



HEALTHY COMMUNITIES DATA AND INDICATORS PROJECT

Short Title: Household types

Full title: Household by type of family and head of household

1. **Healthy Community Framework:** Meets basic needs of all
2. **What is our aspirational goal:** Affordable, high quality, socially integrated and location-efficient housing
3. **Why is this important to health?**

Description of significance and health connection

Families and household structure play a fundamental role in determining the overall health and well-being of adults and children. Mounting evidence supports that families with two stable married parents tend to yield the best outcomes for children, not only during childhood but well into adulthood. The key mechanisms that link family structure to child health and developmental outcomes are parental resources, parental mental health, parental relationship quality, parenting quality, father involvement, and family stability. Compared to married parents, single-parents have fewer resources; report more depression and psychological problems; tend to have poorer and problematic relationships with their partners; have poorer parenting skills; and are more susceptible to family instability. Besides parents, extended kin can provide additional social and financial support, resulting in improved health outcomes and access to health care. For example, 25% of children living with a single mother and a grandparent live in poverty compared with 41% of those living with a single mother only. The number of children living in U.S. households with two married parents has decreased from 77% in 1980 to 64% in 2012, while children living in single-mother households have increased from 18% to 24%. The likelihood of children living in single-mother households is more prevalent among African-American children (52%) compared to Latino children (27%) and White children (16%).

The proportion of non-family households of Americans living alone has also grown steadily over the past 40 years, increasing from 17% in 1970 to 27% in 2012, and the proportion increases with advanced age. The elderly living alone with limited social contact with others experience worse health and mental health outcomes including functional decline, depression, and death than the elderly not living alone. Retired women of age 65 or older that live alone (never married, widowed, divorced) are more likely to live in poverty than retired men living alone of the same age group (18% of women versus 12% of men). The U.S. population 65 years or older increased from 9.7% in 2000 to 15.1% in 2010 and it is predicted to continue growing. Women constitute almost 60% of the people 65 years or older.

Summary of evidence

An extensive body of research suggests that with greater access to health care, children raised in married-couple families tend to exhibit better mental and physical health and fewer emotional or behavioral problems than children living in other types of families, particularly in single-mother families. Infants born to unmarried mothers have a higher risk than those born to married mothers to experience low birth weight and infant mortality, and they are also more likely to live in poverty than those of married mothers. Most single-mother families have limited disposable incomes to cover children's education, child care, and health care costs. Approximately 70% of children living with a single mother are poor or low income compared to 32% of children living in other types of families.

Key References

- Amato PR. [The impact of family formation change on the cognitive, social, and emotional well-being of the next generation.](#) *Future Child.* 2005; 15(2):75-96.
- Waldfogel J, Craigie TA, Brooks-Gunn J. [Fragile families and child wellbeing.](#) *Future Child.* 2010; 20(2):87-112.
- Kreider RM, Ellis R. [Living Arrangements of Children: 2009, Current Population Reports.](#) Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, U.S. Census Bureau; 2011.
- Federal Interagency Forum on Child and Family Statistics. [America's Children: Key National Indicators of Well-Being, 2013.](#) Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office; 2013.
- Mather M. [U.S. Children In Single-Mother Families.](#) Washington, DC: Population Reference Bureau; 2010.



- Vespa J, Lewis JM. [America's Families and Living Arrangements: 2012, Current Population Reports](#). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, U.S. Census Bureau; 2013.
- Perissinotto CM, Cenzer IS, Covinsky KE. [Loneliness in older persons: a predictor of functional decline and death](#). *Arch Intern Med*. 2012; 172(14):1078-1083.
- Harris BH, Werman A. [Retired women at risk for downward income mobility](#). Brookings Web site. Published October 9, 2014.
- Werner CA. [The Older Population: 2010 Census Briefs](#). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, U.S. Census Bureau; 2011.
- Blackwell DL. [Family structure and children's health in the United States: findings from the National Health Interview Survey, 2001–2007](#). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, Vital Health Stat. 2010; 10(246).

4. What is the indicator?

Detailed definition: Percent of households by type of family and head of household

- Stratification: Types of family (8 groups), race/ethnicity (8 groups)

Data Description:

- Data source: American Community Survey (ACS), <http://factfinder2.census.gov>
- Years available: 2005-2007, 2008-2010, 2011-2013, and 2006-2010
- Updated: 1, 3, and 5 year intervals
- Geographies available: Census tract, cities/town, county, county subdivision, region (derived), state

Data from ACS table DP02 were downloaded from <http://factfinder2.census.gov>. The types of family included: 1) married couple, 2) married couple with own children under 18 years, 3) female householder, no husband present, 4) female householder, no husband present, with own children under 18 years, 5) male householder, no wife present, 6) male householder, no wife present, with own children under 18 years, 7) nonfamily households, and 8) nonfamily households with householder living alone. A nonfamily household is a householder living alone or with nonrelatives only. The denominator was the total number of households, and the numerator was the number of households by type of family. The percent of household for each type of family was calculated from the household estimate of the numerator and denominator (x100), and its standard error was computed from the margin of error provided by the ACS table DP02. Other calculations included 95% confidence interval, relative standard error, deciles and relative risk. Regional estimates were based on county groupings associated with California metropolitan planning organizations as reported in the [2010 California Regional Progress Report](#).

5. Limitations


The relationship to the householder (relative or nonrelative) determines the type of household. This creates some limitations. For example, the number of grandparent headed households cannot be quantified since these households could be included in various categories. If a grandparent and grandchild in the household were neither related to the householder, this would be classified as nonfamily households. For married grandparents living with grandchildren, this would be classified as a married couple with own children under 18 years. Family households and married-couple families do not include same-sex married couples even if the marriage was performed in a state issuing marriage certificates for same-sex couples. Same sex couple households are included in the family household category if there is at least one additional person related to the householder by birth or adoption. Same-sex couple households with no relatives of the householder present are tabulated in nonfamily households.

6. Projects using this indicator

- [Healthy Mendocino: Connecting people and information for better health](#)
- [The future of families to 2030: Projections, policy challenges and policy options - a synthesis report by the Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development \(OECD\)](#)
- [World Family Map: Mapping family change and child well-being outcomes](#)

7. Examples of maps, figures, and tables


Map 1: Percent of Female Householder with Children Households, Counties, California, 2006-2010.



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2006-2010.

Analysis by CDPH.

Map 2: Percent of Female Householder with Children Households, Cities and Towns, Southern California Region, 2006-2010.




Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2006-2010.


Analysis by CDPH.



Types of Households with Children, California, 2005-2007, 2008-2010, 2011-2013.




Types of Households without Children, California, 2005-2007, 2008-2010, 2011-2013.




Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (2005-2007, 2008-2010, 2011-2013).
 Note: Children include individuals from 0 to under 18 years of age.

Female Householder with Children by Race/Ethnicity, California, 2006-2010.



Married Couple with Children by Race/Ethnicity, California, 2006-2010.



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (2005-2007, 2008-2010, 2011-2013).
 Note: Children include individuals from 0 to under 18 years of age.
 a=African American, b=Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander, c=American Indian/Alaska Native



Table 1. Percent of Households by Types of Household, by Selected Cities in California, 2005-2007 and 2011-2013.

City	Married Couple with Children		Female Householder with Children		Male Householder with Children	
	2005-2007	2011-2013	2005-2007	2011-2013	2005-2007	2011-2013
Merced	24.7	21.8	14.2	13.5	5.0	3.7
San Bernardino	25.4	21.0	13.6	14.7	5.6	3.8
Fresno	23.6	19.7	12.6	12.4	3.5	5.0
Bakersfield	28.3	26.3	11.8	11.0	3.5	4.5
Stockton	25.5	22.2	11.4	12.7	4.2	3.8
Richmond	19.5	20.3	10.6	9.0	3.5	3.0
Modesto	22.4	19.4	9.3	10.0	3.7	3.5
Oakland	15.4	14.4	8.8	7.7	2.1	2.9
Anaheim	29.4	27.1	8.7	8.7	3.0	2.4
Riverside	28.6	24.2	7.5	9.2	3.0	2.8
Alameda	19.1	20.4	6.6	5.7	2.9	2.0
Berkeley	12.0	10.9	3.9	3.7	0.9	1.4
Palo Alto	28.0	28.7	3.4	4.5	1.0	0.9
San Francisco	12.7	12.3	3.3	3.3	1.2	1.0
Cupertino	44.1	42.5	3.0	4.8	1.4	0.7

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (2005-2007, 2011-2013).

Note: Children include individuals from 0 to under 18 years of age.