

EARS Procurements - RFO #: EARS-08-006

Vendor Q & A

#	Question	Document	Page	Answers
1	The budget stated in the RFO is \$247,750. If a vendor assesses that it cannot complete the scope requested within the budget, how does CDPH want the vendor to address? Are there priorities that the vendor can focus on: notably functional enhancements? Would the architecture requirements be required above the functional requirements? Is it allowed for vendors to present less deliverables within the project if it would bring the cost down within the allocated budget?	CDPH_RFO	6	Vendor's offer may identify a reduced scope within the allocated budget. Architecture requirements and mandated functionality will not be changed significantly but vendor may propose that non-mandated functional requirements be adjusted to fit within the proposed architecture and budget. In the initial planning of EARS system development (feasibility study report) we indicated a phased deployment (enhancements/any identified non-mandated) will be added in maintenance & Operations phase) in our risk assessment matrix in case we will have need to mitigate the risk of under budgeted vendor costs.
2	If the answer to question #1 is that the vendors should tailor scope to come within the budget presented, is it possible to have an additional three weeks to respond?	CDPH_RFO	N/A	CDPH cannot allow an additional three weeks for vendor to assess.
3	If the answer to question #1 is that the vendors should tailor scope to come within the budget presented, is it possible to have an additional Q&A round to ask for additional clarifications from the tailoring process?	CDPH_RFO	N/A	Q&A round is not planned for this RFO, however CDPH will respond to the vendor for any additional questions posed by vendor within the RFO submission deadline.

Note: Please contact Priya Bacharaja @ CPNSITRequest@cdph.ca.gov for EARS FSR or for further functional and security requirements.

