	TATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA ND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:			(X2) MUL	TIPLE CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATE SUR COMPLETE	
		050222		B. WNG		01/22	2/2009
NAME OF PR	ROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		STREET ADDRESS	S, CITY, STATE	ZIP CODE	·	
SHARP C	HULA VISTA MEDICAL CE	NTER	751 MEDICAL C	ENTER COL	JRT, CHULA VISTA, CA 91911 SAN DII	EGO COUNTÝ	
ļ.							}
·					Lacorie Stationer and Company of the State	Cappeler (Cappeler)	· · · · ·
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEEDED BY SC IDENTIFYING INFORMA	FULL	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECT (EACH GORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE D	BE CROSS-	(X5) COMPLETE DATE
	The following reflects Department of Public of the self-reported inci Representing the Ca Health:	Health during the dent # 173475.	investigation	· į	MAY 2 2 2009	B	
	Title 22 Surgical Service General Requirements 70223 (b)(2) A committee of the medical staff shall be assigned responsibility for: Development, maintenance and implementation of written policies and procedures in consultation with other appropriate health professionals and administration. Policies shall be approved by the governing body. Procedures shall be approved by the administration and medical staff where such is appropriate.			فز	The state of the state of the state of		2
					Policy and Procedure Surgion & Critical Results (A). Circulating nurse responsere refined to include: 1) to verbally convey the results of count to the surgeon; 2) main orderly OR suite to facilitate item(s) dropped off the field: 3)maintain an accurate, ongo count tally sheet/board	nsibilities of each ntain an locating in	4-34-69
	Radiological Service Ge 70253 (b) Written policies and p and maintained by th service in consultation professionals and admin	procedures shall be ne person responsi with other approp	developed ble for the		of added items to the sterile of added items to the sterile field and sho the scrub person; 5) isolate a from the field for counting; a document the intra-operative results on the perioperative notes.	lisearded w it to ll items and 6)	
	implemented when a surgical counts of disposable clamping surgery, approximately	ensure that it's to surgical co discrepancy occur "bulldog clamp devices used	policy and bunts was red in the s" (small, in cardiac ch in	·	If there is an incorrect count following steps will be taken operating room team and documented by CN. Staff is perform a thorough and sequ search of the operative field a	to ential	
Event ID:U	TL511		5/14/2009	9:31:3	31AM		

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

YP Patient Care Services

5/20/09

	OF DEFICIENCIES F CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIE IDENTIFICATION NU		(X2) MULT	IPLE CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATE SUI COMPLET	
		050222		B. WING			
NAME OF CR	OVIDER OR SUPPLIER		STREET ADDRESS	CITY, STATE	ZIP CODE		
	HULA VISȚA MEDICAL CE	NTER	l		RT, CHULA VISTA, CA 91911 SAN DIE	EGO COUNTY	,
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEEDED BY SC IDENTIFYING INFORMA	FULL	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECT (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD & REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DI	BE CROSS-	(X5) COMPLETE DATE
	information was sipertaining to the una order to perform a search for the missing bypass surgery. Provided a surgical operation to refrom his chest cavity, the unaccounted for but a surgical operation to refrom his chest cavity, the unaccounted for but a surgical operation. Provided a surgical consultation of the surgical consultation of the surgical consult dated 12/15/08 coronary artery disease the heart) and severe condition in which the less search of the surgical consultation of the surgical consult	1's cardiac bypara in to the heart mon to the heart mon to accounted for at initial search did and there were condition. Following the initial search did and there were condition the X-ray, the facility intensified dog remained unacted to ensure that curate verbal and hared between counted for bulldoon accurate initial government of the initial government. The days that the initial government is a record of the initial government. The initial government is a record of the initial government is a record of the initial government. The initial government is a record of the initial government is a record of the initial government. The initial government is a record of the initial government is a record of the initial government. 2.M., a record of the initial government is a record of the initial government. The initial government is a record of the initial government is a record of the initial government. The initial government is a record of the initial government is a record of the initial government. The initial government is a record of the	prove blood uscle). One the end of the surgical not produce incerns about here was no the search, counted for consistent, and written departments ag clamp, in radiological following his a second foreign body identified as review was not Physical led to the lat included his medical sive heart high blood iac surgery and severe vessels of sfunction (a per of		operating room when an incorrect/inconsistent count ha identified. Counts are written white board prior to the start or case, during the case each item is documented on the white bothe end of the case, the white bothe end of the case, the white bothe field. If still unresolved, the RN Circumbifies the surgeon of the need an x-ray and an x-ray will be taken before the pleaves the OR. Post-op safety fination. (B). Nurse Manager Surgical so (C). Random audits to ensure stollowing hospital policies. Process to follow when a foreign object is not found after an x-ray performed. (A). If the radiologist has any questions about what he is look for, he must contact the surgeon the operating room. The surged designee will personally descrimissing object, procedure performed the exact area of the surgery (R. Lower Left abdominal or left unchest etc.), and any other information that might be helpful. Policy we have the case the country that might be helpful. Policy we have the case the country that might be helpful.	on the feach added ard at coard ard at coard ard at coard ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ard	4-30-09
Event ID:U	TL511		5/14/2009	9:31:3	1AM		

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

TITLE

(X6) DATE

CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY

DEPARTIVI	ENT OF FOREIG LICYCLI					F	
	OF DEFICIENCIES F CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIE IDENTIFICATION NU		(X2) MULT!	IPLE CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATE SU COMPLE	
		050222		B. WING		01/2	22/2009
NAME OF PR	OVIDER OR SUPPLIER		STREET ADDRESS,	CITY, STATE,	ZIP CODE		
SHARP CH	IULA VISTA MEDICAL CE	NTER	751 MEDICAL CE	NTER COU	RT, CHULA VISTA, CA 91911 SAN DI	EGO COUNT	Υ
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	ATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEEDED BY LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMA	FULL	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORREC' (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE D	BE CROSS-	(X5) COMPLETE DATE
	Continued From page the heart did not functi				changed to reflect new		
	Per the nursing of dated 12/22/08, the cardiac bypass (5 surgery on 12/22/08. surgical count was "takenand read by (patient) to be traintensive care unit)." A review of the pl 12/30/08 revealed the fluid around his lu (specialized X-ray) was The chest CT scan the following: "meta pericardial fat consbody." Per an Operative Redays following Patier he returned to the	e patient underweit coronary arteries Per this same do unresolved" and an radiologist and cleansported to SIC hysician progress at Patient 1 develongs, and a ches performed. result, dated 12/30/ lilic foreign body sistent with retain eport, dated 12/31/ont 1's cardiac bypa	nt quintuple bypassed) becument, the "X-ray was eared for pt U (surgical notes dated ped excess t CT scan 08, reported in posterior ned foreign 08, and 10 ass surgery,		Radiologists educated about communication process of coperating room if they are used to the communication process of coperating room if they are used to the communication of they are used to the communication of the co	calling the nable to ad talking nee. ble in-wed blogist our out ay help in g foreign not the nd the ost t was off	
	underwent a second removal of the "foreign	d surgical operation body" from his of the unaction the 12/22/08 cards of P.M., an interest of everything possibuscle and it could	on for the chest cavity, counted for liac bypass view was DR Manager lie, but the be hard to		whenever the patient is stable to have the procedure. (B). Nurse Manager Surgical Services (C). Review all unresolved to object for compliance with procedure.	le enough il foreign	
Event ID:U	TL511		5/14/2009	9:31:3	31AM		
ABORATORY	DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDE	R/SUPPLIER REPRESE	NTATIVE'S SIGNAT	JRE	TITLE		(X6) DATE

	IT OF DEFICIENCIES OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPL IDENTIFICATION N		(X2) MULTI	PLE CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATE SU COMPLE	
}		050222		B. WNG		01/2	22/2009
NAME OF P	ROVIDER OR SUPPLIER	L	STREET ADDRESS	CITY, STATE, Z	IP CODE		
	CHULA VISTA MEDICAL CE	NTER	751 MEDICAL C	ENTER COU	RT, CHULA VISTA, CA 91911 SAN D	IEGO COUNT	Y
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	ATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIE MUST BE PRECEEDED BY SC IDENTIFYING INFORM	Y FULL	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORREC (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE	BE CROSS-	(X5) COMPLETE DATE
	Continued From page	3					
	Manager, there were (A nurse or technicia surgical operation, passes them to the during the procedus harps, sponges and the operation) and 2 (The circulator is runursing care of the coordinating the need there care providers surgery) assigned to on 12/22/08. A review of the fact that is a continuous item and all responsibility of (registered nurse) circulator and social systematic and accuritems in an effort to retention of a foreign counts" were to be directly relief for meal breal documented that "all shall be accounted end-of-procedure clear count was unresolved allowed, an X-ray was left the OR so that a radiation and the control of the control of the counted and the control of the counted end-of-procedure clear count was unresolved allowed, an X-ray was left the OR so that a radiation and the counted that a radiation of the control of the counted end-of-procedure clear count was unresolved allowed, an X-ray was left the OR so that a radiation in the counter of	2 scrub persons S an who participates or pares sterile services surgeon, assisting tre, accounting of other supplies circulator nurses C responsible for many patient within the services of the surgices of t	s in a sterile supplies and the surgeon for needles, used during N1 and CN2 tanaging the he OR and al team with ompletion of tall procedure onducted on tall needles, sharp, the were the and the RN accountability ted that the conduct a all counted the policy, "relief of permanent e circulating the policy anted items of during if a surgical it's condition to the patient of the pat		Process for Requesting Radiography/Communicati (A). The RN Circulator will request for x-ray in the con potential foreign body reter specifying the name of the lists all areas to be x-rayed, where an incision was mad risk of retention of a foreign possible. Once the request in the computer system the call the radiology department expedite x-ray procedure an any questions. At Chula Vi separate x-ray will be taken type of item missing, if it is unusual description, (ie. not needle), so that the Radiolo be aware of what the item le under imaging. RN's & schedulers in radio educated about new process approved by surgery superv committee. It is expected the radiologist read films taken purpose of identifying a pos retained foreign body before patient leaves the OR. (B). Nurse Manager Surgica Services (C). Audits to ensure compli with new process.	l enter a apputer for apputer for antion object and e.g. e and the a body is is enter RN will not to ad answer sta, a of the of an a gist will books like llogy a. Policy isory nat a for the scible e the	4-30-09
Event ID:U	JTL511		5/14/2009	9:31:31	AM		
BORATOR	Y DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER	R/SUPPLIER REPRESE	NTATIVE'S SIGNAT	URF	TITLE		(X6) DATE

	OF DEFICIENCIES F CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER IDENTIFICATION NUM		(X2) MULTIPL	E CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATE 6U COMPLET	
		050222		B. WING		01/2	2/2009
NAME OF PR	OVIDER OR SUPPLIER		STREET ADDRESS, CI	TY, STATE, ZII	PCODE		
SHARP C	HULA VISTA MEDICAL GE	ENTER 7	51 MEDICAL CEN	TER COUR	T, CHULA VISTA, CA 91911 SAN DI	EGO COUNT	Y .
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	ATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES / MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FUL LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION		ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORREC (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE D	BE CROSS-	(X5) COMPLETE DATE
	Continued From page	4					
	potential foreign body	retention.		1			
	On 1/21/09 at 9: conducted with P Medical Doctor (MD scrub and circulating "count was off." Ac discrepancy among number of bulldogs accounted for. Circulating accounted for. Circulating accounted for. Circulating accounted for the supposed to be 5 but there were only supposed to be 5 but there were only supposed to be 5 but there were only supposed." MD 1 stated times" in the surgical and did not see on when moving Patier patient's condition. Mas "negative" (for anesthesiologist. MD X-ray, some potential difficult to see due to the On 1/21/09 at 11:30 Per SP 1, "2 bulldogs start of the procedure	atient 1's cardiac 15 A.M., an intervatient 1's cardiac 10 1. MD 1 was to g staff in the room coording to MD 1, the the staff as to te that were suppose culator Nurses (CNs) (SP) 2 said that ti alldog clamps, but SP cosed to be 4 bulldog gave him 4 bulldogs a that, while he looke I wound for a retaine the, he needed to be that 1's heart becaus that 1's heart becaus that a foreign body) 1 confirmed that eve ally retained items the density of heart mus to A.M., SP 1 was in the swere brought to his the SP 1 stated that	surgeon, ald by the that the ere was a the actual ed to be 1 and 2, here were 1 thought the sere was a the actual ed to be 1 and 2, here were thought the X-ray by the n with an could be to the the X-ray by the n with an could be the the x-ray by the n with an could be the x-ray by the n with an could be the x-ray by the n with an could be the x-ray by the n with an could be the x-ray by the n with an could be the x-ray by the n with an could be the x-ray by the n with an could be the x-ray by the n with an could be the x-ray by the n with an could be the x-ray by the n with an could be the x-ray by the n with an could be the x-ray		Education (A). The nurse and other circustaff have been re-educated importance of ensuring accurate count when staff is relief for CN2 was re-educated about count policy and procedure. All surgical staff were re-educated about surgical count policy approcedure, the importance of ensuring committee with policy and procedure and deviation from policy will recorrective action up to and intermination. CN will ensure that counts a done prior to surgery, during and prior to cavity closure and sufficient to cavity closure and sufficient to cavity closure and sufficient and accounted for o surgical field and reported to relieving tech. Additionally information will be written a validated on the white board	about the rate breaks. Surgical ucated and pliance and any esult in acluding re been a surgery atture. At a will be a the the and	4-34-09
	for 2 more (at different nurses for a total of	•			(B). Nurse Manager Surgical Services and Manager Radio		
	he did not participer procedure which wa incision in order to estable SP 2 and CN 2 did to stated he was "more count because it was estable to the state of the s	pate in the initial is done prior to a stablish a baseline, ne initial count togethe concerned with h	counting surgical He stated er. SP 1 is needle		Services and Manager Radio Services (C). Random audits will be censure that counts are being hospital policy and that radio critical results are being	lone to	
Event ID:U	TL511		5/14/2009	9:31:31/	AM.		
ABORATORY	DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDE	R/SUPPLIER REPRESENT	ATIVE'S SIGNATUR	E	TITLE		(X6) DATE

STATEMENT O AND PLAN OF (F DEFICIENCIES CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/		(X2) MULT	IPLE CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATÉ SUF	
		050222		B. WING		01/2:	2/2009
NAME OF PROV	IDER OR SUPPLIER	s	TREET ADDRESS,	CITY, STATE,	ZIP CODE		T-1, 1,
SHARP CHL	JLA VISTA MEDICAL CEI	NTER 75	51 MEDICAL CE	ENTER COU	IRT, CHULA VISTA, CA 91911	SAN DIEGO COUNTY	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FU SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATIO	,	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION REFERENCED TO THE APPR	N SHOULD BE CROSS-	(X5) COMPLETE DATE
	Continued From page	5					
CONTRACTOR OF THE SE SE A SE A SE SE A	also stated that he during the surgical proceduring the surgical procedure the hospital policity of the turned from his breand looking for 5 burned "4 bulldogs and were not comfortable to u couldn't see anythof the case." On 1/21/09 at 12:10 or CN 1, the case damps and 2 were accorded from a total of the final country of the case or coedure for a total of the found, she tole of the case of the found, she tole of the found, she tole of the found, she tole of the found of the final country of the did not specify what the did not specify who were the found of the final country of the did not specify who were the found of the final country of the did not specify who were the found of the final country of the final co	ocedure. Per SP1, It" with the relief scrucy. He stated that o do." Per SP 1 lack, "they were doing lidogs." SP 1 states got 4 back." Per S with the X-ray resulting. I felt uneasy a per series of 5 bulldog clamps. Dunts when the bulk of OR scheduler to in the OR." CN 1 shat the X-ray was for know when you are at it's for." CN 1 should a bulldog at a bulldog at it's for." CN 1 should a bulldog at it's for." CN 1 should a bulldog at a bulldog at a bulldog at it's for." CN 1 should a bulldog at a bull a bulldog at a bulldo	the did not be person, would be when he g a count d that he person, "We because at the end sterviewed. 3 bulldog during the Per CN dog could call for a stated that or. CN 1 asking for stated she og looked				
te b si q	elephone report fro ulldog was not in the he was told the X- uality."	e chest. CN 1 also s ray film result was	that the tated that of "poor				
b: st di	on 1/21/09 at 1:30 conducted with CN aseline count with SF arted with 3 bulldog fferent times during ulldog clamps. CN 2 co	2. Per CN 2, the clamps and 2 were the case, for a to	he initial case was added at tal of 5				
Event ID:UTL	.511		5/14/2009	9:31:3	31AM		

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

TITLE

(X6) DATE

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLI IDENTIFICATION NU		(X2) MULT	PLE CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATE SU COMPLET	
	050222		B. WING		01/2	2/2009
IAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		STREET ADDRESS	CITY, STATE,	ZIP CODE		
SHARP CHULA VISTA MEDICAL C	ENTER	751 MEDICAL C	ENTER COU	RT, CHULA VISTA, CA 91911 S/	AN DIEGO COUNT	r -
PREFIX (EACH DEFICIENC	TATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES CY MUST BE PRECEEDED BY R LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMA	FULL	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CO (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SH REFERENCED TO THE APPROPR	OULD BE CROSS-	(X5) COMPLETE DATE
circulating personne performed at any of SP1 told the surger missing a bulldog, MD 1 that they we stated that they we stated that they we bulldog was detectad X-ray film was view poor quality." CN Manager that she fact that the bulldoger CN 2, the OR about it either." On 1/27/09 at 8 conducted with SP baseline count of but that she insisted to was supposed to be SP 2, when SP 1 supposed to be 1 supposed to be 2 supposed to be 3 supposed to be 3 supposed to be 4 supposed looking." (XRT) came back X-ray and said that any bulldog." Per	were provided for a l, but surgical coun f those times. CN on, MD 1, that the but the circulating ere missing a bulldore not sure how make the comportant of	ts were not 2 stated that by were not nurses told og. CN 2 much of the nd when the as white and told the OR ole" with the counted for. comfortable riview was the initial SP 2 stated in that there are were only nen MD 1 by technician received in the chest uld not find also called lidog on the MD 2 (the t's X-ray as 12/22/08 at				
was "rule out retain The report documente	ed bullnose retracto	r in chest."				
vent ID:UTL511	ER/SUPPLIER REPRESEN	5/14/2009	9:31:3	1AM		

A. DUILUING

8. WING

01/22/2

F PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER

P CHULA VISTA MEDICAL CENTER

STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE

751 MEDICAL CENTER COURT, CHULA VISTA, CA 91911 SAN DIEGO COUNTY

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DESCRIBUCIOSES (BACH DESCRIBER) (BACH DESCRIBERY MAYS BE PRESCRIBED BY PILL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) Continued From page 7 large radio opaque (visible by X-ray) foreign bodies to suggest a retained bullnose retractor. Impression: Limited postoperative radiograph to evaluate for a retained bullnose retractor. I see postoperative changes suggesting coronary artery bypass graft, however, there is no obvious foreign body seen." On 1/21/09 at 10:30 A.M., the chest film taken on 12/22/08 at 1:11 P.M., was reviewed with the Medical Director of Radiology (MDR). The MDR demonstrated how the bulldog clamp could be visualized on the film when certain "filters" were applied. However, per the MDR, it could "easily be confused with a mitral valve (a normal anatomical structure in the heart)." Per the MDR, MD 2 was told by the XRT to look for a "bullnose retractor," which MD 2 thought was a larger chest retractor, rather than a small "bulldog clamp" device (a chest retractor for cardiac surgery is approximately 6 inches by 10-12 inches). On 1/21/09 at 10:50 A.M., the XRT was interviewed. The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "timp piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist to duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist bout what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.					
large radio opaque (visible by X-ray) foreign bodies to suggest a retained bullnose retractor. Impression: Limited postoperative radiograph to evaluate for a retained bullnose retractor. I see postoperative changes suggesting coronary artery bypass graft, however, there is no obvious foreign body seen." On 1/21/09 at 10:30 A.M., the chest film taken on 12/22/08 at 1:11 P.M., was reviewed with the Medical Director of Radiology (MDR). The MDR demonstrated how the bulldog clamp could be visualized on the film when certain "filters" were applied. However, per the MDR, it could "easily be confused with a mitral valve (a normal anatomical structure in the heart)." Per the MDR, MD 2 was told by the XRT to look for a "bullnose retractor," which MD 2 thought was a larger chest retractor, rather than a small "bulldog clamp" device (a chest retractor for cardiac surgery is approximately 6 inches by 10-12 inches). On 1/21/09 at 10:50 A.M., the XRT was interviewed. The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist shout what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.		(EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FU		PREFIX	(EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE CROSS-
large radio opaque (visible by X-ray) foreign bodies to suggest a retained bullnose retractor. Impression: Limited postoperative radiograph to evaluate for a retained bullnose retractor. I see postoperative changes suggesting coronary artery bypass graft, however, there is no obvious foreign body seen." On 1/21/09 at 10:30 A.M., the chest film taken on 12/22/08 at 1:11 P.M., was reviewed with the Medical Director of Radiology (MDR). The MDR demonstrated how the bulldog clamp could be visualized on the film when certain "filters" were applied. However, per the MDR, it could "easily be confused with a mitral valve (a normal anatomical structure in the heart)." Per the MDR, MD 2 was told by the XRT to look for a "bullnose retractor," which MD 2 thought was a larger chest retractor, rather than a small "bulldog clamp" device (a chest retractor for cardiac surgery is approximately 6 inches by 10-12 inches). On 1/21/09 at 10:50 A.M., the XRT was interviewed. The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist shout what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	-	Continued From page 7			
to suggest a retained bullnose retractor. Impression: Limited postoperative radiograph to evaluate for a retained bullnose retractor. I see postoperative changes suggesting coronary artery bypass graft, however, there is no obvious foreign body seen." On 1/21/09 at 10:30 A.M., the chest film taken on 12/22/08 at 1:11 P.M., was reviewed with the Medical Director of Radiology (MDR). The MDR demonstrated how the bulldog clamp could be visualized on the film when certain "filters" were applied. However, per the MDR, it could "easily be confused with a mitral valve (a normal anatomical structure in the heart)." Per the MDR, MD 2 was told by the XRT to look for a "bullnose retractor," which MD 2 thought was a larger chest retractor, rather than a small "bulldog clamp" device (a chest retractor for cardiac surgery is approximately 6 inches by 10-12 inches). On 1/21/09 at 10:50 A.M., the XRT was interviewed. The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiologis ton duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.		• -			
12/22/08 at 1:11 P.M., was reviewed with the Medical Director of Radiology (MDR). The MDR demonstrated how the bulldog clamp could be visualized on the film when certain "filters" were applied. However, per the MDR, it could "easily be confused with a mitral valve (a normal anatomical structure in the heart)." Per the MDR, MD 2 was told by the XRT to look for a "bullnose retractor," which MD 2 thought was a larger chest retractor, rather than a small "bulldog clamp" device (a chest retractor for cardiac surgery is approximately 6 inches by 10-12 inches). On 1/21/09 at 10:50 A.M., the XRT was interviewed. The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	to ir e p	o suggest a retained bullnose mpression: Limited postoperative radio valuate for a retained bullnose retractor ostoperative changes suggesting corona ypass graft, however, there is no obvious	retractor. ograph to r. I see ary artery		
12/22/08 at 1:11 P.M., was reviewed with the Medical Director of Radiology (MDR). The MDR demonstrated how the bulldog clamp could be visualized on the film when certain "filters" were applied. However, per the MDR, it could "easily be confused with a mitral valve (a normal anatomical structure in the heart)." Per the MDR, MD 2 was told by the XRT to look for a "bullnose retractor," which MD 2 thought was a larger chest retractor, rather than a small "bulldog clamp" device (a chest retractor for cardiac surgery is approximately 6 inches by 10-12 inches). On 1/21/09 at 10:50 A.M., the XRT was interviewed. The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	0	n 1/21/09 at 10:30 A.M., the chest film	taken on	1	
demonstrated how the bulldog clamp could be visualized on the film when certain "filters" were applied. However, per the MDR, it could "easily be confused with a mitral valve (a normal anatomical structure in the heart)." Per the MDR, MD 2 was told by the XRT to look for a "bullnose retractor," which MD 2 thought was a larger chest retractor, rather than a small "bulldog clamp" device (a chest retractor for cardiac surgery is approximately 6 inches by 10-12 inches). On 1/21/09 at 10:50 A.M., the XRT was interviewed. The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	- 1		I	ĺ	
visualized on the film when certain "filters" were applied. However, per the MDR, it could "easily be confused with a mitral valve (a normal anatomical structure in the heart)." Per the MDR, MD 2 was told by the XRT to look for a "bullnose retractor," which MD 2 thought was a larger chest retractor, rather than a small "bulldog clamp" device (a chest retractor for cardiac surgery is approximately 6 inches by 10-12 inches). On 1/21/09 at 10:50 A.M., the XRT was interviewed. The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	- 1		I		
applied. However, per the MDR, it could "easily be confused with a mitral valve (a normal anatomical structure in the heart)." Per the MDR, MD 2 was told by the XRT to look for a "bullnose retractor," which MD 2 thought was a larger chest retractor, rather than a small "bulldog clamp" device (a chest retractor for cardiac surgery is approximately 6 inches by 10-12 inches). On 1/21/09 at 10:50 A.M., the XRT was interviewed. The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	- 1		1		
confused with a mitral valve (a normal anatomical structure in the heart)." Per the MDR, MD 2 was told by the XRT to look for a "bullnose retractor," which MD 2 thought was a larger chest retractor, rather than a small "bulldog clamp" device (a chest retractor for cardiac surgery is approximately 6 inches by 10-12 inches). On 1/21/09 at 10:50 A.M., the XRT was interviewed. The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.					
structure in the heart)." Per the MDR, MD 2 was told by the XRT to look for a "bullnose retractor," which MD 2 thought was a larger chest retractor, rather than a small "bulldog clamp" device (a chest retractor for cardiac surgery is approximately 6 inches by 10-12 inches). On 1/21/09 at 10:50 A.M., the XRT was interviewed. The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	1 '	•			
which MD 2 thought was a larger chest retractor, rather than a small "bulldog clamp" device (a chest retractor for cardiac surgery is approximately 6 inches by 10-12 inches). On 1/21/09 at 10:50 A.M., the XRT was interviewed. The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	J	•	I		
rather than a small "bulldog clamp" device (a chest retractor for cardiac surgery is approximately 6 inches by 10-12 inches). On 1/21/09 at 10:50 A.M., the XRT was interviewed. The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	- 1	•			
retractor for cardiac surgery is approximately 6 inches by 10-12 inches). On 1/21/09 at 10:50 A.M., the XRT was interviewed. The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.		-	· J	}	
inches by 10-12 inches). On 1/21/09 at 10:50 A.M., the XRT was interviewed. The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	- 1		,		
On 1/21/09 at 10:50 A.M., the XRT was interviewed. The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	- 1		natory 0		
The XRT was told by the OR nurse (unsure which nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.		,	ł		
nurse) to look for a "tiny piece of equipment called a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.		•			
a bullnose something, they showed it to me." Per the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	1				
the XRT he took the X-ray, it got processed and put in "synapse" (a computerized radiology system for remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	- 1	• •	1		
remote viewing) for the radiologist on duty to view. The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	- 1		I .		
The XRT did not know why the radiologist looked for a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	- 1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· 1		
a retractor. The XRT stated that he never had a discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	1		1		
discussion with the radiologist about what to look for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	- (•	1		
for. Per the XRT, the order from the OR for the chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	1		- (
chest X-ray was placed into the computer by Radiology Staff (RS) 1.	1 -		l l		
Radiology Staff (RS) 1.		•			
F/4/2000 :0.24:24.01	- (
1/1/617 1/47/M	1771	E11	5/14/2009	0.31.3	10M

)RY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

TITLE

(XI

A. BUILDING

B. WING

01/22/2

F PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER

P CHULA VISTA MEDICAL CENTER

STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE

751 MEDICAL CENTER COURT, CHULA VISTA, CA 91911 SAN DIEGO COUNTY

	<u> </u>		
	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE CROSS- REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DEFICIENCY)
C	ontinued From page 8		
th cc ph int re- re- A	ne electronic chest X-ray order, dated 12/22/as reviewed with the XRT. The order contains a name of Patient 1, the admitting diagnoses or or order and the OR location. There was formation in the order as to why the OR industrial order as to why the OR industrial order are tained foreign body/bulldog clar section of the order entitled "comments" was ank.	ned of ing no nad be	-
rachis was chefro the rul to the for XR XR never have for rep	In 1/22/09 an interview was conducted with a diologist, MD 2. MD 2 was confident and firm a recollection of the event. MD 2 stated that as "offsite" on 12/22/08 and reviewed Patient est X-ray "remotely." MD 2 stated "someous XRT's) called me to view an intraoperative film e out a foreign body." Per MD 2, he called be the facility and spoke with the XRT and told hat he needed to know exactly what to look for a film. The XRT told MD 2 that they were looking a "bullnose retractor." MD 2 stated, "I told to the track of a bulldog clamp before, so he would tell them." Per MD 2, he have heard of a bulldog clamp before, so he would be asked what it was, if he had been told the exactly what I said. I asked the XRT interver described a clamp to me. The member exactly what I said. I asked the XRT is eded to call someone and he told me no, that I will take care of it." Per MD 2, he was looking a large item and that is what he dictated in hort. MD 2 stated that he never called into the cort. MD 2 stated that he never called into the cort.	in he 11's one vas to ack im on ing the he ad ald at.	
	and talked with anyone about the missing	000	4014
:UTL5	5/14/2	009 9:31:3	IAW

DRY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

TITLE .

(Xf.

	OF DEFICIENCIES F CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	1	(2) MULTIPLE (CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATE SUR COMPLETE	
		050222	}	. WING		. 01/22	/2009
NAME OF PRI	OVIDER OR SUPPLIER	STRE	ET ADDRESS, CITY,	STATE ZIP C	ODE		
	HULA VISTA MEDICAL CE				CHULA VISTA, CA 91911 SAN DIE	GO COUNTY	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	PRI	EFIX AG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECT (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD E REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DE	E CROSS-	(X5) COMPLETE DATE
	at 8:50 A.M., he "someone" from radic and reported that "n the chest X-ray. The who he received this documentation in anesthesiologist pertain A review of the far Results Imaging S 1/21/09. The policy "initial diagnosis that normal to such an ext of sufficient acuity to surgical intervention. critical result" include body. The policy defined the communoccur between the physician if an imagic critical result. However, any guidelines or out that should occur if uncertain as to exactly	he anesthesiologist on 1 stated that on 12 ology called into the OF o foreign body" was se e anesthesiologist did no e report from. There w	2/22/08, R room een on ot know was no the Critical ed on sult as e from ning or ical or maging foreign e and should atient's for a provide process et was ing for				
	after his cardiac byp 12/23/08-12/30/08.	e daily X-ray reports	, from dication				
Event ID:U	TL511_	5/	/14/2009	9:31:31AM			

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

TITLE

(X6) DATE

PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER

P CHULA VISTA MEDICAL CENTER

STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE

751 MEDICAL CENTER COURT, CHULA VISTA, CA 91911 SAN DIEGO COUNTY

TITLE

(XI

Continued From page 10 potential foreign body/missing bulldog clamp. In addition, there was no documentation in any of the physician progress notes pertaining to a continued search or concern for a retained foreign body or bulldog clamp, until it was located as a result of the CT scan on 12/30/08. The facility failed to implement its policy and procedure pertaining to surgical counts when the RN circulators and Scrub Persons failed to account for all disposable counted items at the end of Patient 1's surgical procedure; and therefore failed to prevent injury to Patient 1 from the retention of a foreign body, a buildog clamp. In addition, RN circulators and Scrub Persons did not conduct surgical counts of items when meal breaks occurred during the patient's procedure in an effort to maintain communication about the status of surgical counts throughout the procedure. The facility failed to develop it's policy and procedure pertaining to critical results imaging studies, which contributed to inconsistent and inaccurate communication and exchange of information between the OR and radiology departments pertaining to the initial radiological search for the missing bulldog clamp. These violations resulted in injury and harm to Patient 1 when he required a second surgical operation to remove an unintentionally retained buildog clamp from his chest cavity 10 days following his cardiac bypass surgical procedure. On 1/21/09 at 3:30 P.M., the Vice President of Patient Care Services was informed of the potential	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE CROSS- REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DEFICIENCY)
procedure pertaining to surgical counts when the RN circulators and Scrub Persons failed to account for all disposable counted items at the end of Patient 1's surgical procedure; and therefore failed to prevent injury to Patient 1 from the retention of a foreign body, a buildog clamp. In addition, RN circulators and Scrub Persons did not conduct surgical counts of items when meal breaks occurred during the patient's procedure in an effort to maintain communication about the status of surgical counts throughout the procedure. The facility failed to develop it's policy and procedure pertaining to critical results imaging studies, which contributed to inconsistent and inaccurate communication and exchange of information between the OR and radiology departments pertaining to the initial radiological search for the missing buildog clamp. These violations resulted in injury and harm to Patient 1 when he required a second surgical operation to remove an unintentionally retained buildog clamp from his chest cavity 10 days following his cardiac bypass surgical procedure. On 1/21/09 at 3:30 P.M., the Vice President of	potential foreign body/missing bulldog clamp. In addition, there was no documentation in any of the physician progress notes pertaining to a continued search or concern for a retained foreign body or bulldog clamp, until it was located as a result of the		
Patient 1 when he required a second surgical operation to remove an unintentionally retained bulldog clamp from his chest cavity 10 days following his cardiac bypass surgical procedure. On 1/21/09 at 3:30 P.M., the Vice President of	procedure pertaining to surgical counts when the RN circulators and Scrub Persons failed to account for all disposable counted items at the end of Patient 1's surgical procedure; and therefore failed to prevent injury to Patient 1 from the retention of a foreign body, a bulldog clamp. In addition, RN circulators and Scrub Persons did not conduct surgical counts of items when meal breaks occurred during the patient's procedure in an effort to maintain communication about the status of surgical counts throughout the procedure. The facility failed to develop it's policy and procedure pertaining to critical results imaging studies, which contributed to inconsistent and inaccurate communication and exchange of information between the OR and radiology departments pertaining to the initial radiological search for the		
	Patient 1 when he required a second surgical operation to remove an unintentionally retained bulldog clamp from his chest cavity 10 days following his cardiac bypass surgical procedure.		·
	· .		

ncy statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined afterwards provide sufficient protection to the patients. Except for nursing homes, the findings above are disclosable 90 days following the date thether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following asse documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program

DRY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

RY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUP	PLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNAT	URE	TITLE	(X
:UTL511	5/14/2009	9:31:31AM		
Continued From page 11 for an Adverse Penalty to these violations.	be issued as a result of			
SUMMARY STATEMEN (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST REGULATORY OR LSC IDE	BE PRECEEDED BY FULL	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTI (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD B REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DE	E CROSS-
ROVIDER OR SUPPLIER HULA VISTA MEDICAL CENTER		, ÇITY, STATE, ZIP CO ENTER COURT, C	DDE CHULA VISTA, CA 91911 SAN DIE	GO COUNTY
	050222	B. WING		01/22/

ase documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program

A. BUILDING