$331,050	\$722,914	\$9,903
0 - 75%	79	\$1,131,427	\$14,322	\$355,381	\$776,045	\$9,823
0 - 100%	88	\$1,262,204	\$14,343	\$396,458	\$865,746	\$9,838
0 - 125%	97	\$1,431,043	\$14,753	\$449,491	\$981,552	\$10,119
0 - 150%	102	\$1,484,979	\$14,559	\$466,432	\$1,018,547	\$9,986
0 - 175%	108	\$1,541,970	\$14,278	\$484,333	\$1,057,637	\$9,793
0 - 200%	111	\$1,598,060	\$14,397	\$501,951	\$1,096,109	\$9,875
0 - 133%	99	\$1,436,357	\$14,509	\$451,160	\$985,197	\$9,951
134 - 200%	12	\$161,704	\$13,475	\$50,791	\$110,913	\$9,243
Total	111	\$1,598,060	\$14,397	\$501,951	\$1,096,109	\$9,875

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Kings County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	5	\$59,833	\$11,967	\$18,793	\$41,039	\$8,208
0 - 50%	6	\$60,216	\$10,036	\$18,914	\$41,302	\$6,884
0 - 75%	7	\$77,725	\$11,104	\$24,413	\$53,312	\$7,616
0 - 100%	9	\$120,309	\$13,368	\$37,789	\$82,520	\$9,169
0 - 125%	11	\$144,327	\$13,121	\$45,333	\$98,994	\$8,999
0 - 150%	12	\$150,499	\$12,542	\$47,272	\$103,227	\$8,602
0 - 175%	13	\$159,070	\$12,236	\$49,964	\$109,106	\$8,393
0 - 200%	14	\$159,308	\$11,379	\$50,039	\$109,269	\$7,805
0 - 133%	11	\$144,327	\$13,121	\$45,333	\$98,994	\$8,999
134 - 200%	*	\$14,981	-	\$4,706	\$10,275	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Lake County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	6	\$129,266	\$21,544	\$40,602	\$88,664	\$14,777
0 - 50%	6	\$129,266	\$21,544	\$40,602	\$88,664	\$14,777
0 - 75%	6	\$129,266	\$21,544	\$40,602	\$88,664	\$14,777
0 - 100%	7	\$130,006	\$18,572	\$40,835	\$89,171	\$12,739
0 - 125%	8	\$133,267	\$16,658	\$41,859	\$91,408	\$11,426
0 - 150%	8	\$133,267	\$16,658	\$41,859	\$91,408	\$11,426
0 - 175%	8	\$133,267	\$16,658	\$41,859	\$91,408	\$11,426
0 - 200%	8	\$133,267	\$16,658	\$41,859	\$91,408	\$11,426
0 - 133%	8	\$133,267	\$16,658	\$41,859	\$91,408	\$11,426
134 - 200%	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	8	\$133,267	\$16,658	\$41,859	\$91,408	\$11,426

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Los Angeles County (Includes Long Beach LHJ)***

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	2,195	\$27,753,786.38	\$12,644	\$8,717,464	\$19,036,322	\$8,673
0 - 50%	2,646	\$34,933,643.76	\$13,202	\$10,972,658	\$23,960,986	\$9,056
0 - 75%	3,241	\$44,532,061.02	\$13,740	\$13,987,520	\$30,544,541	\$9,424
0 - 100%	3,927	\$55,735,890.67	\$14,193	\$17,506,643	\$38,229,247	\$9,735
0 - 125%	4,498	\$65,432,066.83	\$14,547	\$20,552,212	\$44,879,855	\$9,978
0 - 150%	4,944	\$72,978,060.68	\$14,761	\$22,922,409	\$50,055,652	\$10,125
0 - 175%	5,355	\$79,534,430.76	\$14,852	\$24,981,765	\$54,552,666	\$10,187
0 - 200%	5,769	\$86,729,129.48	\$15,034	\$27,241,620	\$59,487,510	\$10,312
0 - 133%	4,686	\$68,603,555.56	\$14,640	\$21,548,377	\$47,055,179	\$10,042
134 - 200%	1,083	\$18,125,573.92	\$16,736	\$5,693,243	\$12,432,331	\$11,480
Total	5,769	\$86,729,129.48	\$15,034	\$27,241,620	\$59,487,510	\$10,312

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* Does not include Pasadena LHJ

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Madera County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	9	\$123,613	\$13,735	\$38,827	\$84,786	\$9,421
0 - 50%	10	\$144,526	\$14,453	\$45,396	\$99,131	\$9,913
0 - 75%	10	\$144,526	\$14,453	\$45,396	\$99,131	\$9,913
0 - 100%	10	\$144,526	\$14,453	\$45,396	\$99,131	\$9,913
0 - 125%	10	\$144,526	\$14,453	\$45,396	\$99,131	\$9,913
0 - 150%	10	\$144,526	\$14,453	\$45,396	\$99,131	\$9,913
0 - 175%	11	\$156,757	\$14,251	\$49,237	\$107,519	\$9,774
0 - 200%	11	\$156,757	\$14,251	\$49,237	\$107,519	\$9,774
0 - 133%	10	\$144,526	\$14,453	\$45,396	\$99,131	\$9,913
134 - 200%	*	\$12,230	-	\$3,842	\$8,389	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Marin County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	9	\$131,497	\$14,611	\$41,303	\$90,194	\$10,022
0 - 50%	14	\$212,517	\$15,180	\$66,752	\$145,766	\$10,412
0 - 75%	15	\$228,728	\$15,249	\$71,843	\$156,884	\$10,459
0 - 100%	21	\$308,315	\$14,682	\$96,842	\$211,474	\$10,070
0 - 125%	23	\$349,363	\$15,190	\$109,735	\$239,628	\$10,419
0 - 150%	24	\$355,707	\$14,821	\$111,728	\$243,980	\$10,166
0 - 175%	26	\$367,862	\$14,149	\$115,546	\$252,317	\$9,704
0 - 200%	28	\$398,864	\$14,245	\$125,283	\$273,581	\$9,771
0 - 133%	24	\$355,707	\$14,821	\$111,728	\$243,980	\$10,166
134 - 200%	*	\$43,157	-	\$13,556	\$29,601	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Mendocino County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	9	\$103,487	\$11,499	\$32,505	\$70,982	\$7,887
0 - 50%	9	\$103,487	\$11,499	\$32,505	\$70,982	\$7,887
0 - 75%	11	\$135,668	\$12,333	\$42,613	\$93,055	\$8,460
0 - 100%	11	\$135,668	\$12,333	\$42,613	\$93,055	\$8,460
0 - 125%	13	\$140,943	\$10,842	\$44,270	\$96,673	\$7,436
0 - 150%	15	\$168,839	\$11,256	\$53,032	\$115,806	\$7,720
0 - 175%	16	\$196,510	\$12,282	\$61,724	\$134,786	\$8,424
0 - 200%	16	\$196,510	\$12,282	\$61,724	\$134,786	\$8,424
0 - 133%	15	\$168,839	\$11,256	\$53,032	\$115,806	\$7,720
134 - 200%	*	\$27,672	-	\$8,692	\$18,980	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Merced County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	11	\$58,230	\$5,294	\$18,290	\$39,940	\$3,631
0 - 50%	12	\$70,862	\$5,905	\$22,258	\$48,604	\$4,050
0 - 75%	12	\$70,862	\$5,905	\$22,258	\$48,604	\$4,050
0 - 100%	14	\$107,189	\$7,656	\$33,668	\$73,521	\$5,252
0 - 125%	15	\$115,120	\$7,675	\$36,159	\$78,961	\$5,264
0 - 150%	17	\$162,207	\$9,542	\$50,949	\$111,258	\$6,545
0 - 175%	18	\$178,670	\$9,926	\$56,120	\$122,550	\$6,808
0 - 200%	19	\$199,537	\$10,502	\$62,675	\$136,863	\$7,203
0 - 133%	16	\$141,763	\$8,860	\$44,528	\$97,235	\$6,077
134 - 200%	*	\$57,775	-	\$18,147	\$39,628	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Monterey County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	19	\$205,918	\$10,838	\$64,679	\$141,239	\$7,434
0 - 50%	26	\$289,731	\$11,143	\$91,004	\$198,726	\$7,643
0 - 75%	30	\$363,087	\$12,103	\$114,046	\$249,041	\$8,301
0 - 100%	38	\$482,639	\$12,701	\$151,597	\$331,042	\$8,712
0 - 125%	45	\$617,473	\$13,722	\$193,948	\$423,525	\$9,412
0 - 150%	51	\$724,584	\$14,208	\$227,592	\$496,992	\$9,745
0 - 175%	57	\$809,562	\$14,203	\$254,284	\$555,279	\$9,742
0 - 200%	64	\$929,527	\$14,524	\$291,965	\$637,563	\$9,962
0 - 133%	45	\$617,473	\$13,722	\$193,948	\$423,525	\$9,412
134 - 200%	19	\$312,054	\$16,424	\$98,016	\$214,038	\$11,265
Total	64	\$929,527	\$14,524	\$291,965	\$637,563	\$9,962

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Napa County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 50%	5	\$63,374	\$12,675	\$19,906	\$43,468	\$8,694
0 - 75%	5	\$63,374	\$12,675	\$19,906	\$43,468	\$8,694
0 - 100%	5	\$63,374	\$12,675	\$19,906	\$43,468	\$8,694
0 - 125%	7	\$90,281	\$12,897	\$28,357	\$61,924	\$8,846
0 - 150%	7	\$90,281	\$12,897	\$28,357	\$61,924	\$8,846
0 - 175%	8	\$108,008	\$13,501	\$33,925	\$74,082	\$9,260
0 - 200%	10	\$152,216	\$15,222	\$47,811	\$104,405	\$10,440
0 - 133%	7	\$90,281	\$12,897	\$28,357	\$61,924	\$8,846
134 - 200%	*	\$61,935	-	\$19,454	\$42,481	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Nevada County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 50%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 75%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 100%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 125%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 150%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 175%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 200%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 133%	*	-	-	-	-	-
134 - 200%	*	-	-	-	-	-
Total	*	\$4,871	-	\$1,530	3,341.14	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Orange County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	241	\$2,700,941	\$11,207	\$848,366	\$1,852,575	\$7,687
0 - 50%	291	\$3,538,879	\$12,161	\$1,111,562	\$2,427,317	\$8,341
0 - 75%	348	\$4,565,743	\$13,120	\$1,434,100	\$3,131,643	\$8,999
0 - 100%	418	\$5,838,191	\$13,967	\$1,833,776	\$4,004,415	\$9,580
0 - 125%	495	\$7,145,993	\$14,436	\$2,244,556	\$4,901,437	\$9,902
0 - 150%	546	\$8,080,375	\$14,799	\$2,538,046	\$5,542,329	\$10,151
0 - 175%	595	\$8,923,283	\$14,997	\$2,802,803	\$6,120,480	\$10,287
0 - 200%	646	\$9,814,252	\$15,192	\$3,082,657	\$6,731,595	\$10,420
0 - 133%	516	\$7,570,039	\$14,671	\$2,377,749	\$5,192,289	\$10,063
134 - 200%	130	\$2,244,213	\$17,263	\$704,907	\$1,539,306	\$11,841
Totals	646	\$9,814,252	\$15,192	\$3,082,657	\$6,731,595	\$10,420

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Pasadena LHJ**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	59	\$842,607	\$14,281	\$264,663	\$577,944	\$9,796
0 - 50%	65	\$957,970	\$14,738	\$300,898	\$657,072	\$10,109
0 - 75%	76	\$1,230,548	\$16,191	\$386,515	\$844,033	\$11,106
0 - 100%	90	\$1,530,348	\$17,004	\$480,682	\$1,049,666	\$11,663
0 - 125%	95	\$1,691,704	\$17,807	\$531,364	\$1,160,340	\$12,214
0 - 150%	105	\$1,857,437	\$17,690	\$583,421	\$1,274,016	\$12,133
0 - 175%	112	\$1,982,291	\$17,699	\$622,638	\$1,359,654	\$12,140
0 - 200%	121	\$2,191,391	\$18,111	\$688,316	\$1,503,075	\$12,422
0 - 133%	101	\$1,815,343	\$17,974	\$570,199	\$1,245,144	\$12,328
134 - 200%	20	\$376,048	\$18,802	\$118,117	\$257,931	\$12,897
Total	121	\$2,191,391	\$18,111	\$688,316	\$1,503,075	\$12,422

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Placer County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	6	\$75,794	\$12,632	\$23,807	\$51,987	\$8,665
0 - 50%	6	\$75,794	\$12,632	\$23,807	\$51,987	\$8,665
0 - 75%	7	\$96,966	\$13,852	\$30,457	\$66,509	\$9,501
0 - 100%	7	\$96,966	\$13,852	\$30,457	\$66,509	\$9,501
0 - 125%	8	\$118,326	\$14,791	\$37,166	\$81,160	\$10,145
0 - 150%	9	\$151,288	\$16,810	\$47,519	\$103,768	\$11,530
0 - 175%	11	\$188,531	\$17,139	\$59,218	\$129,313	\$11,756
0 - 200%	11	\$188,531	\$17,139	\$59,218	\$129,313	\$11,756
0 - 133%	9	\$151,288	\$16,810	\$47,519	\$103,768	\$11,530
134 - 200%	*	37,243	-	11,698	25,545	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Plumas County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 50%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 75%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 100%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 125%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 150%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 175%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 200%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 133%	*	-	-	-	-	-
134 - 200%	*	-	-	-	-	-
Total	*	\$35,295	-	\$11,086	\$24,209	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Riverside County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	271	\$4,050,295	\$14,946	\$1,272,198	\$2,778,098	\$10,251
0 - 50%	298	\$4,515,162	\$15,152	\$1,418,212	\$3,096,949	\$10,392
0 - 75%	343	\$5,467,660	\$15,941	\$1,717,392	\$3,750,268	\$10,934
0 - 100%	381	\$6,128,508	\$16,085	\$1,924,964	\$4,203,544	\$11,033
0 - 125%	435	\$7,052,170	\$16,212	\$2,215,087	\$4,837,084	\$11,120
0 - 150%	488	\$8,033,070	\$16,461	\$2,523,187	\$5,509,883	\$11,291
0 - 175%	536	\$8,923,979	\$16,649	\$2,803,022	\$6,120,957	\$11,420
0 - 200%	567	\$9,498,905	\$16,753	\$2,983,606	\$6,515,299	\$11,491
0 - 133%	458	\$7,513,790	\$16,406	\$2,360,082	\$5,153,709	\$11,253
134 - 200%	109	\$1,985,115	\$18,212	\$623,525	\$1,361,590	\$12,492
Total	567	\$9,498,905	\$16,753	\$2,983,606	\$6,515,299	\$11,491

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Sacramento County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	297	\$3,374,931	\$11,363	\$1,060,066	\$2,314,865	\$7,794
0 - 50%	323	\$3,723,040	\$11,526	\$1,169,407	\$2,553,633	\$7,906
0 - 75%	349	\$4,126,258	\$11,823	\$1,296,058	\$2,830,200	\$8,109
0 - 100%	391	\$4,744,815	\$12,135	\$1,490,346	\$3,254,469	\$8,323
0 - 125%	422	\$5,109,830	\$12,109	\$1,604,997	\$3,504,832	\$8,305
0 - 150%	443	\$5,402,985	\$12,196	\$1,697,077	\$3,705,907	\$8,365
0 - 175%	472	\$5,735,131	\$12,151	\$1,801,405	\$3,933,726	\$8,334
0 - 200%	507	\$6,244,624	\$12,317	\$1,961,437	\$4,283,188	\$8,448
0 - 133%	425	\$5,163,468	\$12,149	\$1,621,845	\$3,541,623	\$8,333
134 - 200%	82	\$1,081,156	\$13,185	\$339,591	\$741,565	\$9,043
Total	507	\$6,244,624	\$12,317	\$1,961,437	\$4,283,188	\$8,448

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
San Bernardino County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	165	\$1,936,909	\$11,739	\$608,383	\$1,328,526	\$8,052
0 - 50%	179	\$2,131,822	\$11,910	\$669,605	\$1,462,217	\$8,169
0 - 75%	202	\$2,515,495	\$12,453	\$790,117	\$1,725,378	\$8,541
0 - 100%	246	\$3,306,312	\$13,440	\$1,038,513	\$2,267,800	\$9,219
0 - 125%	282	\$3,931,541	\$13,942	\$1,234,897	\$2,696,644	\$9,563
0 - 150%	303	\$4,270,335	\$14,094	\$1,341,312	\$2,929,023	\$9,667
0 - 175%	325	\$4,659,305	\$14,336	\$1,463,488	\$3,195,818	\$9,833
0 - 200%	342	\$5,010,963	\$14,652	\$1,573,943	\$3,437,019	\$10,050
0 - 133%	288	\$4,063,673	\$14,110	\$1,276,400	\$2,787,273	\$9,678
134 - 200%	54	\$947,290	\$17,542	\$297,544	\$649,746	\$12,032
Total	342	\$5,010,963	\$14,652	\$1,573,943	\$3,437,019	\$10,050

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
San Diego County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	706	\$7,944,650	\$11,253	\$2,495,415	\$5,449,236	\$7,718
0 - 50%	775	\$9,028,581	\$11,650	\$2,835,877	\$6,192,704	\$7,991
0 - 75%	874	\$10,682,726	\$12,223	\$3,355,444	\$7,327,282	\$8,384
0 - 100%	1,011	\$12,950,544	\$12,810	\$4,067,766	\$8,882,778	\$8,786
0 - 125%	1,146	\$15,152,911	\$13,222	\$4,759,529	\$10,393,382	\$9,069
0 - 150%	1,266	\$17,119,850	\$13,523	\$5,377,345	\$11,742,505	\$9,275
0 - 175%	1,377	\$19,038,722	\$13,826	\$5,980,063	\$13,058,660	\$9,483
0 - 200%	1,483	\$20,788,486	\$14,018	\$6,529,663	\$14,258,822	\$9,615
0 - 133%	1,194	\$15,931,259	\$13,343	\$5,004,008	\$10,927,251	\$9,152
134 - 200%	289	\$4,857,227	\$16,807	\$1,525,655	\$3,331,572	\$11,528
Total	1,483	\$20,788,486	\$14,018	\$6,529,663	\$14,258,822	\$9,615

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
San Francisco County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	483	\$3,323,370	\$6,881	\$1,043,870	\$2,279,499	\$4,719
0 - 50%	651	\$5,488,848	\$8,431	\$1,724,047	\$3,764,801	\$5,783
0 - 75%	750	\$7,249,562	\$9,666	\$2,277,088	\$4,972,475	\$6,630
0 - 100%	899	\$9,463,388	\$10,527	\$2,972,450	\$6,490,938	\$7,220
0 - 125%	1,028	\$11,536,616	\$11,222	\$3,623,651	\$7,912,965	\$7,697
0 - 150%	1,131	\$13,395,163	\$11,844	\$4,207,421	\$9,187,742	\$8,124
0 - 175%	1,238	\$15,182,762	\$12,264	\$4,768,906	\$10,413,856	\$8,412
0 - 200%	1,330	\$16,617,757	\$12,495	\$5,219,638	\$11,398,120	\$8,570
0 - 133%	1,067	\$12,226,505	\$11,459	\$3,840,345	\$8,386,160	\$7,860
134 - 200%	263	\$4,391,252	\$16,697	\$1,379,292	\$3,011,960	\$11,452
Total	1,330	\$16,617,757	\$12,495	\$5,219,638	\$11,398,120	\$8,570

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
San Joaquin County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	61	\$710,880	\$11,654	\$223,287	\$487,593	\$7,993
0 - 50%	70	\$812,969	\$11,614	\$255,354	\$557,615	\$7,966
0 - 75%	77	\$885,010	\$11,494	\$277,982	\$607,028	\$7,883
0 - 100%	85	\$990,990	\$11,659	\$311,270	\$679,720	\$7,997
0 - 125%	94	\$1,123,894	\$11,956	\$353,015	\$770,879	\$8,201
0 - 150%	103	\$1,240,067	\$12,039	\$389,505	\$850,562	\$8,258
0 - 175%	111	\$1,362,056	\$12,271	\$427,822	\$934,234	\$8,417
0 - 200%	120	\$1,509,462	\$12,579	\$474,122	\$1,035,340	\$8,628
0 - 133%	96	\$1,145,462	\$11,932	\$359,790	\$785,673	\$8,184
134 - 200%	24	\$363,999	\$15,167	\$114,332	\$249,667	\$10,403
Total	120	\$1,509,462	\$12,579	\$474,122	\$1,035,340	\$8,628

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
San Luis Obispo County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	8	\$138,698	\$17,337	\$43,565	\$95,133	\$11,892
0 - 50%	13	\$239,854	\$18,450	\$75,338	\$164,516	\$12,655
0 - 75%	14	\$249,363	\$17,812	\$78,325	\$171,038	\$12,217
0 - 100%	16	\$314,774	\$19,673	\$98,871	\$215,904	\$13,494
0 - 125%	19	\$355,221	\$18,696	\$111,575	\$243,646	\$12,823
0 - 150%	22	\$414,848	\$18,857	\$130,304	\$284,544	\$12,934
0 - 175%	23	\$440,057	\$19,133	\$138,222	\$301,835	\$13,123
0 - 200%	24	\$474,068	\$19,753	\$148,905	\$325,163	\$13,548
0 - 133%	20	\$370,055	\$18,503	\$116,234	\$253,821	\$12,691
134 - 200%	*	104,013	-	32,671	71,343	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
San Mateo County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	48	\$467,305	\$9,736	\$146,781	\$320,525	\$6,678
0 - 50%	56	\$569,888	\$10,177	\$179,002	\$390,886	\$6,980
0 - 75%	65	\$724,020	\$11,139	\$227,415	\$496,605	\$7,640
0 - 100%	72	\$855,310	\$11,879	\$268,653	\$586,657	\$8,148
0 - 125%	82	\$1,002,385	\$12,224	\$314,849	\$687,536	\$8,385
0 - 150%	90	\$1,142,912	\$12,699	\$358,989	\$783,924	\$8,710
0 - 175%	98	\$1,241,737	\$12,671	\$390,029	\$851,707	\$8,691
0 - 200%	108	\$1,401,703	\$12,979	\$440,275	\$961,428	\$8,902
0 - 133%	87	\$1,081,502	\$12,431	\$339,700	\$741,802	\$8,526
134 - 200%	21	\$320,200	\$15,248	\$100,575	\$219,625	\$10,458
Total	108	\$1,401,703	\$12,979	\$440,275	\$961,428	\$8,902

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Santa Barbara County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	23	\$267,306	\$11,622	\$83,961	\$183,345	\$7,972
0 - 50%	23	\$267,306	\$11,622	\$83,961	\$183,345	\$7,972
0 - 75%	26	\$327,990	\$12,615	\$103,022	\$224,968	\$8,653
0 - 100%	30	\$378,814	\$12,627	\$118,986	\$259,829	\$8,661
0 - 125%	33	\$412,505	\$12,500	\$129,568	\$282,937	\$8,574
0 - 150%	38	\$491,392	\$12,931	\$154,346	\$337,046	\$8,870
0 - 175%	42	\$558,031	\$13,286	\$175,278	\$382,754	\$9,113
0 - 200%	44	\$592,024	\$13,455	\$185,955	\$406,069	\$9,229
0 - 133%	35	\$429,426	\$12,269	\$134,883	\$294,543	\$8,416
134 - 200%	9	\$162,598	\$18,066	\$51,072	\$111,526	\$12,392
Total	44	\$592,024	\$13,455	\$185,955	\$406,069	\$9,229

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Santa Clara County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	191	\$2,174,555	\$11,385	\$683,028	\$1,491,527	\$7,809
0 - 50%	220	\$2,548,270	\$11,583	\$800,412	\$1,747,858	\$7,945
0 - 75%	244	\$2,851,220	\$11,685	\$895,568	\$1,955,652	\$8,015
0 - 100%	281	\$3,264,100	\$11,616	\$1,025,254	\$2,238,846	\$7,967
0 - 125%	310	\$3,769,833	\$12,161	\$1,184,105	\$2,585,729	\$8,341
0 - 150%	330	\$4,043,774	\$12,254	\$1,270,149	\$2,773,625	\$8,405
0 - 175%	359	\$4,477,216	\$12,471	\$1,406,294	\$3,070,923	\$8,554
0 - 200%	383	\$4,807,483	\$12,552	\$1,510,030	\$3,297,452	\$8,610
0 - 133%	317	\$3,870,111	\$12,209	\$1,215,602	\$2,654,509	\$8,374
134 - 200%	66	\$937,371	\$14,203	\$294,428	\$642,943	\$9,742
Total	383	\$4,807,483	\$12,552	\$1,510,030	\$3,297,452	\$8,610

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Santa Cruz County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	13	\$214,900	\$16,531	\$67,500	\$147,400	\$11,338
0 - 50%	15	\$219,639	\$14,643	\$68,988	\$150,650	\$10,043
0 - 75%	21	\$319,642	\$15,221	\$100,400	\$219,242	\$10,440
0 - 100%	22	\$322,218	\$14,646	\$101,209	\$221,009	\$10,046
0 - 125%	25	\$383,077	\$15,323	\$120,324	\$262,752	\$10,510
0 - 150%	28	\$458,223	\$16,365	\$143,928	\$314,295	\$11,225
0 - 175%	28	\$458,223	\$16,365	\$143,928	\$314,295	\$11,225
0 - 200%	31	\$503,159	\$16,231	\$158,042	\$345,116	\$11,133
0 - 133%	26	\$396,932	\$15,267	\$124,676	\$272,256	\$10,471
134 - 200%	5	\$106,227	\$21,245	\$33,366	\$72,861	\$14,572
Total	31	\$503,159	\$16,231	\$158,042	\$345,116	\$11,133

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Shasta County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	9	\$120,847	\$13,427	\$37,958	\$82,889	\$9,210
0 - 50%	11	\$132,176	\$12,016	\$41,516	\$90,659	\$8,242
0 - 75%	11	\$132,176	\$12,016	\$41,516	\$90,659	\$8,242
0 - 100%	11	\$132,176	\$12,016	\$41,516	\$90,659	\$8,242
0 - 125%	15	\$204,356	\$13,624	\$64,188	\$140,168	\$9,345
0 - 150%	16	\$230,600	\$14,413	\$72,431	\$158,169	\$9,886
0 - 175%	20	\$292,075	\$14,604	\$91,741	\$200,334	\$10,017
0 - 200%	21	\$308,315	\$14,682	\$96,842	\$211,473	\$10,070
0 - 133%	15	\$204,356	\$13,624	\$64,188	\$140,168	\$9,345
134 - 200%	6	\$103,959	\$17,327	\$32,654	\$71,305	\$11,884
Total	21	\$308,315	\$14,682	\$96,842	\$211,473	\$10,070

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Siskiyou County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 50%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 75%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 100%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 125%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 150%	5	\$89,535	\$17,907	\$28,123	\$61,412	\$12,282
0 - 175%	5	\$89,535	\$17,907	\$28,123	\$61,412	\$12,282
0 - 200%	5	\$89,535	\$17,907	\$28,123	\$61,412	\$12,282
0 - 133%	5	\$89,535	\$17,907	\$28,123	\$61,412	\$12,282
134 - 200%	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	5	\$89,535	\$17,907	\$28,123	\$61,412	\$12,282

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Solano County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	32	\$381,665	\$11,927	\$119,881	\$261,784	\$8,181
0 - 50%	38	\$437,179	\$11,505	\$137,318	\$299,861	\$7,891
0 - 75%	43	\$511,649	\$11,899	\$160,709	\$350,940	\$8,161
0 - 100%	48	\$578,737	\$12,057	\$181,781	\$396,956	\$8,270
0 - 125%	49	\$594,425	\$12,131	\$186,709	\$407,716	\$8,321
0 - 150%	57	\$704,616	\$12,362	\$221,320	\$483,296	\$8,479
0 - 175%	63	\$765,716	\$12,154	\$240,511	\$525,204	\$8,337
0 - 200%	64	\$776,198	\$12,128	\$243,804	\$532,394	\$8,319
0 - 133%	54	\$656,300	\$12,154	\$206,144	\$450,156	\$8,336
134 - 200%	10	\$119,898	\$11,990	\$37,660	\$82,238	\$8,224
Total	64	\$776,198	\$12,128	\$243,804	\$532,394	\$8,319

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Sonoma County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	52	\$742,497	\$14,279	\$233,218	\$509,279	\$9,794
0 - 50%	61	\$896,025	\$14,689	\$281,442	\$614,584	\$10,075
0 - 75%	76	\$1,156,834	\$15,222	\$363,362	\$793,473	\$10,440
0 - 100%	92	\$1,451,475	\$15,777	\$455,908	\$995,567	\$10,821
0 - 125%	106	\$1,743,117	\$16,445	\$547,513	\$1,195,604	\$11,279
0 - 150%	109	\$1,765,786	\$16,200	\$554,633	\$1,211,153	\$11,111
0 - 175%	116	\$1,871,138	\$16,130	\$587,724	\$1,283,413	\$11,064
0 - 200%	129	\$2,167,303	\$16,801	\$680,750	\$1,486,553	\$11,524
0 - 133%	106	\$1,743,117	\$16,445	\$547,513	\$1,195,604	\$11,279
134 - 200%	23	\$424,186	\$18,443	\$133,237	\$290,949	\$12,650
Total	129	\$2,167,303	\$16,801	\$680,750	\$1,486,553	\$11,524

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Stanislaus County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	37	\$505,988	\$13,675	\$158,931	\$347,057	\$9,380
0 - 50%	45	\$666,855	\$14,819	\$209,459	\$457,396	\$10,164
0 - 75%	47	\$720,736	\$15,335	\$226,383	\$494,353	\$10,518
0 - 100%	54	\$861,991	\$15,963	\$270,751	\$591,239	\$10,949
0 - 125%	57	\$948,412	\$16,639	\$297,896	\$650,516	\$11,413
0 - 150%	64	\$1,110,644	\$17,354	\$348,853	\$761,791	\$11,903
0 - 175%	66	\$1,146,287	\$17,368	\$360,049	\$786,238	\$11,913
0 - 200%	70	\$1,187,094	\$16,958	\$372,866	\$814,228	\$11,632
0 - 133%	57	\$948,412	\$16,639	\$297,896	\$650,516	\$11,413
134 - 200%	13	\$238,682	\$18,360	\$74,970	\$163,712	\$12,593
Total	70	\$1,187,094	\$16,958	\$372,866	\$814,228	\$11,632

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Sutter County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 50%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 75%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 100%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 125%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 150%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 175%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 200%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 133%	*	-	-	-	-	-
134 - 200%	*	-	-	-	-	-
Total	*	\$22,916	-	\$7,198	\$15,718	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Tehama County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 50%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 75%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 100%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 125%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 150%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 175%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 200%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 133%	*	-	-	-	-	-
134 - 200%	*	-	-	-	-	-
Total	*	\$39,260	-	\$12,331	\$26,928	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Trinity County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 50%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 75%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 100%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 125%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 150%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 175%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 200%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 133%	*	-	-	-	-	-
134 - 200%	*	-	-	-	-	-
Total	*	\$49,395	\$ -	\$15,515	\$33,880	\$ -

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Tulare County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	26	\$433,750	\$16,683	\$136,241	\$297,509	\$11,443
0 - 50%	27	\$453,729	\$16,805	\$142,516	\$311,213	\$11,526
0 - 75%	29	\$499,705	\$17,231	\$156,957	\$342,748	\$11,819
0 - 100%	30	\$502,928	\$16,764	\$157,970	\$344,959	\$11,499
0 - 125%	33	\$550,589	\$16,685	\$172,940	\$377,649	\$11,444
0 - 150%	36	\$600,586	\$16,683	\$188,644	\$411,942	\$11,443
0 - 175%	36	\$600,586	\$16,683	\$188,644	\$411,942	\$11,443
0 - 200%	37	\$626,232	\$16,925	\$196,700	\$429,533	\$11,609
0 - 133%	35	\$582,937	\$16,655	\$183,100	\$399,836	\$11,424
134 - 200%	*	43,295.89	-	13,599.24	29,696.65	-

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Ventura County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	42	\$620,579	\$14,776	\$194,924	\$425,655	\$10,135
0 - 50%	43	\$660,410	\$15,358	\$207,435	\$452,975	\$10,534
0 - 75%	55	\$846,004	\$15,382	\$265,730	\$580,274	\$10,550
0 - 100%	58	\$912,586	\$15,734	\$286,643	\$625,943	\$10,792
0 - 125%	66	\$1,048,727	\$15,890	\$329,405	\$719,322	\$10,899
0 - 150%	75	\$1,187,688	\$15,836	\$373,053	\$814,635	\$10,862
0 - 175%	85	\$1,327,660	\$15,620	\$417,018	\$910,642	\$10,713
0 - 200%	90	\$1,425,901	\$15,843	\$447,876	\$978,026	\$10,867
0 - 133%	68	\$1,069,026	\$15,721	\$335,781	\$733,245	\$10,783
134 - 200%	22	\$356,875	\$16,222	\$112,094	\$244,781	\$11,126
Total	90	\$1,425,901	\$15,843	\$447,876	\$978,026	\$10,867

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Yolo County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 50%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 75%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 100%	6	\$56,637	\$9,440	\$17,790	\$38,848	\$6,475
0 - 125%	7	\$79,746	\$11,392	\$25,048	\$54,698	\$7,814
0 - 150%	7	\$79,746	\$11,392	\$25,048	\$54,698	\$7,814
0 - 175%	8	\$81,332	\$10,167	\$25,546	\$55,786	\$6,973
0 - 200%	8	\$81,332	\$10,167	\$25,546	\$55,786	\$6,973
0 - 133%	7	\$79,746	\$11,392	\$25,048	\$54,698	\$7,814
134 - 200%	*	\$1,586		\$498	\$1,088	

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality

**CY 2010 ADAP Drug Expenditures and Rebate - Prepared for LIHP
Yuba County**

FPL % Range ¹	# Clients ²	Expenditures		Rebate ^{3,4}	Net Cost	
		Total	Per Client		Total - Rebate	Per Client
0 - 25%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 50%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 75%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 100%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 125%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 150%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 175%	*	-	-	-	-	-
0 - 200%	5	\$88,682	\$17,736	\$27,855	\$60,827	\$12,165
0 - 133%	*	\$51,368	-	\$16,135	\$35,233	-
134 - 200%	*	\$37,314	-	\$11,720	\$25,594	-
Total	5	\$88,682	\$17,736	\$27,855	\$60,827	\$12,165

NOTES:

¹2011 single household FPL used

²Clients are ADAP only, documented, and between the ages of 19 and 64, inclusive

³Rebate Rate = 31.41% (ADAP only rebate rate for FY 2009-10)

⁴Rebate rate reflects a combination of 340B and ACTF; even if the counties are 340B entities, they will not recoup rebate at this rate, so their net costs will be higher than indicated

* - Data withheld to maintain confidentiality