

Request For Offer #2014-03

Question and Answer Document

Vendor #1

Question #1

Can the State clarify if this RFO (2014-03) is a result of the Feasibility Study Report (FSR) the State was working on for the WIC Management Information System (MIS) replacement?

Answer:

No, this RFO is not related to the WIC Management Information System (MIS) replacement project.

Question #2

Can the State provide a copy of the Feasibility Study Report (FSR) and associated documents developed for the WIC MIS replacement?

Answer:

The FSR for the WIC MIS replacement project has not been approved; therefore, it cannot be released. Upon approval of this FSR, a copy can be provided upon written request.

Question #3

Can the State provide a copy of the Feasibility Study Report (FSR) and associated documents developed for the WIC MIS replacement?

Answer:

Duplicate question, refer to the response for Question #2.

Question #4

In the Key Staff table, the State has provided minimum qualifications for the Project Lead, Technical Lead, and proposed team. For the minimum qualifications listed for the proposed team, does each individual team member need to meet every single minimum qualification listed in this section? Or can the team as a whole satisfy the qualifications listed for the proposed team?

Answer:

Refer to Attachment-2 “Key Staff – Mandatory Qualifications” on page 23 and page 24 for further details. Yes, all team members, as a whole, will be evaluated to ensure compliance with all of the mandatory qualifications as stipulated in the section under “*Proposed team must meet the following mandatory requirements*” of Attachment-2 “Key Staff – Mandatory Qualifications.”

Request For Offer #2014-03

Vendor #2

Question #5

Can the Tech Lead and Project Manager be the same person (i.e. if the same person, meets the Mandatory Qualifications for both positions) ?

Answer:

Item 2.2.3 paragraph #3, located on page 6 specifies:

“The Offeror must propose two candidates to serve as lead: one as Project Lead and another as a Technical Lead for this project ...”

Question #6

Can you please provide the number of programming staff required (apart from the Technical lead) for this project.

Answer:

It is the responsibility of the Offeror to determine the number of programming staff required to fully satisfy the deliverables specified in the RFO.

Question #7

What is the exact Duration of this project? The key action indicate that this is one year.

Answer:

Item 2.0, entitled “Deliverables”, located on page 14 of the RFO specifies:

“This Contract is deliverable-based which shall end when all deliverables are met and approved by the CDPH. The period of performance of this agreement shall be from the day the Purchase Order is signed by the CDPH that is estimated to start on or about July 1, 2014 and shall not exceed 12 months.”

This last question was submitted after the deadline – do you want to include it?

Vendor #3 –

Question #8

Is it acceptable to CDPH if consultants work on this project remotely?

Answer:

Work effort such as code conversion can be done remotely. Other efforts such as server installation, configuration, and integration testing must be performed and completed onsite at CDPH.

Please refer to section 1.13 on page 4, Contractor Staff Location of the RFO for details.