Occupational Illness Due to Pesticide Drift From A Sprinkler Application of Metam-Sodium

The California Department of Health Services (CDHS) investigated a report of the evacuation of an elementary school in Santa Barbara County due to odor from a sprinkler application of metam-sodium. Over a six-day period metam-sodium was used to fumigate two fields in preparation for planting carrots. The process involved pumping metam-sodium from a tank via a closed system into an irrigation system where it was mixed with water and pumped through sprinklers up into the air and onto the field. Subsequent to the application, the treated fields were irrigated with water to incorporate the metam-sodium into the soil to slow the rate of volatilization. When metam-sodium is diluted with water during the application process it breaks down chemically and releases methyl isothiocyanate (MITC).

Findings and recommendations

- The use of metam-sodium in an overhead sprinkler system released MITC into the air which drifted off-site and resulted in at least three cases of pesticide poisoning among workers up to one mile from the application site. **CDHS recommends that growers implement low toxicity alternatives to the use of metam-sodium for pest control.**

- During sprinkler applications of metam-sodium, a residential buffer zone of less than one mile, maintained for 48 hours, does not provide workers with adequate protection from exposure to MITC. **CDHS recommends that a minimum one-mile worker buffer zone be required for all sprinkler applications of metam-sodium. The one-mile buffer zone should be maintained for at least 72 hours.**

- Odor detection as a field monitoring practice for metam-sodium is not health protective. **CDHS recommends that the current regulatory requirement that workers monitor applications of metam-sodium for odor be reassessed. Employers should ensure that workers performing field-monitoring use appropriate respiratory and eye protection at all times.**
Background

The Sentinel Event Notification System of Occupational Risk (SENSOR) Pesticide Poisoning Prevention Project is conducted by the California Department of Health Services Occupational Health Branch (CDHS) through the support of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health and the US Environmental Protection Agency. The goal of the SENSOR project is to prevent pesticide poisoning among workers. SENSOR staff utilize a physician-based reporting system to conduct statewide surveillance of pesticide illness among workers. Selected cases are followed up by a workplace investigation and interviews with workers, employers, and others involved in the incident. The investigations assess factors that may have contributed to occupational illness and make recommendations to prevent pesticide poisoning among workers.

On May 21, 1999, CDHS received a Pesticide Episode Transmittal Report from the California Department of Pesticide Regulation reporting an evacuation of an elementary school in Santa Barbara County due to odor from an application of metam-sodium. An Industrial Hygienist and a Bilingual Research Associate from the SENSOR project conducted an on-site investigation (June 16-17, 1999) in the community where the incident occurred. SENSOR staff:

- interviewed the grower;
- interviewed eight workers: the three workers who applied the pesticide to the field next to the school, two of 26 school employees, one of four emergency responders, and two workers at an automotive repair shop near to the application site;
- gathered health symptom data for ten workers: for five workers by interview, for three workers by interview and medical records review, and for two workers by medical record review only; and
- observed and photographed the fields and community where the incident occurred.
Incident

The incident occurred in Cuyama, California (Figure 1). Over a six-day period metam-sodium was used to fumigate two fields in preparation for planting carrots (Table 1). The same grower operated both fields, the same individual supervised all applications, and the same application process was used for all six days. Similar weather conditions existed on each day of the six-day period, and were characterized by variable ambient air temperature, wind speed and direction, and relative humidity throughout each 24 hour-period (Table 2). The prevailing wind direction was from the east or northeast, depending on the day.

The process involved pumping metam-sodium from a tank via a closed system into an irrigation system where it was mixed with water and pumped through sprinklers up into the air and onto the field (Figure 2).1 Subsequent to the application, the treated fields were irrigated with water to incorporate the metam-sodium into the soil to slow the rate of volatilization (i.e., “water sealed”).

On day four of the application, the Fire Department and Sheriff responded to a complaint of an odor in the vicinity of an automotive repair shop. At the time the odor was detected, an application of metam-sodium was being made to Field #2, about a mile from the automotive repair shop (Figure 3). The Fire Department officials did not detect a strong odor and left the scene. Later that evening, the Sheriff’s Department detected an odor that was traced to agricultural fields near the school.2

On the morning of day six, Fire Department officials received complaints of odor and reports of sick children at the Cuyama Elementary school which had an enrollment of 230 pre-school and elementary school-age children. The school is surrounded by Field #1 and is about a mile north of Field #2 (Figures 3 and 4). Paramedics from the Fire Department set up a medical screening area on the school premises. All children and workers were eligible for the voluntary evaluation. In response to reports of symptoms in children and information about the use of pesticides in the fields nearby the school, the Fire Department recommended the evacuation of the children from the school. At 1:10 PM, the students were evacuated from the elementary school and bused about three miles west, to Cuyama Valley High school. Classes for the elementary school children were held in the high school on Thursday. The school was closed on Friday, and classes resumed at the elementary school on Monday.

CDHS obtained symptom data for 10 workers through interview and/or medical records. Of the 10 workers, four detected odor and six reported one or more symptoms. The most common symptoms experienced were:
teary eyes, eye irritation, headache, nausea, cough, and upper respiratory pain or irritation (Table 3).

As indicated in the box below, when metam-sodium is diluted with water during the application process it breaks down chemically and releases methyl isothiocyanate (MITC), hydrogen sulfide gas, and other chemicals such as methylamine and carbon disulfide in smaller quantities.3 When MITC degrades due to photolysis, the chemical methyl isocyanate is formed.4,5 Trace levels of MITC were detected in all eight air-monitoring samples collected at the elementary school approximately 35 to 39 hours after the final application of metam-sodium.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>metam-sodium</th>
<th>methyl isothiocyanate</th>
<th>methyl isocyanate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hydrogen sulfide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>methylamine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>carbon disulfide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on self-reported evidence of exposure, the presence of two or more abnormal symptoms occurring after exposure, and the presence of symptoms and signs that are consistent with the known toxicology of exposure to MITC, CDHS found that the application of metam-sodium in this incident resulted in at least three cases of work-related pesticide poisoning among workers in a variety of occupational settings.7

**Figure 1. Cuyama is located in Santa Barbara County about 130 miles north of Los Angeles, and 315 miles south of San Francisco.**
# Table 1. Timeline of metam-sodium applications and events near Cuyama Elementary School, May 14-19, 1999

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Field #1 (75 acres treated)</th>
<th>Field #2 (100 acres treated)</th>
<th>Odor or symptoms reported*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Friday</td>
<td>7-9 PM metam sodium applied to 25 acres with water seal ending at 6AM Saturday</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>Field #2 (100 acres treated)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>7-9 PM metam sodium applied to 25 acres with water seal ending at 6AM Sunday</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 15</td>
<td>second water seal applied to 25 acres treated Friday</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>11 AM – 1 PM metam sodium applied to 25 acres with water seal ending at 9 pm</td>
<td>PM – individual on school grounds experienced headache and burning eyes – no medical attention sought</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 16</td>
<td>second water seal to 25 acres treated Saturday</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>water seal to all 75 acres treated</td>
<td>6:30 AM - rotten egg smell detected at school, nausea experienced - no medical attention sought</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 17</td>
<td></td>
<td>7-10 PM metam sodium applied with water seal ending at 4 am</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:30 PM strong odor from south east detected at automotive garage located about one mile from Field 2 – medical attention sought next day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>water seal to all 75 acres treated</td>
<td>4 – 8 AM metam sodium applied with water seal ending at 2 pm; second water seal beginning at 6 pm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 18</td>
<td></td>
<td>second water seal to section treated on Monday</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM - headache and burning eyes experienced by individual on school grounds – no medical attention sought</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>water seal to all 75 acres treated</td>
<td>12 midnight – 3 AM metam sodium applied with water seal ending at 9 AM; second seal applied around 3 PM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 19</td>
<td></td>
<td>AM symptoms reported at school - medical attention sought</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on Agricultural Commissioner Pesticide Episode Investigation Report. Episode No: 25-SB-99 and CDHS interviews; ** Metam-sodium injection line broken – about 5 gallons reportedly spilled on soil surface; the applicator reportedly scooped up the contaminated soil and put it in the treated area to be watered in.
### Table 2. Weather data over the six-day pesticide application process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Air Temp. (min-max) (°F)</th>
<th>Wind Direction Range (0-360 degrees)</th>
<th>Wind Direction Prevailing</th>
<th>Wind Speed Range (mph)</th>
<th>Wind Speed Average (mph)</th>
<th>% Relative humidity (min-max)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>36 – 74</td>
<td>52.82 - 355.20</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>2-10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.3 – 93.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>33 – 62</td>
<td>11.51 - 255.40</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>1-7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.8 – 99.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>36 – 68</td>
<td>35.77 - 285.50</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>2-8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26.3 – 98.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>38 – 76</td>
<td>60.87 - 231.70</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>2-9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24.4 – 80.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>43 – 77</td>
<td>34.90 - 272.90</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>2-8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24.4 – 79.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>40 - 74</td>
<td>52.52 - 307.70</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1-8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35.9 – 98.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CIMIS Project Weather Data for Station #88 Cuyama

### Table 3. Symptoms and signs of pesticide intoxication among 10 workers in Cuyama

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom/Sign</th>
<th>No.*</th>
<th>Symptom/Sign</th>
<th>No.*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SYMPTOMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Irritation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Respiratory pain/irritation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Eye</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortness of breath</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Irritation</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheezing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tearing</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pruritis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain or cramping</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGNS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nervous System</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ataxia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritability</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. The application involved pumping metam-sodium onto the field using a sprinkler irrigation system (indicated by arrow)

Figure 3. Proximity of school and garage to pesticide-treated fields

Figure 4. Fence separating Cuyama Elementary School, on the right side, from the metam-sodium treated field on the left
Discussion

Pesticide drift refers to the movement of pesticides away from the site of application. This incident illustrates that during the application of metam-sodium through an overhead sprinkler irrigation system, MITC can drift off-site and result in pesticide illness among exposed individuals. The workers who became ill in this incident were exposed to pesticides while working in non-agricultural occupations. It is not uncommon for pesticide drift to cause illness among workers in non-agricultural occupations and industries. For example, in 1998-99, exposure to pesticide drift in California resulted in illness among teachers, construction workers, bus drivers, meter readers, laborers, and janitors. Between 1991 and 1998, 961 (60.1%) of the 1,599 reported cases of acute work-related illness caused by pesticide drift in California occurred among employees of non-agricultural firms.

The onset of odor and irritant symptoms associated with MITC exposure would be expected shortly after the exposure begins, and ambient levels of MITC would be expected to decline over time. The detection of odor and onset of symptoms in this incident most closely coincides with the applications to Field #2 on days four and six (Table 1). Therefore, CDHS concludes that the application of metam-sodium to Field #2 probably caused the illnesses of the workers about one mile away in the vicinity of the automotive repair shop on Monday evening, and at the school on Wednesday morning. The application to Field #1 may have also caused illness among workers off-site because symptoms consistent with MITC exposure were also experienced prior to the application to Field #2 (Table 1).

CDHS' findings are likely to have underestimated the extent of illness caused by the applications of metam-sodium in Cuyama (May 14-19, 1999) for the following reasons:

- At least 27 workers who were potentially exposed were not interviewed by CDHS;
- Non-occupational exposures such as those potentially incurred by school children and other community members were excluded from CDHS' work-related investigation. The investigation by the Santa Barbara County Agricultural Commissioner indicates that community members may have also experienced health impacts due to the metam-sodium application to one or both of the treated fields.
Cuyama is located about 40 miles from the nearest health care provider. This distance may have discouraged symptomatic individuals from seeking medical care;

Exposed individuals may not have recognized they were being exposed to the pesticide, or, that their symptoms were related to pesticide illness;

Health care providers may not have attributed any reported symptoms to pesticides without specific application information.

Factors that contributed to these pesticide-related illnesses include:

(1) the toxicity of metam-sodium;

(2) an inadequate physical and temporal buffer zone between workers and the application; and

(3) undue reliance on the detection of odor as a warning of acute health hazards.

1. Toxicity of metam-sodium

In California, between 1992 and 1999, the California Department of Pesticide Regulation (CDPR) documented 259 cases of illness attributable to drift exposure to metam-sodium alone or in combination with other chemicals. When metam-sodium is used as a soil fumigant, MITC is released into the air. It is well established that MITC is highly toxic. Exposure to MITC vapors can cause severe irritation of the eyes and respiratory tract, headache, dizziness, nausea, and diarrhea. Inhalation of MITC can cause long-lasting effects, such as reactive airways dysfunction syndrome or “RADS”. Various airborne contaminants are more likely to cause respiratory irritation in individuals with RADS than in normal individuals. Once RADS develops there may be long-term sequelae and chronic airways disease. Workers exposed to MITC on the job may also incur skin exposure, which can cause an irritant dermatitis and/or sensitization.

The other chemicals released in lesser quantities when metam-sodium is mixed with water are also highly toxic. Hydrogen sulfide is a respiratory depressant. Acute inhalation may cause headache and dizziness in addition to irritation of the eyes and mucous membranes. Methyl isocyanate is extremely irritating and acute inhalation exposure may result in damage to multiple organ systems, including the eye, respiratory tract...
gastrointestinal tract, and the liver and kidney. Simultaneous inhalation exposure to MITC, hydrogen sulfide, and methyl isocyanate may occur. The effects of a combined exposure to all three chemicals are not known. However, because all three chemicals can harm the lungs and eyes, it is plausible that inhaling a combination of all three chemicals may result in additive or synergistic effects.

Individuals exposed to metam-sodium are at risk of long-term health impacts. Metam-sodium is listed on California’s Proposition 65 list of chemicals known to cause reproductive (developmental) toxicity, and it is also on California’s Proposition 65 list of chemicals known to cause cancer.

The accepted strategy for controlling toxic airborne contaminants is to first attempt to eliminate the generation source. For example, consistent with this approach, the California Medical Association has called for a reduction in the use of pesticides with significant acute and chronic toxicity that have the capacity to drift to schools and residential areas. In contrast to this approach, the use of metam-sodium has increased over the past decade (Figure 5).

**Figure 5. Pounds of metam-sodium applied in California by year**

![Graph showing pounds of metam-sodium applied in California by year](http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/pur/purmain.htm)

The use of metam-sodium and the highly toxic fumigant methyl bromide increased in part as a response to regulatory measures that prohibited the use of 1,3-dichloropropene after Air Resources Board Monitoring stations detected levels of public health concern in ambient air. Currently, methyl bromide is being phased out because the use of this pesticide depletes the ozone layer. This has further led to increased usage of
metam-sodium, which is recognized as the most efficacious registered chemical alternative to methyl bromide against weeds.33

Increased usage of metam-sodium because of the limitations and restrictions placed on both 1,3-dichloropropene and methyl bromide illustrates that in addressing public health problems, the substitution of one toxic chemical with other chemicals may result in illness if the toxicities are not taken into account. The elimination of the use of toxic substances and the substitution of alternative methods is a primary goal of sustainable agriculture.34 Consistent with this approach, CDPR has identified several technically feasible non-chemical alternatives to the use of methyl bromide.33

CDHS concludes that the use of metam-sodium in an overhead sprinkler system released MITC into the air which drifted off-site and resulted in at least three cases of pesticide poisoning among workers up to one mile from the application site. Growers should implement low toxicity alternatives to the use of metam-sodium for pest control.

2. Inadequate physical and temporal buffer zone

In California, to minimize drift when applying metam-sodium, applicators are required to adhere to buffer zones as well as other requirements established in the Technical Information Bulletin (TIB) and the Department of Pesticide Regulation’s Permit Conditions.35,36 A "buffer zone" is an area that surrounds a pesticide application block in which certain activities are restricted for a specified period of time to protect human health and safety from existing or potential adverse effects associated with a pesticide application.37

Overhead sprinkler applications of metam-sodium are prohibited within 500 feet of “sensitive sites” such as schools, churches and day care centers.36 Agricultural Commissioners may increase the size of the buffer zone based on their evaluation of the site. The buffer zone requirements are also extended to up to one-half mile if ambient air temperature, irrigation system pressure, and water seal requirements cannot be met.39 Agricultural Commissioners specify the time period during which the buffer zone must be maintained based on their evaluation of the site. For the application of metam-sodium in this incident, the buffer zone of 500 feet was required to be maintained for 48 hours after the application was completed.38

In this incident, exposure to MITC caused illness in workers up to one mile from the metam-sodium application site. Similar incidents of illness due to drift from metam-sodium applications have been reported. For example, in 1996, 11 cases of pesticide-related illness were documented among
workers in San Joaquin County located approximately 0.8 mile from a sprinkler application of metam-sodium.

Air monitoring data reported by the CDPR indicate the potential for individuals located at a distance of 500 feet from a metam-sodium application site to be exposed to MITC at levels of health concern. MITC levels in air samples obtained approximately 500 feet from a sprinkler application of metam-sodium ranged from 1320 parts per billion (ppb) during the application, decreased to 8.06 ppb 37.5 hours after the application, and then decreased to less than 2 ppb (the limits of detection) 49.5 hours after the application. For comparison, the California Environmental Protection Agency’s Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment has estimated the one hour MITC exposure levels to prevent discomfort, disability, and life-threatening injury among a diverse human population to be 0.5 ppb, 40 ppb, and 150 ppb, respectively.

Together, the findings of this incident, other illness reports, and limited air-monitoring data, indicate that the current buffer zone restrictions for metam-sodium applied in the overhead sprinkler system are not adequate to prevent acute pesticide illness. Moreover, just as individuals who reside in the buffer zone, individuals working in the buffer zone are susceptible to health impacts from exposure to MITC. This is because: (1) all individuals exposed to MITC for a short time may experience serious respiratory and eye irritant health effects; and (2) workers may live near the application site and have additional acute and chronic exposures in their community. Therefore all workers, including individuals involved in agricultural and non-agricultural activities, should be included in the buffer zone restrictions.

The TIB prohibits the application of metam-sodium during windy conditions (>7 mph). Wind data reported by CDPR during a sprinkler application of metam-sodium in 1994 illustrate that wind can change direction and speed during and after an application. The CDPR data showed that during the six-hour period when the metam-sodium was applied wind speeds ranged from one to 10 miles per hour; over the 67.5-hour period after the application wind speeds ranged from zero to 11 miles per hour; wind speeds of seven miles per hour or more were recorded during the application, water sealing and post-application periods (Figure 6). CDPR monitoring data showed prevailing wind direction also varied during and after the application. It is notable that MITC was measured in both “downwind” and “upwind” monitoring sites, indicating that wind direction may be considered a relative, but not absolute, indicator of exposure to pesticide drift.

Hourly wind data obtained in Cuyama at the time of the application of metam-sodium in the 1999 incident that is the subject of this investigation also reflect that wind speed and direction varied during and after each application (Table 1). Figures 7a and 7b indicate that for each of the six
applications of metam-sodium, the wind varied from approximately two up to at least eight miles per hour in the 24-hour period beginning with the application. For each of the six applications, wind speeds of eight miles per hour or more were recorded throughout the application, water sealing and/or post-application period.

In addition to wind speed and direction, the time it takes for metam-sodium to break down to MITC and be released into the air and dispersed into the surrounding community is governed by ambient temperature, soil type, temperature, and moisture content, and other factors (Table 4). The TIB and Permit prohibit pesticide applications during thermal inversions, and hot and windy conditions. However, because environmental factors are numerous, complex, and subject to unpredictable variation over time, wind and temperature are not reliable exposure control measures. As indicated in Figures 6, 7a, 7b, and Table 4, stable wind conditions are not assured over long periods, and many factors, in addition to wind and temperature, will influence the dispersion of MITC into the surrounding community. Therefore, the time it takes for MITC levels to fall below levels of concern will vary. To prevent illness, all unprotected workers must be excluded from buffer zones for a sufficient period of time to account for this variability.

CDHS concludes that during sprinkler applications of metam-sodium, a residential buffer zone of less than one mile, maintained for 48 hours, does not provide workers with adequate protection from exposure to MITC. CDHS recommends that a minimum one-mile worker buffer zone should be required for all sprinkler applications of metam-sodium. The one-mile buffer zone should be maintained for at least 72 hours; CDHS notes that although a one-mile/72 hour buffer zone is expected to increase protection for potentially exposed individuals, it may not be 100% effective.

Figure 6. Range of wind speed during a 1994 sprinkler application of metam-sodium during application, watering in, and post-application time periods

Wind speed (mph)

Source: California Department of Pesticide Regulation
Air monitoring for methyl isothiocyanate during a sprinkler application of metam-sodium, June 1994
Figure 7a. Variability of wind speed during sprinkler applications of metam-sodium in Field 1 Cuyama 1999

Figure 7b. Variability of wind speed during sprinkler applications of metam-sodium in Field 2 Cuyama 1999

Table 4. Factors that influence the breakdown and dispersion of metam-sodium into the surrounding community during a sprinkler application

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil moisture</th>
<th>Ambient temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil temperature</td>
<td>Thermal inversions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil type and particle size</td>
<td>Number of acres treated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil pH</td>
<td>Application rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed</td>
<td>Water pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind direction</td>
<td>Nozzle size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior treatment of field with metam-sodium</td>
<td>Irrigation rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Undue reliance on the detection of odor as a warning of acute health hazards

In addition to buffer zones, the metam-sodium TIB and Permit Conditions require that subsequent to the application, workers must go to the field and observe the field for odor every two hours for at least 12 hours. Permit conditions for metam-sodium also specify field monitoring be conducted on an hourly basis when the pesticide is applied within 1500 feet of an occupied structure. The pesticide label states that pesticide handlers who monitor the field after an application of metam-sodium are required to wear respiratory and eye protection only if, “a pungent, rotten egg odor of [this] product can be detected outdoors”. However, it is inappropriate to rely on the detection of odor as a warning of acute health hazards from MITC because:

- individuals vary in their ability to detect odors due to age, sex, previous exposure to the odor, health status, smoking, and genetics;
- workers may experience irritant symptoms of exposure prior to detecting an odor and may be unable to take preventive action. Specifically, the odor threshold of MITC (the level at which it is first detectable) ranges from 200 to 8,000 ppb. Estimated one-hour MITC exposure levels to prevent acute respiratory and eye irritation symptoms (22 ppb and 0.5 ppb) are nine to 400 times less than the lowest odor threshold (200 ppb). This means that an individual may be unable to detect the odor of MITC when it is present in air at levels that may cause serious eye and respiratory effects. As previously noted, hydrogen sulfide is also produced when metam-sodium is mixed with water. However, although hydrogen sulfide has a very low odor threshold, it is known that this chemical, even after a short exposure, quickly becomes undetectable, making the smell of rotten eggs an unreliable indicator of the presence of hydrogen sulfide;
- pesticide exposures occur under uncontrolled environmental conditions that can dramatically alter exposure potential. Because the levels of MITC present will be influenced by unpredictable environmental conditions, workers may encounter unexpectedly high exposure levels;
- levels of MITC sufficient to produce adverse health effects are present near treated fields, and persons without appropriate protection would be expected to exhibit such effects;
- workers may be unable or unwilling to leave the area even if they detect an odor or experience symptoms;
workers may not recognize that they are being exposed to MITC, and that their signs and symptoms of illness are related to pesticide exposure.

CDHS concludes that the odor of MITC is not a reliable warning of an acute health hazard. Therefore, the current TIB, Permit and label requirements to monitor the field for odor, and to use eye and respiratory protection only if odor is detected, may result in workers being exposed to MITC at levels of health concern. Moreover, because the odor of MITC is an unreliable warning of acute health impacts, and workers who perform field monitoring will not all have the same or sufficient ability to detect odor, community members, as a result, are also afforded only limited protection by the current field-monitoring requirement. To prevent pesticide illness, exposure control measures should perform consistently and protect all potentially exposed populations.

CDHS concludes that odor detection as a field monitoring practice for metam-sodium is not health protective. CDHS recommends that the current regulatory requirement that workers monitor applications of metam-sodium for odor be reassessed. Employers should ensure that workers performing field monitoring use appropriate respiratory and eye protection at all times.
Summary of findings and recommendations to prevent pesticide poisoning:

1. The use of metam-sodium in an overhead sprinkler system released MITC into the air which drifted off-site and resulted in at least three cases of pesticide poisoning among workers up to one mile from the application site.

   **CDHS recommends that growers implement low toxicity alternatives to the use of metam-sodium for pest control.**

2. During sprinkler applications of metam-sodium, a residential buffer zone of less than one mile, maintained for 48 hours, does not provide workers with adequate protection from exposure to MITC.

   **CDHS recommends that a minimum one-mile worker buffer zone be required for all sprinkler applications of metam-sodium. The one-mile buffer zone should be maintained for at least 72 hours.**

3. Odor detection as a field monitoring practice for metam-sodium is not health protective.

   **CDHS recommends that the current regulatory requirement that workers monitor applications of metam-sodium for odor be reassessed. Employers should ensure that workers performing field-monitoring use appropriate respiratory and eye protection at all times.**
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