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**Why is Surveillance of WNV/SLEV Important?**

West Nile virus (WNV) is the leading cause of mosquito-borne (arboviral) disease in the United States. It is most commonly spread to people by the bite of an infected *Culex spp.* mosquito. Most arboviral infections are asymptomatic; however, clinical disease can range from mild febrile illness to severe neuroinvasive disease. Arboviruses from the same genus stimulate production of anti-arboviral antibodies that often cross react with each other to neutralize different arboviruses. In geographic areas where two or more closely related arboviruses occur, serologic testing for more than one virus may be needed to determine the specific causative agent. For example, such testing might be needed to distinguish antibodies resulting from infections within the genus *Flavivirus* (including but not limited to: West Nile, St. Louis encephalitis, Powassan, Zika, dengue, Japanese encephalitis, and yellow fever viruses). St. Louis encephalitis virus (SLEV), a virus closely related to WNV, is co-circulating with WNV in many California counties, having re-emerged in 2015 after a more than 10-year hiatus from the state. SLEV should be considered as a causative agent of both neuroinvasive illness and non-neuroinvasive illness. Neuroinvasive illness due to flaviviruses is usually characterized by the acute onset of fever with headache, myalgia, stiff neck, altered mental status, seizures, limb weakness, or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) pleocytosis, leading to either encephalitis, meningitis, or acute flaccid paralysis (AFP). AFP can result from anterior ("polio") myelitis, peripheral neuritis, or post-infectious peripheral demyelinating neuropathy (i.e., Guillain-Barré syndrome). Less common neurological manifestations, such as cranial nerve palsies, may also occur. Both WNV and SLEV can also cause non-neuroinvasive disease. This can take the form of an acute febrile illness that can include (among other symptoms) headache, myalgias, arthralgia, rash, and/or gastrointestinal symptoms.\(^1\)

**California Department of Public Health**

The California Department of Public Health (CDPH) provides guidance to medical providers and local health departments regarding interpretation of laboratory results, disease classification, and case reporting.\(^2\) The Viral and Rickettsial Disease Laboratory (VRDL) at CDPH works in conjunction with local public health laboratory partners to provide laboratory testing for submitters. The Vector-Borne Disease Section (VBDS) at CDPH works with local physicians, communicable disease controllers, epidemiologists, vector control agencies, and other public health professionals to ensure prevention, surveillance, and control of vector-borne diseases including WNV and SLEV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Information for CDPH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CDPH Program Name</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral and Rickettsial Disease Laboratory (VRDL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vector-Borne Disease Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. [CDC WNV Website](https://www.cdc.gov/westnile)
2. [CDPH WNV Website](http://westnile.ca.gov)
   - [California WNV Dead Bird Report](http://www.westnile.ca.gov/report_wnv.php)
Clinical and Laboratory Criteria for Diagnosis of WNV/SLEV
Identification of human cases early in the WNV/SLEV season is important for guiding mosquito surveillance, control, and public education activities that reduce the risk of additional infections. Thus, WNV and SLEV testing is recommended for individuals with the following clinical syndromes, particularly during WNV/SLEV season which, in California, usually ranges from June through November. The CSTE case definition of a clinically-compatible case of arboviral disease can be found in Table 1, with laboratory criteria for diagnosis being defined in Table 2.

Table 1: Clinical Case Definition3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Description</th>
<th>Clinical Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEUROINVASIVE Disease</strong></td>
<td>Meningitis <em>(NOTE: enterovirus should also be considered for individuals ≤ 18 years of age)</em>, encephalitis, acute flaccid paralysis; atypical Guillain-Barré Syndrome; transverse myelitis, or other acute signs of central or peripheral neurologic dysfunction, as documented by a physician, AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absence of a more likely clinical explanation. Other clinically compatible symptoms of neuroinvasive arboviral disease include headache, myalgia, rash, arthralgia, vertigo, vomiting, and/or nuchal rigidity (neck stiffness).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NON-NEUROINVASIVE Disease</strong></td>
<td>Fever (chills) as reported by the patient and documented by a health-care provider, AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absence of neuroinvasive disease, AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absence of a more likely clinical explanation. Other clinically compatible symptoms of non-neuroinvasive arboviral disease include: headache, myalgia, rash, arthralgia, vertigo, vomiting, paresis and/or nuchal rigidity (stiff neck).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Laboratory Criteria for Diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Classification</th>
<th>Clinical Description</th>
<th>Laboratory Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROBABLE</strong></td>
<td><strong>Neuroinvasive Disease:</strong> A case that meets the above clinical criteria for neuroinvasive disease and the following laboratory criteria:</td>
<td>• Virus-specific IgM antibodies in CSF or serum but with no other testing completed, in a county where both WNV and SLEV were detected that calendar year.(^5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Non-neuroinvasive Disease:</strong> A case that meets the above clinical criteria for non-neuroinvasive disease and the following laboratory criteria:</td>
<td>• Virus-specific IgM antibodies in serum but with no other testing.(^5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONFIRMED</strong></td>
<td><strong>Neuroinvasive Disease:</strong> A case that meets the above clinical criteria for neuroinvasive disease and <strong>ONE OR MORE</strong> of the following laboratory criteria:</td>
<td>• Detection of specific nucleic acid or isolation of virus from serum, blood, CSF, other body fluids, OR • Four-fold or greater change in virus-specific antibody titers in paired sera, OR • Virus-specific IgM antibodies in serum with confirmatory virus-specific neutralizing antibodies in the same or a later specimen, OR • Virus-specific IgM antibodies in CSF, and a negative result for other IgM antibodies in CSF for arboviruses endemic to the region where exposure occurred.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Non-Neuroinvasive Disease:</strong> A case that meets the above clinical criteria for non-neuroinvasive disease and <strong>ONE OR MORE</strong> of the following laboratory criteria:</td>
<td>• Detection of specific nucleic acid or isolation of virus from serum, blood, other body fluids, OR • Four-fold or greater change in virus-specific antibody titers in paired sera, OR • Virus-specific IgM antibodies in serum with confirmatory virus-specific neutralizing antibodies in the same or a later specimen.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


\(^5\) Case-patients meeting probable case definition for both WNV and SLEV (i.e. IgM against both WNV and SLEV are detected, but neutralizing antibody against both WNV and SLEV are detected or neutralizing antibody against neither WNV and SLEV are detected), should be reported as probable WNV cases.
**Viral and Rickettsial Disease Laboratory (VRDL) Testing for WNV and SLEV**

Please visit our VRDL website for detailed information about [testing guidance for WNV and SLEV](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/WNV-SLEV-TestingGuidance.pdf).  

**Recommended Specimens for Collection**

**NOTE:** CSF or whole blood specimens must be submitted with an accompanying serum sample.

- ≥3-5 cc **Serum** (Red top or serum separator tubes acceptable)
- 1-2 cc **Cerebral Spinal Fluid** (Sterile screwcap tube)
- ≥3-5 cc **Whole Blood** (Purple top - EDTA tubes)

Laboratory diagnosis of human WNV and SLEV infections is a multi-step process. A laboratory cannot use serology alone to distinguish WNV from SLEV because of the serological cross-reactivity between these flaviviruses. Molecular tests, while specific, have low sensitivity for detecting viral RNA in both serum and CSF samples. Currently, VRDL is evaluating the sensitivity of a WNV and SLEV real-time PCR assay using whole blood samples based upon published data indicating that it may be more sensitive than serum or CSF⁷. See Table 3 for a listing of diagnostic versus “surveillance use only” tests provided by VRDL and the projected turnaround time for results. **NOTE: Tests designated for surveillance use MAY NOT be used for clinical diagnosis. Diagnostic tests have a turnaround time for results of 10 business days. Surveillance only tests have a turnaround time of up to 21 business days.**

**Molecular Testing**

Molecular tests, such as real-time PCR, are not recommended as the primary test for laboratory diagnosis of symptomatic arboviral infections due to the low sensitivity for WNV and SLEV in serum and CSF. This is likely because most individuals present to healthcare providers when symptoms develop, which is when fewer viral particles are expected to be circulating in their systems. However, studies have documented that real-time PCR of whole blood may be more sensitive and may also detect viral nucleic acids longer after development of symptoms compared with other specimen types. **If WNV or SLEV nucleic acids are detected, the result is highly specific to the virus and confirmatory serology testing is not required.** For these reasons, VRDL is currently assessing a real-time PCR test for the detection of WNV/SLEV RNA in whole blood and recommends submission of whole blood specimens for research purposes. WNV and SLEV real-time PCR is available for CSF upon special request and preapproval from VRDL.

---


**NOTE:** An electronically-completed [VRDL General Purpose Specimen Submittal Form](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/WNV-SLEV-TestingGuidance.pdf) must be submitted for each sample submitted for testing.

Serologic (IgM/IgG) Screening Tests

Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA) or Enzyme Immunoassay (EIA) serology testing: Either an IFA or EIA tests may be used for frontline screening of IgM antibodies for WNV and SLEV at VRDL. A positive flavivirus antibody finding in CSF is valuable in cases with neuroinvasive disease; however, a negative result does not rule out flavivirus infection, as antibody levels in CSF tend to be lower than those in serum, and thus may be below assay detection limits. This reduces the sensitivity and specificity of tests on CSF and the resulting predictive value negative. Because of this, submission of a CSF sample without an accompanying serum is strongly discouraged.

IgG serology can be used as an adjunct test for WNV/SLEV when paired acute and convalescent sera are submitted for testing. Paired sera showing a significant rise (≥4x) in IgG antibody titer may indicate a recent infection, whereas a single IgG titer result cannot differentiate between old and new infections.

Plaque Reduction Neutralization Test (PRNT)
The Plaque Reduction Neutralization Test (PRNT) can detect virus-specific neutralizing antibodies in a sample. Due to the high degree of serological cross-reactivity among flaviviruses, the PRNT is the most specific serological test available for distinguishing between WNV and SLEV. PRNT results may be confounded by vaccination/previous exposure to flaviviruses due to the production of generic cross-reactive, flavivirus-neutralizing antibodies.

NOTE: IgG antibodies and neutralizing antibodies are not always the same. Neutralizing antibodies represent a subset of total antibodies (IgA, IgD, IgE, IgG, and IgM) that, via binding to a virus, interfere with its ability to infect a cell (i.e., neutralization), whereas not all IgG antibodies will neutralize virus. IFA and EIA tests aim to measure the quantity of antibodies which bind to a specific viral antigen, whereas the PRNT measures the antibody’s ability to neutralize virus in culture.

Table 3. VRDL Diagnostic versus Surveillance Assays with Turnaround Time (TAT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Real-time PCR TAT: 21 business days</th>
<th>Serology TAT: 10 business days</th>
<th>PRNT TAT: 21 business days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WNV</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>Not an acceptable sample type</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>Surveillance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>Surveillance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole blood</td>
<td>Surveillance</td>
<td>Not an acceptable sample type</td>
<td>Not an acceptable sample type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLEV</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>Not an acceptable sample type</td>
<td>Surveillance</td>
<td>Surveillance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Surveillance</td>
<td>Surveillance</td>
<td>Surveillance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole blood</td>
<td>Surveillance</td>
<td>Not an acceptable sample type</td>
<td>Not an acceptable sample type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Factors to Consider when Interpreting Arboviral Laboratory Serology Results

- **Arboviral serologic assays**: Assays for the detection of IgM and IgG antibodies commonly include enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), microsphere immunoassay (MIA), or immunofluorescence assay (IFA). These assays provide a presumptive diagnosis and should have
confirmatory testing performed. Confirmatory testing involves the detection of arboviral-specific neutralizing antibodies utilizing assays such as plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT).

- **Other factors to consider:** Vaccination history, detailed travel history, date of symptom onset, and knowledge of potentially cross-reactive arboviruses known to circulate in the geographic area should be considered when interpreting results.

- **Rise and fall of IgM antibodies:** For most infections, IgM antibodies are detectable between three and eight days post symptom onset, and generally persist for 30 to 90 days. Importantly, longer duration has also been documented (e.g., ≥3 years for WNV). Serum collected within three days of symptom onset may not have detectable IgM antibodies from the current illness. If the serum sample is IgM negative, but WNV is strongly suspected, another serum sample should be collected 3-5 days after the first serum collection for repeat testing.

- **Persistence of IgM antibodies:** Arboviral IgM antibodies in serum can be detected in some patients months or years after their acute illness. Therefore, the presence of these virus-specific IgM antibodies may indicate a past infection and be unrelated to the current illness. Finding virus-specific IgM antibodies in CSF, or a fourfold or greater change in virus-specific antibody titers between acute- and convalescent-phase serum specimens provides additional laboratory evidence that the arbovirus was the likely cause of the patient’s recent illness. Clinical and epidemiologic history should also be carefully considered.

- **Persistence of IgG and other neutralizing antibodies:** Arboviral IgG and other neutralizing antibodies can persist for many years following a symptomatic or asymptomatic infection. Therefore, the presence of these antibodies alone is only evidence of previous infection and clinically compatible cases with the presence of IgG, but not IgM, should be evaluated for other etiologic agents.

**Reporting of VRDL Laboratory Test Results to Submitters**

Following completion of testing, laboratory results are sent to the local public health laboratory or local health department through secure email. Local public health laboratories or health departments that receive results from VRDL are expected to forward test results to the submitter and share significant findings with health department personnel where the patient resides. **NOTE: Local health departments should follow up on all IgM-positive results.**

**Imported Arboviral Diseases**

Human disease due to dengue, chikungunya, Zika or yellow fever viruses are nationally notifiable to CDC using specific case definitions. However, many other exotic arboviruses (e.g., Japanese encephalitis, tick-borne encephalitis, Venezuelan equine encephalitis, and Rift Valley fever viruses) are also important public health risks in the United States because competent vectors exist here that could permit transmission of imported arboviral pathogens. Health-care providers and public health officials should maintain a high index of clinical suspicion for cases of potentially exotic or unusual arboviral etiology, particularly among international travelers. If a suspected case occurs, it should be immediately reported to appropriate local and state health authorities.

---

8 CDC WNV Diagnostic Testing Information for Healthcare Providers: https://www.cdc.gov/westnile/healthcareproviders/healthCareProviders-Diagnostic.html
Reporting Probable or Confirmed Cases of WNV/SLEV to CDPH

Acute WNV/SLEV infection are nationally-notifiable conditions. Under Title 17 of the California Code of Regulations, Section 2505, laboratories are required to report positive WNV test results to the local health department where the patient resides. Please also report probable and confirmed cases of SLEV in the same manner. To determine whether an individual should be reported to CDPH as a case, local health departments should refer to the case definition for WNV/SLEV9, and reach out to Robert Snyder (916-445-2491; Robert.Snyder@cdph.ca.gov), the WNV subject matter expert at CDPH, with any questions or concerns. Please note that this case definition is intended for public health surveillance purposes only and should not be used for clinical diagnoses.

Local health departments must report cases of WNV/SLEV illness and WNV-positive blood donors [Presumptive Viremic Donors (PVD)] via CalREDIE or by Fax to 510-412-6263. NOTE: Case report forms may also be mailed to Vector-Borne Disease Section, however this is discouraged as paper-based reporting can result in significant reporting delays.

ATTN: WNV Human Forms, California Department of Public Health
850 Marina Bay Parkway, Bldg G1-004
Richmond, CA 94804

See West Nile Virus (WNV) Infection Case Report Form CDPH 8687 (PDF) and Appendix A: WNV/SLEV Presumptive Viremic Donors Report Form.

Asymptomatic WNV Infections including Blood Donors

Asymptomatic infection with WNV, which is generally identified in blood donors, but also in organ donors, is also reportable. Blood or organ donors who test positive for WNV via molecular assays may not necessarily be ill, nor will they initially have positive IgM or IgG antibody test results. Local health departments should report blood donors that meet at least one of the following criteria as a presumptively viremic donor to CDPH-VBDS:

a) One reactive nucleic acid-amplification (NAT) test with signal-to-cutoff (S/CO) ≥ 17 OR
b) Two reactive NATs (any S/CO)

Additional serological testing is not required if either of these criteria are met. Local health departments should follow up with the donor two weeks after the date of donation to assess if the patient subsequently developed symptoms and reclassify the infection per their clinical presentation.

West Nile Virus (WNV) Case Reporting

Report the case as:

- West Nile virus – non-neuroinvasive (specify clinical syndrome as ‘febrile illness’ or ‘other clinical presentation’ [if non-febrile])
  - Non-neuroinvasive cases should NOT have any neuroinvasive symptoms indicated (e.g. seizures, paresis/paralysis, coma, ataxia, etc.)
- West Nile virus – neuroinvasive (specify clinical syndrome[s] as ‘encephalitis’, ‘meningitis’, ‘acute flaccid paralysis’, and/or ‘other neuroinvasive presentation’)
  - Clinical syndrome must be neuroinvasive (can also include others as secondary and tertiary syndromes)

---

• Please consult with CDPH epidemiologists when considering ‘other neuroinvasive presentation’ as this should only be indicated in the strict absence of other neuroinvasive symptoms
  ▪ West Nile virus – asymptomatic (specify as asymptomatic)
    • WNV laboratory results must be included in the case report
    • Specimen collection date must be included in the case report as well as Blood Donor Identification Number (e.g. W###########)

See Appendix B: WNV CalREDIE Reporting Flowchart

Saint Louis Encephalitis Virus (SLEV) Case Reporting
Report the case as “St. Louis Encephalitis Virus Infection”
  ▪ If there are any associated WNV incidents, close these incidents as ‘Not A Case’ and indicate that it is an SLEV case, also indicating the SLEV CalREDIE incident number in the ‘Case Investigation’ tab under ‘Notes/Remarks’
  ▪ The SLEV incident must indicate symptoms and whether the disease was neuroinvasive (clinical syndrome[s] ‘encephalitis’, ‘meningitis’, ‘acute flaccid paralysis’, and/or ‘other neuroinvasive presentation’), or non-neuroinvasive (‘febrile illness’ or ‘other clinical presentation’ [if non-febrile]
  ▪ Both SLEV and WNV laboratory results must be included in the SLEV case report
  ▪ Onset date must be included in the case report.

See Appendix C: SLEV CalREDIE Reporting Flowchart

CDPH Case Counts
  ▪ Case counts are updated every Friday during the WNV season on the California WNV website (http://westnile.ca.gov). SLEV cases are reported on the SLEV tab of the California WNV website. All WNV and SLEV cases reported to CDPH by 9:00 AM on Thursday will be included in the subsequent Friday’s update.
  ▪ Cases reported via CalREDIE that meet the following criteria will be included in CDPH case counts and reports, and reported to the CDC ArboNET reporting system each week:
    • Process Status: Closed by LHD
    • Disease: West Nile virus – neuroinvasive, non-neuroinvasive, or asymptomatic (or an SLEV case indicated as “St. Louis encephalitis virus infection”
    • Resolution Status: ‘Confirmed’ or ‘Probable’
    • Onset date (if blood/organ donor, then date of specimen collection/donation)

NOTE: Cases that do not meet the above criteria will NOT be counted or reported to CDC (e.g. cases listed as ‘Under Investigation’ or ‘Suspect’)
  ▪ If you believe a case is missing from the case count on the CDPH website or elsewhere for that season (or previous seasons), please contact VBDS WNV epidemiologist, Robert Snyder at (916) 445-2491.

Vector Control Notification
Health departments should notify their local vector control agency of any human WNV activity as soon as possible, so that enhanced mosquito surveillance and control measures can be implemented to reduce the risk of additional transmission. CDPH encourages reporting of (at a minimum) the cross street where the suspect mosquito exposure occurred. Please contact Robert Snyder with any questions or concerns related to communication of cases with local vector control agencies. Ideally this contact will occur prior to confirmation of the case, as prevention efforts should be undertaken as soon as possible.
**WNV/SLEV Associated Fatalities**

Determining whether WNV or SLEV has led to the death of a patient can be difficult. WNV/SLEV may not always be listed as a contributory or underlying cause of death on death certificates, and fatalities can occur well after acute infection. Many case-patients also have underlying conditions that could contribute to the immediate causes of death. In general, if a patient was diagnosed with WNV/SLEV and never recovered from the sequelae (e.g., they were discharged to a convalescent hospital until their death), a health department should consider designating the patient as a WNV- or SLEV-associated fatality.
Appendix A: WNV/SLEV Presumptive Viremic Donors Report Form

California Department of Public Health (CDPH)
Vector-Borne Disease Section
850 Marina Bay Parkway, Richmond, CA, 94804
(510) 412-4650; Fax (510) 412-6263

Report of WNV/SLEV-Positive Blood Donor to the CDPH

1. Blood Collection Facility:
   a. Name:_______________________________________
   b. Address: __________________________________
   c. ZIP Code: _________
   d. Telephone number: (_____) ________________________
   e. Contact person: ______________________________

2. Blood Unit Identification Number: _W__________________________

3. Date of Collection: _______ / ______ / ______________

4. Donor’s name: __________________________________

5. Donor’s date of birth: __ /__ / ____

6. Donor’s gender: M  /  F

7. Donor’s Address: ____________________________________

   ZIP code: _______  Tel: (_______) _____________________

8. This test was confirmed: Y/N
   a. If Y, confirmatory test and result:_______________________

9. NAT #1 S/CO:______

10. NAT #2 S/CO:______ (if done)

11. Blood testing laboratory name:____________________________
    Address: ______________________________________________
    Phone: (____)________

13. Comments:________________________________________________________________________
Appendix B: WNV CalREDIE Reporting Flowchart

Suspect West Nile virus infection

Does individual have symptoms?

Yes

Select the appropriate disease condition:
West Nile virus – Neuroinvasive
West Nile virus – Non-neuroinvasive

Select the following disease condition:
West Nile virus – Asymptomatic

No

Does individual meet criteria for presumptively viremic blood donor or other compatible laboratory results?

Yes

Set Resolution Status to Probable or Confirmed

Set Resolution Status to Not a Case

No

Do test results meet laboratory criteria for diagnosis?

Yes

Set Resolution Status to Confirmed

Ready to report?

Yes

Set Process Status to Closed by LHD

Case is included in case counts and reports on www.westnile.ca.gov and reported to CDC ArboNET

No

Set Resolution Status to Not a Case

Ready to report?

Yes

Set Process Status to Closed by LHD

Asymptomatic infections are included in reports on www.westnile.ca.gov and reported to CDC ArboNET. Note that asymptomatic cases are not formally considered ‘cases’ but are reportable.
Appendix C: SLEV CalREDIE Reporting Flowchart

- **Do laboratory results indicate SLEV?**
  - **Yes**
    - Set the disease condition to: St. Louis Encephalitis Virus Infection
    - Set Resolution Status to Probable or Confirmed
    - Ready to report?
    - Set Process Status to Closed by LHD
    - Case is included in case counts and reports on www.westnile.ca.gov and reported to CDC ArboNET
  - **No**
    - Follow WNV reporting algorithm as needed (Appendix B)
    - Close associated WNV incidents and note SLEV incident number in additional remarks

Ready to report?

Case is included in case counts and reports on www.westnile.ca.gov and reported to CDC ArboNET