

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report

January - December 2002



Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor
State of California

Kimberly Belshé, Secretary
Health and Human Services Agency

Sandra Shewry, Director
Department of Health Services



June 2005

The California Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS, HIV Counseling, Testing, and Training Section oversees state-funded HIV counseling and testing throughout California. The counseling and testing performed by these programs is reported to the Office of AIDS monthly. The HIV Prevention Research and Evaluation Section compiles this information and distributes it to interested parties in the form of annual reports. Presented in this report are a feature article, an overview of the 2002 HIV counseling and testing data, and detailed tables of the 2002 data.

State of California	Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor
Health and Human Services Agency	Kimberly Belshé, Secretary
Department of Health Services	Sandra Shewry, Director
State Public Health Officer	Richard Joseph Jackson, M.D., M.P.H.
Prevention Services	Kevin Reilly, D.V.M., M.P.V.M., Deputy Director
Office of AIDS	Michael Montgomery, Chief
HIV/AIDS Epidemiology Branch	Juan Ruiz, M.D., M.P.H., Dr.P.H., Acting Chief
HIV Education and Prevention Services Branch	Kevin Farrell, L.C.S.W., Acting Chief
HIV Prevention Research and Evaluation Section	Steven R. Truax, Ph.D., Chief
	David S. Webb, M.S., Research Scientist
	Nancy B. Lees, Ph.D., Research Scientist

This report and past annual reports are available via the Internet at:
<http://www.dhs.ca.gov/AIDS/>

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report

January – December 2002

Prepared by the

Department of Health Services
Office of AIDS
HIV/AIDS Epidemiology Branch
HIV Education and Prevention Services Branch
HIV Prevention Research and Evaluation Section
MS 7700
P.O. Box 997426
Sacramento, CA 95899-7426
(916) 449-5900
<http://www.dhs.ca.gov/AIDS>

Arnold Schwarzenegger
Governor
State of California

Kimberly Belshé
Secretary
Health and Human Services Agency

Sandra Shewry
Director
Department of Health Services

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	i
Feature Article.....	1
HCV and HIV Counseling and Testing Integration in California: An Innovative	
Approach to Increase HIV Counseling and Testing Rates.....	1
Summary of 2002 HIV Counseling and Testing at Publicly Funded Sites.....	7
Office of AIDS-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services: Client Profile.....	9
HIV Counseling and Testing Services Funded By Non-Office of AIDS Sources.....	18
Office of AIDS-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services: Quality Assurance Indicators.....	18
HIV Counseling and Testing Tables.....	23
Guide to HIV Counseling and Testing Tables.....	23
All Services—Office of AIDS Funded and Non-Office of AIDS-Funded.....	25
Table 1: OA-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Year, Quarter, and Month.....	25
Table 2: Non-OA-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Year, Quarter, and Month.....	26
Table 3: OA-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Program and Clinic Type.....	27
Table 4: Non-OA-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Program and Clinic Type.....	28
Table 5: OA-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior and Gender.....	29
Table 6: Non-OA-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior and Gender.....	31
All Services—Office of AIDS Funded.....	33
Table 7: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Race/Ethnicity and Gender.....	33
Table 8: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Age Group and Gender.....	34
Table 9: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior, Gender, and Age Group.....	36
Table 10: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior and Pregnancy Status.....	38
Table 11: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior and Gender (Outreach Services).....	39
Table 12: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior, Gender, and STD History.....	41
Table 13: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior, Gender, and STD/Hepatitis Diagnosis.....	43
Table 14: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior, Gender, and Number of Partners....	45
Table 15: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior, Gender, and Number of Prior Tests....	47
Table 16: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Program Type and Agency.....	49
Counseling and Testing Services Only.....	53
Table 17: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Risk Behavior, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity.....	53
Table 18: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Drug Use, Gender, and Test Result.....	55
Table 19: No Show Rate by Program Type, Agency and Funding Source.....	57
Table 20: HIV Counseling and Testing Services by Disclosure Referrals and Client Risk Level.....	61
HIV Counseling Information Form.....	63

HCV and HIV Counseling and Testing Integration in California: An Innovative Approach to Increase HIV Counseling and Testing Rates

Thomas J. Stopka, M.H.S., Clark Marshall, B.A., Ricky N. Bluthenthal, Ph.D.,

David S. Webb, M.S., and Steven R. Truax, Ph.D.

California Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS

Introduction

In California, injection drug users (IDUs) comprise the second leading risk group for HIV infection and the majority of hepatitis C virus (HCV) cases. State data suggest that over 1,000 new syringe mediated HIV infections occur annually (Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS [DHS OA], 2001). As of 2001, there were an estimated 600,000 Californians infected with HCV, of whom an estimated 60 percent acquired HCV through drug injection, and an estimated 5,000 new infections occur annually in the state (DHS, 2001; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 1998). These numbers may actually underestimate the disease burden among injectors in California because IDUs access HIV counseling and testing (C&T) services less frequently than individuals in other risk groups and, if tested, 29 percent fail to return for test results (DHS, 2004).

In recent years, anecdotal evidence suggests that IDUs are more interested in learning about and being tested for HCV than HIV. During this same timeframe, state and federal funding targeting disease prevention has declined. A growing body of literature indicates that integration of HIV prevention activities with other viral prevention activities may produce synergies that facilitate prevention efforts in some areas (Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report [MMWR], 2001; Straus et al., 2002) and are greatly needed (Astone et al., 2003; Strauss, 2003; Fraser et al., 2002). Integration of prevention efforts that aim to curb the intertwined HIV and HCV epidemics among IDUs would appear to be particularly fruitful as such an integration may have both public health and budgetary benefits. Because of the well-established infrastructure for HIV C&T in public health programs, expanding these services to include prevention for HCV (and hepatitis B virus [HBV]) infection should be feasible (MMWR, 2001) and would not appear to be overly burdensome to existing staff.

In 2002, based on the multiple factors highlighted above, DHS/OA decided to integrate HIV and HCV C&T services targeting IDUs in five local health jurisdictions (LHJs). DHS/OA was permitted to utilize \$40,000 in carryover funds from CDC to conduct a demonstration project to evaluate the use of HCV C&T as an incentive to attract larger numbers of IDUs into HIV C&T services. The project goal was to better understand how alternative approaches might influence HIV C&T rates among IDUs in California, and to assist local, county, and state health officials to better address viral surveillance and prevention efforts among IDUs.

Project Plan

LHJs were chosen based on their ability to reach large numbers of IDUs, sufficient staffing to administer the project, the ability to provide clients with targeted educational and harm reduction materials and appropriate referrals, and the presence of little or no ongoing HCV screening or related research. The five sites chosen were Riverside, Humboldt, Solano, and Fresno Counties, and the City of Berkeley.

The project's "baseline assessment phase" began in February 2003. Over the course of two months, staff members in the five participating sites conducted standard targeted prevention efforts with IDU populations in traditional locations on the streets, in public parks, adjacent to syringe exchange programs, and at public health vans, clinics, and drug and alcohol treatment centers. Prevention staff documented the number of contacts made in recruitment of clients (IDUs) for C&T and the number of IDUs who decided to be tested for HIV during the two months. Basic client demographic information and HIV risk behavior data were collected from all clients who tested for HIV. Site staff also documented the number of IDUs who returned for HIV results.

In May 2003, one month after the baseline phase, the two-month “intervention phase” began. This phase consisted of staff members at the five participating sites conducting standard targeted prevention efforts among IDUs, in the same venues as those visited in the baseline phase, and then offering HCV testing. Site staff actively promoted HCV C&T during this phase and HIV C&T was offered as an “add on.” Site staff collected data on the number of contacts made with IDUs during recruitment for HCV and HIV C&T and the number of IDUs who ultimately tested for HCV and HIV during the two-month intervention phase. Client demographic information as well as HCV and HIV risk behavior data were collected from all clients who decided to be tested for these viruses. Staff also documented the number of IDUs who returned for HIV and HCV results.


For purposes of this report, project data captured within the HIV C&T and HCV databases and through qualitative evaluation methods were analyzed. Qualitative data collection methods, including site visits, semi-structured phone interviews, and email updates, were used to obtain feedback from program managers and frontline staff on how well the integration worked, areas for improvement, and whether any unintended consequences were noted.

Project Results, Discussion, and Recommendations

Several distinct patterns of risk emerged. Reporting an HIV-positive sex partner was by far the risk most strongly associated with HIV infection for all race/ethnicity groups among females and heterosexual males. Latina/Hispanic and Asian/Pacific Islander females were at especially elevated risk for HIV from a known HIV-positive sex partner (OR > 20). For men who have sex with men (MSM), however, anal receptive sex was more strongly associated with HIV infection.

During the baseline phase, 1,645 IDUs were contacted by C&T staff across all five sites of whom 138 opted to be tested for HIV and 75 returned for their results. During the intervention phase, 1,305 IDUs were contacted across all five sites, 358 IDUs chose to be tested for HIV and, of those, 254 returned for their results. Aggregate HIV C&T rates among IDUs more than tripled when comparing baseline test rates (8.4 percent) to intervention rates (27.1 percent) and HIV test disclosure rates increased from 54.4 percent at baseline to 71.8 percent at intervention (Figure 1).


Figure 1



Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Overall HCV prevalence among IDUs who were tested across all five sites was 37 percent. Riverside, Humboldt, and Solano Counties, and the City of Berkeley all had a similar HCV prevalence among IDUs tested, at 32, 31, 31, and 23 percent, respectively, while Fresno County had an HCV prevalence of 75 percent (Figure 2). It is important to note that actual HCV prevalence in each of the five respective sites is likely to be even higher since site staff were instructed to test only those IDUs who had not previously tested positive for the virus. As a result, a number of IDUs could not be offered the HCV test since they already knew they were HCV positive. If these IDUs were interested in an HIV test, however, it was provided.

Figure 2



Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Qualitative project findings added context and provided additional considerations related to HIV and HCV project integration. Participating sites, for instance, found that incorporating HCV into HIV C&T took more time (i.e., an estimated 40 minutes rather than 20 minutes for an HIV C&T session alone). The additional time required to conduct integrated C&T creates challenges for both C&T staff and IDU clients. For staff, increased testing time often equates to less time in the field recruiting IDUs for C&T. This may, in fact, explain why fewer IDUs were contacted during the intervention phase of the project. Despite this fact, the number and rate of IDUs tested still increased dramatically from baseline to intervention. For clients, the longer time to receive C&T for HIV and HCV may cross the time threshold that many are unwilling to spend. Sites considering HIV and HCV C&T integration should work to set up C&T sites and staffing schedules in a fashion that is most respectful of IDU client and staff time.

We found that integrated HIV and HCV C&T also increased emotional stress on the test counselors due to the number of HCV positive results they had to give. Compared to other geographic areas of the United States, California has a relatively low HIV positivity rate (2.1 percent) among IDUs who seek testing at publicly

funded sites, so many of the counselors who work with IDUs were not accustomed to giving a large number of positive test results during a relatively short time frame. The following quote from a staff coordinator in one of the sites helps put this challenge in perspective:

“...even though we expected a high rate of (HCV) positives, we didn’t foresee the toll that it would take on the testers, emotionally, to give so many positives...”

With feedback such as this, it became evident that test counselor support is key when offering integrated HIV and HCV C&T to IDUs. In Riverside County, therapists met with C&T staff on a weekly basis to provide them with the opportunity to debrief from their experience of providing so many HCV-positive results to clients. This may be an important example for other programs that aim to integrate HIV and HCV C&T.

Qualitative findings also allowed us to learn that the collection of the blood sample required for the HCV test (i.e., enough blood to stain a half-dollar-sized circle) was hard to draw from some participants, at times extending the time that C&T staff and IDUs were required to spend during a risk assessment session. It is also important to note that frequent and clear communication and collaboration with the lab providing test analyses and results is essential as it was sometimes challenging to get both lab results back in time for the disclosure session. Although this only occurred on a few occasions, it presented challenges for local C&T staff who had to ask their IDU clients to return for a second disclosure session to receive HCV results.

Finally, it became increasingly evident throughout the course of the demonstration project that HCV treatment services are in dire need in many locations across California. Sites that implement HCV and HIV C&T integration activities on the local level should plan to work with service providers to find ways of enhancing HCV treatment services to HCV-positive individuals concurrent with HIV and HCV prevention efforts.

Conclusions

The demonstration project illustrated that, while there are a number of programmatic nuances that need to be addressed, integration of HIV and HCV testing can improve viral surveillance efforts across the state while providing enhanced services to IDUs. When HCV and HIV C&T were offered in tandem in the five demonstration project sites in California, HIV C&T rates more than tripled and HIV test disclosure rates increased by 17 percent. While test disclosure rates did not increase as markedly as test rates, this increase in disclosure rates suggests that the HIV-HCV integration can encourage more IDUs to return for test results. Ultimately, implementation of rapid testing at HIV C&T sites across the state should greatly increase participation in HIV disclosure sessions.

In order to implement the HIV and HCV C&T Integration Demonstration Project in 2003, DHS/OA expended \$40,000 and worked with five California LHJs for several months. Based on results of the demonstration project, DHS/OA opted to expand integration activities for a longer period of time and across a much larger number of LHJs. In 2004, DHS/OA created the IDU HIV C&T Utilizing Hepatitis C Testing High Risk Initiative that provides \$368,000 annually to 24 LHJs in order to attempt to further increase HIV C&T rates among IDUs while providing improved HIV and HCV viral surveillance. This increased funding and geographic coverage is expected to continue through mid-2007.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the site coordinators and project staff in all five project sites for their guidance, feedback and diligence throughout the duration of this project. We would like to particularly acknowledge the contributions of: Jena Adams (Fresno), Amity Balbutin-Burnham (Berkeley), Eric Huling (Riverside), Cynthia Packard and Lara Weiss (Humboldt), and Peter Turner (Solano). Thanks also to Heather Lusk, Lori Fries, Fred Molitor, and Allison Precht for their contributions during various aspects of the demonstration project.

References

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommendations for prevention and control of hepatitis C virus infection and hepatitis C-related chronic disease. *MMWR* 1998; 47(RR19):1-39.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevalence of Hepatitis C Virus Infection among clients for HIV counseling and testing sites – Connecticut, 1999. *MMWR* 2001 50(27):577-581.

Department of Health Services. (2001). Hepatitis C Strategic Plan. Recommendations for the Prevention and Control of Hepatitis C in California.

Department of Health Services. (2004). California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report: January-December 2001.

Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS, *Consensus Meeting on HIV/AIDS Incidence and Prevalence in California. Dec. 2001.*

Molitor F, Bell RA, Truax SR, Ruiz JD, Sun RK (1999) Predictors of failure to return for HIV test result and counseling by test site type. *AIDS Educ Prev* 11(1):1-13.

Fraser MR, Buffington J, Lipson L, Meit M (2002) Hepatitis C prevention programs: Assessment of local health department capacity. *J Public Health Manag Pract* 8(2):46-9.

Astone J, Strauss SM, Vassilev ZP, Des Jarlais DC (2003) Provision of hepatitis C education in a nationwide sample of drug treatment programs. *J Drug Educ* 33(1):107-17.

Strauss SM, Falkin GP, Vassilev ZP, Des Jarlais DC, Astone J (2002) A nationwide survey of hepatitis C services provided by drug treatment programs. *J Subst Abuse Treat* 22(2):55-62.

Strauss SM, Astone J, Vassilev ZP, Des Jarlais DC, Hagan H (2003) Gaps in the drug-free and methadone treatment program response to Hepatitis C. *J Subst Abuse Treat* 24(4):291-7.


Summary of 2002 HIV Counseling and Testing at Publicly Funded Sites

California publicly funded HIV counseling and testing (C&T) data were collected for 2002 using the fifth version of the HIV Counseling Information System (HIV5). These data report client behaviors that occurred in the past two years or since the client's last HIV test result.

Total annual test volume reported to Office of AIDS (OA) decreased in 2002 compared to the previous year. There were 169,739 OA-funded tests in 2002, 6,367 fewer tests than during 2001. Non-OA funded sources¹ reported 19,500 tests, 1142 more than in 2001. Figure 1 shows both OA-funded and non-OA-funded testing service volume by month.

Monthly test volume of OA-funded tests ranged between 11,000 and 16,000 tests per month. Test volume was highest in the months of January, March, April, May, and October, and a typical seasonal decline was seen in November and December. Anonymous alternative test sites (ATS) performed 34 percent of all tests, non-ATS sites reported about 65 percent of all tests, and almost one percent of the tests was reported by Indian Health and Rural Health Program sites. Testing at ATS locations has decreased proportionately by a few percentage points each year since 1998. The monthly volume of non-OA-funded tests was also variable, ranging from about 1,400 to 2,000 tests reported per month. Voluntarily reported non-OA-funded tests increased slightly this year, after a steady decline from 1998 to 2001.

Figure 1




Prepared by the Department of Health Services

¹ For the present report, records were treated as non-OA funded if: the client's test election was court-ordered or other non-OA test, OA was not billed, or the record was from a Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs (DADP) funded agency. Non-OA-funded services represented approximately eleven percent of services reported to OA in 2002. Services are reported to OA voluntarily to enhance the completeness of this information source.

Geographic Distribution of HIV-positive Results

Figure 2 presents a map of the number of HIV infections reported to OA in 2002 from both OA- and non-OA-funded HIV testing sites in California, by client county of residence. The four counties with the largest number of HIV infections were Los Angeles (601), San Francisco (335), Alameda (193), and San Diego (175). Clients who indicated that they previously tested HIV positive, or whose county of residence is unknown, were excluded.

Figure 2



Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Office of AIDS-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services: Client Profile

The data presented in the following sections represent only HIV C&T services funded by OA. Note that numbers and percentages represent services provided and not numbers or percentages of clients, unless otherwise specified.


HIV Positivity Rates

The overall HIV positivity rate for OA-funded clients in 2002 was 1.5 percent. This represents a nine percent increase for the second year in a row. From 1998 to 2000 the rate remained about 1.25 percent (1.26 percent in 1998; 1.24 percent in 1999; and 1.25 percent in 2000), increasing to 1.38 percent in 2001. The increase in positivity rate should be interpreted with caution, however, as it may be attributable to a number of factors including a decrease in the proportion of low-risk clients testing, an increase in the proportion of high-risk clients testing, and/or a larger proportion of HIV-positive clients repeat testing in the same calendar year. (There were 2,437 positive HIV tests in 2001 and 2,556 positive tests in 2002). As C&T continues to improve targeting of high-risk clients, the relative proportion of positive tests will be expected to increase.

HIV Testing Reported by Race and Gender


Figures 3 and 4 show the race/ethnicity breakdown for all OA-funded HIV tests and for OA-funded HIV tests with positive results. In 2002, about 15 percent of all test clients were African American, a slight decrease from 2001. Nevertheless, positive test results among African Americans remained disproportionately high (24 percent). Latinos continued to represent about 30 percent of all testing services and the percentage of Latinos with positive test results decreased to 30 percent (from 34 percent in 2001). The overall proportion of clients identifying as White remained at 42 percent, about the same as the previous year. However, the proportion of Whites testing positive (37 percent) increased for the second year in a row, from 34 percent in 2001 and 31 percent in 2000. Six percent of all clients identified as Asian/Pacific Islanders (PI), a one percent increase from the previous year. The proportion of Asian/PI clients testing positive was three percent, a one percent decrease from 2001. Thus, African Americans continue to be disproportionately represented among those testing and more so among positive tests, further emphasizing the importance of prevention and education programs focusing on these population sub-groups.

Figure 3



Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Figure 4




Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Figure 5 shows the total number of HIV tests by gender and race and Figure 6 shows the rate of positive HIV tests by gender and race. Males continue to account for more than half of the tests for all races (63 percent) and most (83 percent) HIV-positive test results. African American males had the highest infection rate at 2.99 percent followed by Latino males (2.09 percent), Other Race males (1.79 percent), Native American males (1.76 percent), White males (1.73 percent), and Asian/PI males (1.47 percent). Compared to 2001, infection rates of African American, Asian/PI, Other Race, and White males increased whereas the percentage of positive tests for Latino and Native American males decreased. Although exact positive test rates tend to fluctuate from year to year, the pattern of positivity by race and gender has remained the same, with African American males representing the highest, and Latino males the second highest rates. These consistent findings suggest that emphasis should be placed on developing and/or expanding culturally relevant outreach and prevention programs targeting African American and Latino men.

The number of African American women tested decreased for the second year in a row, from 11,198 to 10,360; and the number of African American females who tested positive also declined slightly (from 146 in 2001 to 137 in 2002). However, the HIV infection rate of African American females remained about 1.3 percent.


The relatively small number of women who test positive limits the ability to draw conclusions when comparing women's HIV positivity rates by race. However, the percentage of African American women who test positive continues to be disproportionately higher than Other Race (0.81 percent), Native American (0.41 percent), Latina (0.6 percent), White (0.41 percent), or Asian/PI females (0.34 percent). This suggests that more culturally specific HIV prevention programs focusing on the issues encountered by African American women may be needed.

Figure 5



Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Figure 6




Prepared by the Department of Health Services

HIV Testing Reported by Age and Gender


Figure 7 shows test volume by age and gender. The proportion of each age group with HIV-positive test results can be seen on Figure 8. Sixty percent of HIV tests were administered to individuals between the ages of 20 to 39 years. The majority of clients with HIV-positive test results (36.9 percent) continue to be 30 to 39 years old, followed by 40 to 49 years old (25.2 percent), and 20 to 29 years old (23.24 percent). The number of older adults testing positive for HIV has increased for the second year in a row. This year the number of positive tests among adults 40 to 59 years old increased by 20 percent (from 692 to 829). In 2001, there was a seven percent increase in positive tests in that age group. The number of positive tests for those 60 years and older stayed about the same (33 in 2002 and 37 in 2001). The increase of positive results among older age groups may indicate a need to refocus prevention efforts targeting older clients and will continue to be monitored. However, these data include repeat testers and must be interpreted with caution.

Figure 7



Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Figure 8



Prepared by the Department of Health Services


HIV Testing Reported by Risk Behavior Category

In the HIV C&T database, each client is assigned to a mutually exclusive risk category based on behavioral information provided at risk assessment and disclosure counseling sessions. Clients reporting multiple risk behaviors are assigned to the category that evidence shows is most likely to put them at risk for HIV infection. Figure 9 lists the hierarchy of risks and the percent of HIV-positive tests for each risk group.

Male injection drug users who have sex with men or are bisexual (MSM/IDU) are at highest risk for HIV, with 7.45 percent of their tests having positive results. There has been an increasing positivity rate for this risk group over the past few years from 5.44 percent in 2000 and 6.00 percent in 2001. The percentage of HIV-positive MSM/IDU seems to be returning to the higher levels seen in the late 1990s (7.20 percent in 1999, 8.6 percent in 1998, 7.8 percent in 1997, and 9.0 percent in 1996). This may signify a trend of increasing HIV risk for MSM/IDU that has important implications for prevention, and should be monitored.

Men who have sex with men (MSM) (4.18 percent positive tests) and clients with an HIV-infected sex partner (4.82 percent positive tests) are also at high risk. Clients with ‘unknown’ risk behavior also continued to have a high HIV-positive rate (2.97 percent).

Figure 9



Prepared by the Department of Health Services


² Occupational risk category had a positivity rate of 2.20% with four positive tests. In addition, there were two positive tests reported in 2001 for the risk category “child at risk,” defined as a child of an HIV-positive mother.

Prior HIV Testing History

Many clients seen at publicly funded test sites have previously tested for HIV. MSM, MSM/IDU, and heterosexual injection drug users (IDU) continue to report the largest percentage of repeat testing. MSM and MSM/IDU had the highest rate of prior testing with 35 percent reporting five or more previous HIV tests. More than one-third to one-half of MSM and MSM/IDU have reported similar high levels of previous testing for the past six years, suggesting that these groups are aware of their increased risk for HIV and engage in a pattern of repeat HIV testing to monitor their HIV status. About 73 percent of clients in all other risk groups (combined) had tested previously, approximately the same as in 2001 (see HIV C&T Data Tables).

First time testers accounted for 31 percent of all OA-funded tests, and 17.4 percent of the HIV-positive tests. Twenty-three percent of clients with HIV-positive test results reported a previous HIV-positive test result suggesting that almost a quarter of clients are returning to test sites to validate initial test results. Half (50 percent) of clients with HIV-positive results reported a prior negative result. This continues to indicate that a single HIV counseling and testing session often does not result in behavior change that prevents HIV infections for these clients (Figure 10), and emphasizes the importance of referring HIV-negative high-risk clients to prevention programs to help them gain the skills and information that can result in behavior change.

Figure 10




Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Diagnosis for Positive and Negative Test Results

Clients who test positive reported STDs more often than HIV-negative clients (Figure 11). Among clients with positive tests, 26 percent reported having an STD diagnosed within the past two years or since the last test result, compared to 18 percent of those who received negative test results. Reported in descending order, the most commonly reported disease diagnoses for all C&T clients were chlamydia (5.5 percent), gonorrhea (3.9 percent), hepatitis C (3.1 percent), genital/anal warts (2.3 percent), genital herpes (2.1 percent), hepatitis B (1.5 percent), syphilis (1.0 percent) and trichomoniasis (0.9 percent). The proportion of clients reporting a specific disease diagnosis varies depending on the risk of the client (see Table 12).

Figure 11



Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Drugs and Alcohol Used with Sex


Fifty-seven percent of C&T clients reported recent alcohol use (within the past two years) and 29 percent reported recent marijuana use. The most commonly reported drugs after alcohol and marijuana were amphetamine (13.0 percent), cocaine (10.2 percent), crack (6.6 percent), heroin (6.4 percent), and ecstasy (5.5 percent). Sexual activity while using alcohol and drugs remains prevalent and was reported by 72 percent of all contacts that reported using alcohol or other drugs. Drugs most likely to be used with sex sometimes or usually were amphetamine (61 percent), crack (55 percent), heroin (50 percent), nitrates (48 percent), alcohol (47 percent), and cocaine (47 percent).

Transgender Counseling and Testing Clients

The number of self-identified transgender (TG) clients testing for HIV increased slightly to 881 in 2002 from 745 in 2001. Although TG clients represent a small proportion of the overall HIV C&T population they continue to be at extremely high risk for HIV and were again the group with the overall highest HIV infection rate (7.21 percent) (see HIV C&T Data Tables). Increases in the number of TG clients seeking testing is consistent with OA's ongoing emphasis on better targeting of high risk individuals for C&T.

Figure 12 shows the total number of TG-identified tests for 2002 by race and test result. African American TG clients accounted for 90 tests and had the highest HIV infection rate: 24.4 percent. Latino/a clients continue to represent the largest racial/ethnic group testing among TG clients (n=428) and their HIV positivity rate was 5.3 percent. Because the data report number of tests and not number of clients, some may be represented more than once. However, only about three percent of TG clients (13 clients) reported having had a previous positive HIV test in the past year.

Figure 12



Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Matching Criteria

Prior to 2001, HIV C&T services could only be described in terms of service units and not in terms of the number of distinct clients served. In 2001 the Counseling Information Form (CIF) revision added elements that, when combined with some existing elements, can isolate unique clients. As reported in the 2001 C&T Annual Report, the matching criteria (MC) code that includes race/ethnicity, gender, date of birth, and client zip code distinguishes unique clients with 95 percent accuracy (99.7 percent with first initial of surname). Using the MC code permits more accurate reporting of essential statistics such as the number of individuals testing positive for the first time at OA C&T sites.

Of the 2,556 records containing HIV-positive results reported in 2002, 2,243 records (80 percent) contain all four elements of the MC code. Of these 1,929 (95 percent) distinct clients tested positive once at a publicly funded HIV test sites during the 2002 calendar year. Eighty-nine clients (4.3 percent) tested positive two times. An additional 313 (12 percent) positive HIV test records did not contain enough information to assign a unique MC code. If we assume that these could all represent unique clients, the MC coded records and the 313 records without adequate information can be combined to estimate that no more than 2,345 distinct individuals tested positive in 2002 (92 percent of all positive HIV tests).

The MC code can also be used to estimate the number of new HIV infections reported in 2002. Five hundred and four of the 2,032 clients who tested positive one or more times in 2002 reported a previous positive HIV test, leaving 1,528 new HIV infections found in 2002. If all 313 records that are missing MC element information are assumed to be new positives, the number of new infections could be as high as 1,859. That is, between 1,528 and 1,859 new infections were identified through OA C&T sites in 2002.

The reliability and utility of the MC code depends on accurate and complete recording of demographic information by counseling staff and the consistency of information reported by repeat clients over time. The majority of sites providing OA-funded HIV counseling and testing are increasingly reporting all four demographic elements of the MC code for the majority of their clients. For the most part, organizations and counselors realize that the MC code permits estimation of the number of unduplicated clients who receive publicly funded HIV test services, and does not identify individual clients nor breach client anonymity or confidentiality. Unfortunately, unfounded concerns by a small but significant number of testing sites in the City of Berkeley and Yolo and San Francisco Counties have resulted in a decrease in reported birth dates, a critical component of the MC code. More than 8,000 client records (128 positive tests) from these sites do not contain birth date information. With a larger MC code completion rate, California will be able to provide a more accurate estimate of the number of new positive test results at public sites.

Overall Trends in Testing

The number of OA-funded HIV tests administered in 2002 decreased by 6,367 tests from the previous year due primarily to a decrease in the number of White, Latina, and African American female and African American and Latino male C&T clients. The proportion of high-risk clients (55 percent) to low-risk clients (46 percent) increased slightly from 53 percent in 2001. Continuing reductions in the total number of tests, and increases in the proportion of HIV-positive test results and the proportion of high-risk clients can be expected as California's C&T program more effectively targets persons at risk, and reduces testing of those who are not engaging in risky behavior.

Although the number of African American males testing declined by ten percent from 2001, the number of positive tests among African American males (446) remained about the same as in 2001. This resulted in an increased positivity rate (3.0 percent) for African American males compared to the previous year (2.3 percent). The number of positive tests for African American females declined for the third year. The total number of tests by Latino males remained approximately the same as in 2001, however the number of HIV positive results among Latino males decreased for the first time since 1999. Whereas the total number of tests by White males stayed about the same as in 2001, the number of HIV-positive tests by White males increased for the second year in a row. For the third consecutive year, the number of identified TG clients has increased, and although they remain a small percentage of the entire testing population, their risk remains high (7.2 percent HIV-positive rate). The vast majority of infections remain among men, especially MSM. In public test sites, HIV infection remains highest among MSM/IDU (8.0 percent), TG (7.9 percent), MSM (4.2 percent), 'unknown' risk (3.2 percent), African Americans (2.4 percent), and Latino male (2.1 percent) testing clients.

Information as to whether clients consider themselves homeless was collected for the second year. The number of service contacts who self-identified as homeless decreased slightly in 2002 from 5 percent (9,253) to 4.3 percent (7,343). Almost half of the service contacts by clients who are homeless were from three counties. Fifteen percent of the homeless client contacts were in Los Angeles County, 15 percent were in San Francisco County, and 12 percent were in Orange County; of these, 178 tests were HIV positive. The positivity rate in 2002 for homeless contacts increased to 2.4 percent from 1.7 percent in 2001, and is higher than the overall positivity rate of 1.5 percent.

HIV Counseling and Testing Services Funded by Non-Office of AIDS Sources

Several C&T sites receive funding from OA, as well as other sources including the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the California Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs (DADP). Approximately 18,350 non-OA-funded tests were reported in 2002. This was a six percent increase from 2001. This represents the first slight increase, after three years of declining numbers of non-OA funded tests. Non-OA-funded services comprised just over 10 percent of all HIV C&T data reported to OA for 2002.

Fresno County DADP reported the largest number of non-OA funded tests (13 percent), Orange County was the second largest (11 percent), AltaMed Health Services' mobile testing unit in Los Angeles County was next (10 percent), and San Diego County reported 7 percent, with the remainder from a variety of locations throughout California. The percent of HIV-positive test results for non-OA-funded services was 0.78 percent.

The proportion of heterosexual IDU tests reported by non-OA-funded sites (18 percent) was more than twice that reported by OA-funded sites (8 percent). There were also proportionally more services to clients who reported an injection drug using sex partner (eight percent versus five percent) at non-OA funded sites. Non-OA funded locations reported fewer tests by MSM (8 percent compared to 15 percent at OA-funded locations). Ethnic composition, positivity rates by ethnicity, and rates of failing to return for test results were similar at OA-funded and non-OA funded sites in 2002. Additional information on non-OA-funded services is provided in the Counseling and Testing Data Tables section.

Office of AIDS-Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services: Quality Assurance Indicators


The following data provide information that can help to monitor the effectiveness and improve the quality of C&T services over time. These measures, the number of times clients did not return to receive test results and the amount of data that is missing (information that is not documented) from the CIF forms, were first provided in the 1998 HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report.

Failure to Return for HIV Test Result

For the third year in a row, 25 percent of clients who tested failed to return for their HIV test result. Rates of failing to return for HIV test results, or client no-show rates, differ among clinic types. ATs typically have the lowest no-show rates and in 2002, 17 percent of test results were not disclosed. Mobile van testing (38 percent), family planning (38 percent) and youth drop-in centers (46 percent) had the highest no-show rates (Figure 13). There are a variety of reasons why clients may fail to return for HIV test results. Mobile vans reach more clients who are homeless and disenfranchised making it difficult to reunite with clients. Clients seeking services from family planning or youth drop-in centers may seek services other than HIV testing and, therefore, are less likely to return for results unless motivated by HIV counselors. Since 1998, the overall percentage of testing clients not returning for a test result has consistently been between 24 percent and 27 percent.


Figure 14 shows the percent of HIV test results that were not disclosed by client risk category. Clients with unknown risk history, where risk behaviors are not recorded during the counseling session, and heterosexual IDUs are the most likely to fail to return for their test result (30 percent each). Clients who traded sex for drugs or money (29 percent), and those with no reported risk (29 percent), were the next most likely to fail to return. Of all risk categories, MSM clients most often returned for test results, (13 percent failed to return the same percentage of MSM 'no shows' as in 2001). OA is hopeful that with the introduction of new rapid testing technology, HIV test results will be provided to clients within the same session and that in time the no-show rates may be greatly reduced or even eliminated. As rapid testing (approved and introduced in 2003) gradually replaces older test technologies in many sites, the number of clients who do not receive their HIV test results will be greatly reduced.

Figure 13



Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Figure 14



Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Missing Information on the Counseling Information Form

The 2002 data suggest that counselors are still not completely recording information on the CIF. However, the amount of missing information has decreased for most items on the CIF, possibly due to increasing familiarity by counselors with the new CIF form that was introduced in 2001. Table 1 reports selected items from the form and the corresponding percent of missing data (i.e., item was blank). San Francisco County contributed more than half of the cases with missing data. When San Francisco records were excluded, the date of birth was missing in just 3.9 percent of remaining records, residence county was missing from only 1.6 percent of the CIF forms, and residence ZIP code was missing only 2.3 percent of the time. The amount of missing data decreased significantly in items relating to client risk behavior since 2001. The percent of missing responses for the number of sex partners, having a male partner, and having a female partner decreased by more than 50 percent. Missing data concerning having had sex with a prostitute, an IDU partner, or an HIV-infected partner, decreased by almost 75 percent. There was a 28 percent reduction in missing data about STD risk.

Table 1

Selected HIV CIF Items by Percentage of Missing Data*	
Front Side of CIF	Percent Missing
Race	1.6%
Date of Birth	9.5%
Gender	0.9%
First letter of last name	17.3%
Residence county	5.0%
Residence zip code	5.4%
Client was referred by	3.0%
Client's reason for testing	5.7%
Number of prior HIV tests	3.0%
Last test result	3.1%
Risk reduction goal	26.4%
Back Side of CIF	Percent Missing
Number of sex partners	2.4%
Male partner	3.6%
Female partner	4.4%
Sex with prostitute	5.6%
IDU partner	5.5%
HIV-infected partner	5.8%
Male partner who had sex with male (females only)	3.7%
STD risk	9.6%

*Declined/refused response is not counted as missing. Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Follow up with High-Risk/No-Show Confidentially Tested Clients

Follow-up services for clients who fail to appear for disclosure counseling continue to be either seriously under utilized by confidential testing sites. About 27 percent of confidentially tested clients failed to return for a test result. Only 22 percent of eligible clients (i.e., confidentially tested, high-risk, no-show) had a follow-up service reported on the form. Statewide, this translates to 10,475 confidential high-risk or HIV-positive test takers who did not receive a test result. Of those confidentially tested no-show clients contacted through follow-up, 33 percent returned for their test result, fewer than the 41 percent

that returned after follow-up contact in 1999 to 2001. Among confidentially tested HIV-positive results, 285 (21 percent) did not return for the test result. Of the 188 follow-ups made for confidentially tested HIV-positive clients, 88 (47 percent) returned for the test result. Given that confidentially tested clients are identifiable, there should be no HIV-positive result that is undisclosed for this group. Additionally, while HIV-negative results cannot be provided after 60 days from the test date, an HIV-positive result may be disclosed at any time.

Attempting to reschedule a missed disclosure session provides a second chance for clients to receive their test result and for counselors to provide additional prevention counseling and referrals, in particular for the HIV-positive clients. Making the follow-up attempt and recording the date on the form increases reimbursement by \$10 for high-risk clients and \$25 for HIV-positive and HIV-inconclusive clients. Had all confidential follow-ups been attempted, an estimated 5,944 additional clients might have known their HIV status, including 185 HIV-positive clients. However, the implementation of rapid HIV testing in 2003-04 should greatly reduce, and possibly eliminate, no-show rates as well as the need for client follow-up.

Referrals to High-Risk Clients

In 2002, 12 percent of high-risk client risk assessments had 'NONE' or missing information for the risk assessment referral column (8 percent less than in 2001). Additionally, 26 percent of high-risk disclosure sessions had 'NONE' or missing information in the disclosure referral column, 2 percent more than in 2001. Approximately 19,374 high-risk testers had no disclosure referral indicated. All high-risk and HIV-positive clients should receive a referral at the disclosure session. Disclosure session referrals are reimbursed at \$5 for high-risk clients and \$15 for HIV-positive and HIV-inconclusive clients. Approximately one-quarter of high-risk counseling sessions are incomplete.

Counselor Turnover

From 2001 to 2002, approximately 5,560 HIV counselors discontinued working at OA-funded test sites. This represents 32 percent of the 2002 HIV counselor workforce and is 5 percent lower than the turnover rate in 2001. When turnover was examined by workload level for the year, it was found that 52 percent of the counselors who left had provided fewer than ten risk assessment sessions. About 30 percent of HIV counselors had provided between 11 and 58 sessions, 14 percent between 59-261 sessions, and 4 percent had provided 262 or more risk assessments during the year. Counselors who provided little HIV counseling were more likely to leave; conversely, counselors with higher counseling workloads were more likely to stay. This means that almost a third of the counselor training effort was to replace poorly utilized counselors.

Counselor Workload

The average counselor workload has increased for the first time in several years. In 2002 the average number of risk assessment sessions performed each week by HIV counselors was slightly over three sessions (*mean*=3.2), an increase from 2.8 in 2001. There were approximately 1,799 HIV counselors in 2002; about 99 more than identified in 2001. A little less than two-thirds of HIV counselors (*n*=1,103, 63 percent) provided between 1 and 58 risk assessments during 2002. This is a two percent decrease from 2001. Twenty-nine percent of counselors provided between one and ten risk assessment sessions, a decrease from 32 percent in 2001. These findings indicate that the reduction in 'low volume' counselors (those doing 1 to 58 sessions per year) is due primarily to a decrease in those who provide the fewest counseling sessions (between one and ten sessions a year).

Counselors who provide few sessions each week may not be gaining the necessary experience to become proficient HIV counselors. The data continue to indicate that an overwhelming majority of HIV counselors are not counseling enough to maintain even a reasonable part-time workload. In many cases, HIV counselors


also work in other capacities within a clinic or health department, some counselors are volunteers, and others have part-time jobs outside of the testing clinic. Too many HIV counselors continue to provide too few HIV counseling sessions.

The reduction in low volume counselors seen in 2002 may be due to ongoing efforts by OA to encourage counties to increase counselor workloads so that active C&T counselors are adequately skilled and experienced. This will become even more important as rapid testing replaces other test procedures at most sites, and counselors are required to be more extensively trained and practiced in more complex procedures. Counselor workload must continue to be monitored to determine whether these findings are part of a trend of increasing workload and, therefore, more experienced counselors.

HIV Counselor Training

Examination of enrollment in OA-sponsored HIV counselor trainings (including the two components of the Basic Training series and Continuing Education Trainings (CETs)) demonstrated that in 2000 more than two-thirds of the people attending Basic I training did not actually engage in HIV counseling. As a result, a number of changes in the requirements for enrolling potential HIV counselors in OA-sponsored trainings were implemented in 2001. These policy modifications are fully described in the 2001 Counseling and Testing Report. As a result of these changes, the percentage of active counselors (counselors who perform five or more counseling sessions a year) who are enrolled in the training classes has increased. This change is most clearly seen in CETs, in which enrollment of active counselors increased by 18 percent over 2001 (Figure 15). This represents significant progress from previous years, although there is still room for improvement.

Figure 15



Prepared by the Department of Health Services

HIV Counseling and Testing Tables

HIV C&T data are reported to OA by county/city local health departments (LHDs) through contracts and agreements with OA to provide these services. Programs funded through LHDs are divided into legislatively mandated anonymous testing services at ATS, anonymous and confidential services at county or municipal sexually transmitted disease and other clinics, Indian health programs, and other venues (referred to in the tables as Non-ATS LHDs). This section of the report contains 20 tables of client data from OA-funded programs and also presents limited client data from non-OA-funded programs (e.g., DADP). Data are accumulated annually.

Effective July 1994, major changes were made to the system used to collect and report client data to OA and a new client-centered counseling approach was implemented. Further modifications to the data collection system were implemented in July 1997, including the introduction of a more comprehensive HIV CIF (DHS 8458). Much more detailed risk behavior information is now being discussed with clients and collected for analysis with the introduction of the fifth version of the CIF in January 2001. Clients voluntarily provide risk information during the counseling sessions, and the heading "Unknown" in any table means that the client did not provide an item of information. It should be noted that the "HIV Risk Behavior Category" variable presented in some tables is not strictly comparable with that variable as reported before July 1, 1994.

The basic tabulated information is a client visit/service unit. The service unit includes, at a minimum, an HIV risk assessment session. If the client elected to be tested, the client visit also includes a test result. For those clients who elected to be tested the service includes a disclosure session, unless the client did not return for the result. A client may have obtained more than one HIV test in a reporting period; in this case each test and its related services is reported separately. It is important to keep in mind that these data represent C&T services administered through these programs and, hence, recipients of these services are a self-selected group. **Therefore, these data should not be interpreted as representing discrete persons or the population of California in general.**

Guide to HIV Counseling and Testing Tables

Tables 1 through 6 are for all services, both OA-funded and non-OA-funded, and include information on volume of testing per quarter and month, program and clinic type, and client risk. Tables 7 through 16 report OA-funded services only and contain information on race, age group, gender, client risk, pregnancy status, outreach clients, past STD, STD/hepatitis diagnosis, number of sex partners, number of prior HIV tests, and program type and agency. OA-funded services for clients who have been tested are described in Tables 17 through 20 and include information on race, risk group, gender, drug use, no show rates, and disclosure referrals. Tables 21 and 22 provide a summary of risk group, gender, race, and age group for OA-funded services provided to clients who elected not to be tested. Below is a description of each table.

OA- and Non-OA-Funded Services

Table 1 presents total C&T services by quarter and month provided by OA-funded programs in 2002 for all clients who have tested or who have chosen not to be tested.

Table 2 presents the same information as Table 1, but for non-OA-funded programs reporting data to OA.

Table 3 provides test result and risk assessment-only services for OA-funded programs by program and clinic type.

Table 4 is the same information as Table 3, but for non-OA-funded programs reporting data to OA.

Table 5 provides test result and risk assessment-only services at OA-funded programs by a categorical assignment of client risk. Behaviors reported by the client on the HIV CIF (DHS 8458) are ranked by decreasing risk of exposure and the client is assigned the category producing the greatest probable risk for contracting HIV. These risk groups are further subdivided by gender.

Table 6 has the same information as Table 5, but for non-OA-funded programs reporting data to OA.

All OA-Funded Services

Table 7 summarizes test results and risk assessment-only services by race and gender.

Table 8 shows test results and risk assessment-only services by age group and gender.

Table 9 summarizes risk groups by gender and age group.

Table 10 shows test results for female clients by risk behavior and pregnancy status.

Table 11 shows test results for outreach clients (those reached through a mobile van or referred by an OA outreach worker) by risk behavior and gender.

Table 12 presents recent (past two years) STD history by risk behavior and gender.

Table 13 presents specific STD/hepatitis diagnosis by risk behavior and gender.

Table 14 summarizes the number of sex partners in the past year by risk behavior and gender.

Table 15 summarizes the number of prior HIV tests by risk behavior and gender.

Table 16 provides test results by program type and LHD.

OA-Funded Services for Clients Who Have Tested

Table 17 presents disclosure referrals indicated for clients who returned for test results by client risk status.

Table 18 describes C&T clients by drug use, gender, and test result.

Table 19 provides no-show rates by program and LHD for both OA- and non-OA-funded programs.

Table 20 shows disclosure referrals at different levels of client risk.

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 1. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY YEAR, QUARTER, AND MONTH			TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL SERVICES BY MONTH	
			POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY MONTH		NO TEST		TOTAL BY MONTH			
			NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
YEAR TESTED	QUARTER	MONTH TESTED														
2002	1	JANUARY	206	1.4	14530	98.5	20	0.1	14756	33.7	683	100	683	37.9	15439	33.9
		FEBRUARY	196	1.4	13923	98.5	17	0.1	14136	32.3	576	100	576	32.0	14712	32.3
		MARCH	236	1.6	14653	98.3	17	0.1	14906	34.0	543	100	543	30.1	15449	33.9
		SUBTOTAL	638	1.5	43106	98.4	54	0.1	43798	25.8	1802	100	1802	21.7	45600	25.6
	2	MONTH TESTED														
		APRIL	261	1.6	15593	98.2	23	0.1	15877	35.7	695	100	695	34.2	16572	35.6
		MAY	225	1.5	14682	98.4	20	0.1	14927	33.6	685	100	685	33.7	15612	33.6
		JUNE	207	1.5	13427	98.2	42	0.3	13676	30.7	650	100	650	32.0	14326	30.8
		SUBTOTAL	693	1.6	43702	98.3	85	0.2	44480	26.2	2030	100	2030	24.5	46510	26.1
		3	MONTH TESTED													
	JULY		219	1.5	14330	98.3	34	0.2	14583	34.1	914	100	914	38.5	15497	34.3
	AUGUST		202	1.4	14009	98.4	33	0.2	14244	33.3	759	100	759	31.9	15003	33.2
	SEPTEMBER		209	1.5	13756	98.3	26	0.2	13991	32.7	704	100	704	29.6	14695	32.5
	SUBTOTAL		630	1.5	42095	98.3	93	0.2	42818	25.2	2377	100	2377	28.6	45195	25.4
	4		MONTH TESTED													
		OCTOBER	226	1.5	15311	98.3	46	0.3	15583	40.3	814	100	814	39.0	16397	40.3
		NOVEMBER	167	1.4	11497	98.4	19	0.2	11683	30.2	666	100	666	31.9	12349	30.3
		DECEMBER	202	1.8	11159	98.1	16	0.1	11377	29.4	608	100	608	29.1	11985	29.4
		SUBTOTAL	595	1.5	37967	98.3	81	0.2	38643	22.8	2088	100	2088	25.2	40731	22.9
TOTAL BY RESULT			2556	1.5	166870	98.3	313	0.2	169739	100	8297	100	8297	100	178036	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
OA = Office of AIDS

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 2. NON-OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY YEAR, QUARTER, AND MONTH			TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL SERVICES BY MONTH	
			POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY MONTH		NO TEST		TOTAL BY MONTH			
			NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
YEAR TESTED	QUARTER	MONTH TESTED														
2002	1	JANUARY	18	1.0	1775	98.9	1	0.1	1794	34.8	43	100	43	29.3	1837	34.6
		FEBRUARY	13	0.9	1450	99.0	2	0.1	1465	28.4	51	100	51	34.7	1516	28.6
		MARCH	13	0.7	1881	99.2	2	0.1	1896	36.8	53	100	53	36.1	1949	36.8
		SUBTOTAL	44	0.9	5106	99.0	5	0.1	5155	26.4	147	100	147	24.3	5302	26.4
	2	MONTH TESTED														
		APRIL	4	0.3	1435	99.7			1439	32.4	28	100	28	24.3	1467	32.2
		MAY	6	0.4	1420	99.5	1	0.1	1427	32.1	36	100	36	31.3	1463	32.1
		JUNE	11	0.7	1564	99.3			1575	35.5	51	100	51	44.3	1626	35.7
		SUBTOTAL	21	0.5	4419	99.5	1	0.0	4441	22.8	115	100	115	19.0	4556	22.7
		3	MONTH TESTED													
	JULY		14	1.0	1427	98.8	3	0.2	1444	29.1	62	100	62	37.6	1506	29.4
	AUGUST		12	0.7	1780	99.3	1	0.1	1793	36.1	70	100	70	42.4	1863	36.3
	SEPTEMBER		18	1.0	1706	98.7	4	0.2	1728	34.8	33	100	33	20.0	1761	34.3
	SUBTOTAL		44	0.9	4913	99.0	8	0.2	4965	25.5	165	100	165	27.3	5130	25.5
	4	MONTH TESTED														
		OCTOBER	20	1.0	1954	98.9	1	0.1	1975	40.0	50	100	50	28.2	2025	39.6
		NOVEMBER	13	0.8	1589	98.8	7	0.4	1609	32.6	68	100	68	38.4	1677	32.8
		DECEMBER	10	0.7	1344	99.2	1	0.1	1355	27.4	59	100	59	33.3	1414	27.6
		SUBTOTAL	43	0.9	4887	98.9	9	0.2	4939	25.3	177	100	177	29.3	5116	25.4
TOTAL BY RESULT			152	0.8	19325	99.1	23	0.1	19500	100	604	100	604	100	20104	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
OA = Office of AIDS

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 3. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM AND CLINIC TYPE		TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY MONTH		NO TEST		TOTAL BY MONTH			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	CLINIC TYPE														
	ALTERNATIVE TEST SITE	837	1.4	56848	98.4	93	0.2	57778	34.3	4237	100	4237	52.9	62015	35.2
	FAMILY PLANNING	17	1.2	1392	98.8			1409	0.8	90	100	90	1.1	1499	0.9
	STD CLINIC	312	1.1	29340	98.9	17	0.1	29669	17.6	2354	100	2354	29.4	32023	18.2
	ALCOHOL/DRUG TREAT	25	0.5	4627	99.2	12	0.3	4664	2.8	26	100	26	0.3	4690	2.7
	DETENTION FACILITY	112	1.1	10298	98.7	24	0.2	10434	6.2	416	100	416	5.2	10850	6.2
	PRIMARY CARE	48	0.9	5458	99.0	8	0.1	5514	3.3	80	100	80	1.0	5594	3.2
	HIV TESTING	723	2.8	25123	96.9	69	0.3	25915	15.4	239	100	239	3.0	26154	14.8
	STREET OUTREACH	85	1.5	5434	98.2	12	0.2	5531	3.3	280	100	280	3.5	5811	3.3
	MOBILE VAN	204	1.0	20395	98.8	37	0.2	20636	12.3	94	100	94	1.2	20730	11.8
	TB SCREENING	6	2.8	210	97.2			216	0.1					216	0.1
	YOUTH DROP IN	20	2.5	771	97.2	2	0.3	793	0.5	6	100	6	0.1	799	0.5
	OTHER HD	106	2.9	3543	96.9	8	0.2	3657	2.2	83	100	83	1.0	3740	2.1
	OTHER	42	2.0	2022	96.7	27	1.3	2091	1.2	101	100	101	1.3	2192	1.2
	SUBTOTAL	2537	1.5	165461	98.3	309	0.2	168307	99.2	8006	100	8006	96.5	176313	99.0
RURAL HEALTH PROGRAM	CLINIC TYPE														
	ALCOHOL/DRUG TREAT									1	100	1	0.4	1	0.2
	PRIMARY CARE	2	0.6	355	98.3	4	1.1	361	99.4	273	100	273	99.6	634	99.5
	HIV TESTING			2	100			2	0.6					2	0.3
	SUBTOTAL	2	0.6	357	98.3	4	1.1	363	0.2	274	100	274	3.3	637	0.4
INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM	CLINIC TYPE														
	DETENTION FACILITY			2	100			2	0.2					2	0.2
	PRIMARY CARE	17	1.6	1040	98.4			1057	98.9	14	100	14	82.4	1071	98.6
	HIV TESTING			9	100			9	0.8	3	100	3	17.6	12	1.1
	OTHER			1	100			1	0.1					1	0.1
	SUBTOTAL	17	1.6	1052	98.4			1069	0.6	17	100	17	0.2	1086	0.6
TOTAL BY RESULT		2556	1.5	166870	98.3	313	0.2	169739	100	8297	100	8297	100	178036	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 OA = Office of AIDS
 HD = Health Department
 STD = Sexually Transmitted Disease
 TB = Tuberculosis

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 4. NON-OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM AND CLINIC TYPE		TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY MONTH		NO TEST		TOTAL BY MONTH			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	CLINIC TYPE														
	ALTERNATIVE TEST SITE	1	0.6	177	99.4			178	2.0	5	100	5	4.5	183	2.0
	FAMILY PLANNING			29	100			29	0.3					29	0.3
	STD CLINIC	1	1.1	93	98.9			94	1.0	1	100	1	0.9	95	1.0
	ALCOHOL/DRUG TREAT	14	0.5	3004	99.3	7	0.2	3025	33.7	66	100	66	59.5	3091	34.0
	DETENTION FACILITY	4	0.5	738	99.2	2	0.3	744	8.3					744	8.2
	PRIMARY CARE	5	3.4	143	96.6			148	1.6					148	1.6
	HIV TESTING	38	1.2	3166	98.7	4	0.1	3208	35.8	10	100	10	9.0	3218	35.4
	STREET OUTREACH	1	8.3	11	91.7			12	0.1					12	0.1
	MOBILE VAN	4	0.4	979	99.6			983	11.0					983	10.8
	TB SCREENING			3	100			3	0.0					3	0.0
	YOUTH DROP IN			88	100			88	1.0					88	1.0
	OTHER HD			91	100			91	1.0					91	1.0
	OTHER	3	0.8	365	99.2			368	4.1	29	100	29	26.1	397	4.4
	SUBTOTAL	71	0.8	8887	99.1	13	0.1	8971	46.0	111	100	111	18.4	9082	45.2
DADP	CLINIC TYPE														
	FAMILY PLANNING			5	100			5	0.0					5	0.0
	STD CLINIC			9	100			9	0.1					9	0.1
	ALCOHOL/DRUG TREAT	21	0.4	5624	99.6	1	0.0	5646	53.6	431	100	431	87.4	6077	55.1
	DETENTION FACILITY			211	100			211	2.0	6	100	6	1.2	217	2.0
	PRIMARY CARE			1	100			1	0.0					1	0.0
	HIV TESTING			11	100			11	0.1					11	0.1
	STREET OUTREACH			9	100			9	0.1	1	100	1	0.2	10	0.1
	MOBILE VAN	60	1.3	4527	98.5	9	0.2	4596	43.7	27	100	27	5.5	4623	41.9
	TB SCREENING			2	100			2	0.0					2	0.0
	OTHER HD			2	100			2	0.0					2	0.0
	OTHER			37	100			37	0.4	28	100	28	5.7	65	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	81	0.8	10438	99.1	10	0.1	10529	54.0	493	100	493	81.6	11022	54.8
TOTAL BY RESULT		152	0.8	19325	99.1	23	0.1	19500	100	604	100	604	100	20104	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
DADP = Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs
OA = Office of AIDS
HD = Health Department
STD = Sexually Transmitted Disease
TB = Tuberculosis

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 5. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK AND GENDER		NO TEST		TOTAL BY RISK AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	1094	4.2	24936	95.6	61	0.2	26091	100	2049	100	2049	100	28140	100
	SUBTOTAL	1094	4.2	24936	95.6	61	0.2	26091	15.4	2049	100	2049	24.7	28140	15.8
BISEXUAL	MALE	206	3.2	6160	96.5	17	0.3	6383	66.5	175	100	175	65.3	6558	66.5
	FEMALE	14	0.4	3195	99.5	1	0.0	3210	33.5	93	100	93	34.7	3303	33.5
	SUBTOTAL	220	2.3	9355	97.5	18	0.2	9593	5.7	268	100	268	3.2	9861	5.5
IDU	MALE	174	2.0	8358	97.8	18	0.2	8550	61.4	54	100	54	62.1	8604	61.4
	FEMALE	85	1.7	5045	98.1	11	0.2	5141	36.9	32	100	32	36.8	5173	36.9
	TG	16	12.0	115	86.5	2	1.5	133	1.0					133	0.9
	UNKNOWN			110	100			110	0.8	1	100	1	1.1	111	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	275	2.0	13628	97.8	31	0.2	13934	8.2	87	100	87	1.0	14021	7.9
GAY/IDU	MALE	98	8.0	1121	91.7	4	0.3	1223	100	8	100	8	100	1231	100
	SUBTOTAL	98	8.0	1121	91.7	4	0.3	1223	0.7	8	100	8	0.1	1231	0.7
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	43	5.0	806	94.6	3	0.4	852	37.0	12	100	12	37.5	864	37.0
	FEMALE	52	3.8	1306	95.9	4	0.3	1362	59.2	20	100	20	62.5	1382	59.3
	TG	9	15.3	50	84.7			59	2.6					59	2.5
	UNKNOWN	1	3.7	26	96.3			27	1.2					27	1.2
	SUBTOTAL	105	4.6	2188	95.1	7	0.3	2300	1.4	32	100	32	0.4	2332	1.3
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	13	0.8	1588	99.1	1	0.1	1602	100	9	100	9	100	1611	100
	SUBTOTAL	13	0.8	1588	99.1	1	0.1	1602	0.9	9	100	9	0.1	1611	0.9
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	36	0.9	4146	99.0	6	0.1	4188	51.8	22	100	22	47.8	4210	51.8
	FEMALE	15	0.4	3729	99.4	6	0.2	3750	46.4	24	100	24	52.2	3774	46.4
	TG	5	11.4	39	88.6			44	0.5					44	0.5
	UNKNOWN	3	2.9	100	97.1			103	1.3					103	1.3
	SUBTOTAL	59	0.7	8014	99.1	12	0.1	8085	4.8	46	100	46	0.6	8131	4.6
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	6	0.8	770	99.2			776	30.1	2	100	2	10.0	778	30.0
	FEMALE	16	1.0	1596	98.8	3	0.2	1615	62.7	18	100	18	90.0	1633	62.9
	TG	10	6.6	142	93.4			152	5.9					152	5.9
	UNKNOWN			32	97.0	1	3.0	33	1.3					33	1.3
	SUBTOTAL	32	1.2	2540	98.6	4	0.2	2576	1.5	20	100	20	0.2	2596	1.5
TRANSFUSION	MALE	11	2.0	542	97.7	2	0.4	555	49.6	2	100	2	50.0	557	49.6
	FEMALE	9	1.6	545	98.2	1	0.2	555	49.6	2	100	2	50.0	557	49.6
	TG			1	50.0	1	50.0	2	0.2					2	0.2
	UNKNOWN			7	100			7	0.6					7	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	20	1.8	1095	97.9	4	0.4	1119	0.7	4	100	4	0.0	1123	0.6

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 5. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK AND GENDER		NO TEST		TOTAL BY RISK AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HETEROSEXUAL /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	199	0.5	39160	99.3	60	0.2	39419	61.9	1645	100	1645	65.3	41064	62.1
	FEMALE	58	0.2	23227	99.6	32	0.1	23317	36.6	826	100	826	32.8	24143	36.5
	TG	10	4.5	208	94.5	2	0.9	220	0.3	10	100	10	0.4	230	0.3
	UNKNOWN	6	0.9	693	99.1			699	1.1	39	100	39	1.5	738	1.1
	SUBTOTAL	273	0.4	63288	99.4	94	0.1	63655	37.5	2520	100	2520	30.4	66175	37.2
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	3	5.6	51	94.4			54	31.4					54	31.4
	FEMALE	1	0.9	116	99.1			117	68.0					117	68.0
	TG			1	100			1	0.6					1	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	4	2.3	168	97.7			172	0.1					172	0.1
PARENT RISK	MALE	1	10.0	9	90.0			10	43.5					10	43.5
	FEMALE	1	7.7	12	92.3			13	56.5					13	56.5
	SUBTOTAL	2	8.7	21	91.3			23	0.0					23	0.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	204	1.2	16610	98.5	44	0.3	16858	45.0	711	100	711	42.9	17569	44.9
	FEMALE	85	0.4	20027	99.4	30	0.1	20142	53.8	924	100	924	55.8	21066	53.8
	TG	7	6.5	101	93.5			108	0.3	2	100	2	0.1	110	0.3
	UNKNOWN	4	1.1	360	98.9			364	1.0	19	100	19	1.1	383	1.0
	SUBTOTAL	300	0.8	37098	99.0	74	0.2	37472	22.1	1656	100	1656	20.0	39128	22.0
UNKNOWN	MALE	50	4.8	984	95.0	2	0.2	1036	54.7	1052	100	1052	65.8	2088	59.8
	FEMALE	9	1.2	713	98.6	1	0.1	723	38.2	301	100	301	18.8	1024	29.3
	TG	1	7.7	12	92.3			13	0.7	4	100	4	0.3	17	0.5
	UNKNOWN	1	0.8	121	99.2			122	6.4	241	100	241	15.1	363	10.4
	SUBTOTAL	61	3.2	1830	96.6	3	0.2	1894	1.1	1598	100	1598	19.3	3492	2.0
TOTAL BY RESULT		2556	1.5	166870	98.3	313	0.2	169739	100	8297	100	8297	100	178036	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 OA = Office of AIDS
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 TG = Transsgender

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 6. NON-OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK AND GENDER		NO TEST		TOTAL BY RISK AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	52	3.2	1562	96.7	1	0.1	1615	100	23	100	23	100	1638	100
	SUBTOTAL	52	3.2	1562	96.7	1	0.1	1615	8.3	23	100	23	3.8	1638	8.1
BISEXUAL	MALE	7	1.3	546	98.6	1	0.2	554	51.6	4	100	4	44.4	558	51.6
	FEMALE			518	99.8	1	0.2	519	48.4	5	100	5	55.6	524	48.4
	SUBTOTAL	7	0.7	1064	99.2	2	0.2	1073	5.5	9	100	9	1.5	1082	5.4
IDU	MALE	10	0.5	1986	99.4	1	0.1	1997	56.5	10	100	10	71.4	2007	56.5
	FEMALE	8	0.5	1503	99.5			1511	42.7	3	100	3	21.4	1514	42.6
	TG	1	16.7	5	83.3			6	0.2					6	0.2
	UNKNOWN			22	100			22	0.6	1	100	1	7.1	23	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	19	0.5	3516	99.4	1	0.0	3536	18.1	14	100	14	2.3	3550	17.7
GAY/IDU	MALE	7	3.7	180	96.3			187	100					187	100
	SUBTOTAL	7	3.7	180	96.3			187	1.0					187	0.9
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	1	1.1	86	98.9			87	45.3					87	45.1
	FEMALE	6	6.0	94	94.0			100	52.1	1	100	1	100	101	52.3
	TG			2	100			2	1.0					2	1.0
	UNKNOWN			3	100			3	1.6					3	1.6
	SUBTOTAL	7	3.6	185	96.4			192	1.0	1	100	1	0.2	193	1.0
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE			174	100			174	100	1	100	1	100	175	100
	SUBTOTAL			174	100			174	0.9	1	100	1	0.2	175	0.9
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	3	0.4	794	99.4	2	0.3	799	50.2	9	100	9	37.5	808	50.0
	FEMALE	3	0.4	773	99.4	2	0.3	778	48.9	15	100	15	62.5	793	49.1
	TG	1	33.3	2	66.7			3	0.2					3	0.2
	UNKNOWN			11	100			11	0.7					11	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	7	0.4	1580	99.3	4	0.3	1591	8.2	24	100	24	4.0	1615	8.0
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	2	0.8	237	98.8	1	0.4	240	32.5	2	100	2	22.2	242	32.4
	FEMALE	1	0.2	475	99.6	1	0.2	477	64.6	7	100	7	77.8	484	64.8
	TG	2	13.3	13	86.7			15	2.0					15	2.0
	UNKNOWN			6	100			6	0.8					6	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	5	0.7	731	99.1	2	0.3	738	3.8	9	100	9	1.5	747	3.7
TRANSFUSION	MALE			72	100			72	52.9	3	100	3	75.0	75	53.6
	FEMALE	1	1.6	63	98.4			64	47.1	1	100	1	25.0	65	46.4
	SUBTOTAL	1	0.7	135	99.3			136	0.7	4	100	4	0.7	140	0.7
HETEROSEXUAL /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	22	0.6	3953	99.4	3	0.1	3978	66.5	105	100	105	78.4	4083	66.8
	FEMALE	4	0.2	1926	99.6	3	0.2	1933	32.3	26	100	26	19.4	1959	32.0
	TG			21	100			21	0.4	1	100	1	0.7	22	0.4

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 6. NON-OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK AND GENDER		NO TEST		TOTAL BY RISK AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HETEROSEXUAL /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	UNKNOWN			48	100			48	0.8	2	100	2	1.5	50	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	26	0.4	5948	99.5	6	0.1	5980	30.7	134	100	134	22.2	6114	30.4
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE			4	100			4	40.0					4	40.0
	FEMALE			6	100			6	60.0					6	60.0
	SUBTOTAL			10	100			10	0.1					10	0.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	14	0.6	2296	99.3	3	0.1	2313	56.3	197	100	197	63.1	2510	56.8
	FEMALE	6	0.3	1744	99.5	3	0.2	1753	42.7	107	100	107	34.3	1860	42.1
	TG	1	6.7	13	86.7	1	6.7	15	0.4	1	100	1	0.3	16	0.4
	UNKNOWN			26	100			26	0.6	7	100	7	2.2	33	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	21	0.5	4079	99.3	7	0.2	4107	21.1	312	100	312	51.7	4419	22.0
UNKNOWN	MALE			93	100			93	57.8	42	100	42	57.5	135	57.7
	FEMALE			58	100			58	36.0	24	100	24	32.9	82	35.0
	TG			1	100			1	0.6					1	0.4
	UNKNOWN			9	100			9	5.6	7	100	7	9.6	16	6.8
	SUBTOTAL			161	100			161	0.8	73	100	73	12.1	234	1.2
TOTAL BY RESULT		152	0.8	19325	99.1	23	0.1	19500	100	604	100	604	100	20104	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 OA = Office of AIDS
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 TG = Transgender

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 7. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RACE AND GENDER		NO TEST		TOTAL BY RACE AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
AFRICAN AMERICAN	MALE	461	3.0	14880	96.7	41	0.3	15382	59.1	693	100	693	69.9	16075	59.5
	FEMALE	137	1.3	10210	98.6	13	0.1	10360	39.8	287	100	287	28.9	10647	39.4
	TG	22	25.9	63	74.1			85	0.3	2	100	2	0.2	87	0.3
	UNKNOWN	3	1.6	182	98.4			185	0.7	10	100	10	1.0	195	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	623	2.4	25335	97.4	54	0.2	26012	15.3	992	100	992	12.0	27004	15.2
AMERICAN INDIAN	MALE	24	1.8	1337	97.9	4	0.3	1365	52.0	41	100	41	56.9	1406	52.2
	FEMALE	5	0.4	1223	99.4	2	0.2	1230	46.9	31	100	31	43.1	1261	46.8
	TG	1	12.5	7	87.5			8	0.3					8	0.3
	UNKNOWN			20	100			20	0.8					20	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	30	1.1	2587	98.6	6	0.2	2623	1.5	72	100	72	0.9	2695	1.5
ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER	MALE	90	1.5	6011	98.4	10	0.2	6111	59.3	480	100	480	72.3	6591	60.0
	FEMALE	14	0.3	4075	99.6	3	0.1	4092	39.7	180	100	180	27.1	4272	38.9
	TG	2	4.0	47	94.0	1	2.0	50	0.5					50	0.5
	UNKNOWN			59	100			59	0.6	4	100	4	0.6	63	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	106	1.0	10192	98.8	14	0.1	10312	6.1	664	100	664	8.0	10976	6.2
LATINO	MALE	658	2.1	30766	97.7	68	0.2	31492	62.0	1101	100	1101	59.3	32593	61.9
	FEMALE	86	0.5	18391	99.3	41	0.2	18518	36.5	721	100	721	38.8	19239	36.6
	TG	22	5.2	395	94.0	3	0.7	420	0.8	11	100	11	0.6	431	0.8
	UNKNOWN	6	1.8	318	97.8	1	0.3	325	0.6	25	100	25	1.3	350	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	772	1.5	49870	98.3	113	0.2	50755	29.9	1858	100	1858	22.4	52613	29.6
WHITE	MALE	815	1.7	46197	98.1	88	0.2	47100	65.0	3139	100	3139	76.5	50239	65.6
	FEMALE	101	0.4	24633	99.5	30	0.1	24764	34.2	935	100	935	22.8	25699	33.6
	TG	11	7.3	138	92.0	1	0.7	150	0.2	3	100	3	0.1	153	0.2
	UNKNOWN	2	0.4	468	99.6			470	0.6	25	100	25	0.6	495	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	929	1.3	71436	98.6	119	0.2	72484	42.7	4102	100	4102	49.4	76586	43.0
OTHER RACE	MALE	56	1.8	3063	98.0	5	0.2	3124	64.5	213	100	213	51.2	3337	63.5
	FEMALE	13	0.8	1627	99.1	1	0.1	1641	33.9	59	100	59	14.2	1700	32.3
	TG			10	100			10	0.2					10	0.2
	UNKNOWN	2	3.0	65	97.0			67	1.4	144	100	144	34.6	211	4.0
	SUBTOTAL	71	1.5	4765	98.4	6	0.1	4842	2.9	416	100	416	5.0	5258	3.0
UNKNOWN	MALE	21	1.5	1399	98.5	1	0.1	1421	52.4	65	100	65	33.7	1486	51.2
	FEMALE	2	0.2	940	99.8			942	34.7	36	100	36	18.7	978	33.7
	TG			9	100			9	0.3					9	0.3
	UNKNOWN	2	0.6	337	99.4			339	12.5	92	100	92	47.7	431	14.8
	SUBTOTAL	25	0.9	2685	99.0	1	0.0	2711	1.6	193	100	193	2.3	2904	1.6
TOTAL BY RESULT		2556	1.5	166870	98.3	313	0.2	169739	100	8297	100	8297	100	178036	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
OA = Office of AIDS
TG = Transgender

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 8. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY AGE GROUP AND GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGE GROUP AND GENDER		NO TEST		TOTAL BY AGE GROUP AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
0-11 YEARS	MALE	1	1.3	78	98.7			79	54.5	6	100	6	60.0	85	54.8
	FEMALE	1	1.6	61	98.4			62	42.8	4	100	4	40.0	66	42.6
	TG			2	100			2	1.4					2	1.3
	UNKNOWN			2	100			2	1.4					2	1.3
	SUBTOTAL	2	1.4	143	98.6			145	0.1	10	100	10	0.1	155	0.1
12-19 YEARS	MALE	44	0.4	9969	99.4	15	0.1	10028	50.2	379	100	379	50.6	10407	50.2
	FEMALE	21	0.2	9652	99.7	8	0.1	9681	48.5	354	100	354	47.3	10035	48.4
	TG	2	3.8	50	96.2			52	0.3	2	100	2	0.3	54	0.3
	UNKNOWN	1	0.5	205	99.5			206	1.0	14	100	14	1.9	220	1.1
	SUBTOTAL	68	0.3	19876	99.5	23	0.1	19967	11.8	749	100	749	9.0	20716	11.6
20-29 YEARS	MALE	497	1.5	33091	98.3	68	0.2	33656	61.2	1565	100	1565	61.9	35221	61.3
	FEMALE	70	0.3	20549	99.5	29	0.1	20648	37.6	929	100	929	36.7	21577	37.5
	TG	20	7.9	231	91.3	2	0.8	253	0.5	6	100	6	0.2	259	0.5
	UNKNOWN	7	1.8	387	98.0	1	0.3	395	0.7	28	100	28	1.1	423	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	594	1.1	54258	98.7	100	0.2	54952	32.4	2528	100	2528	30.5	57480	32.3
30-39 YEARS	MALE	809	2.9	26717	96.8	66	0.2	27592	65.6	1890	100	1890	78.1	29482	66.3
	FEMALE	114	0.8	13785	99.0	27	0.2	13926	33.1	510	100	510	21.1	14436	32.4
	TG	20	7.5	246	91.8	2	0.7	268	0.6	5	100	5	0.2	273	0.6
	UNKNOWN	2	0.7	288	99.3			290	0.7	16	100	16	0.7	306	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	945	2.2	41036	97.5	95	0.2	42076	24.8	2421	100	2421	29.2	44497	25.0
40-49 YEARS	MALE	532	2.8	18688	97.0	42	0.2	19262	64.7	1021	100	1021	80.1	20283	65.3
	FEMALE	99	1.0	10104	98.9	14	0.1	10217	34.3	244	100	244	19.1	10461	33.7
	TG	12	14.0	74	86.0			86	0.3	2	100	2	0.2	88	0.3
	UNKNOWN	1	0.5	205	99.5			206	0.7	8	100	8	0.6	214	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	644	2.2	29071	97.6	56	0.2	29771	17.5	1275	100	1275	15.4	31046	17.4
50-59 YEARS	MALE	140	1.7	7893	98.1	14	0.2	8047	68.0	356	100	356	82.4	8403	68.5
	FEMALE	41	1.1	3632	98.7	7	0.2	3680	31.1	70	100	70	16.2	3750	30.6
	TG	1	2.5	38	95.0	1	2.5	40	0.3					40	0.3
	UNKNOWN	3	4.0	72	96.0			75	0.6	6	100	6	1.4	81	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	185	1.6	11635	98.3	22	0.2	11842	7.0	432	100	432	5.2	12274	6.9
60 YEARS & OLDER	MALE	30	1.1	2774	98.6	8	0.3	2812	77.0	117	100	117	84.8	2929	77.3
	FEMALE	2	0.2	803	99.5	2	0.2	807	22.1	21	100	21	15.2	828	21.9
	TG	1	16.7	5	83.3			6	0.2					6	0.2
	UNKNOWN			26	100			26	0.7					26	0.7

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 8. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY AGE GROUP AND GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGE GROUP AND GENDER		NO TEST		TOTAL BY AGE GROUP AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
60 YEARS & OLDER	SUBTOTAL	33	0.9	3608	98.8	10	0.3	3651	2.2	138	100	138	1.7	3789	2.1
UNKNOWN	MALE	72	1.6	4443	98.3	4	0.1	4519	61.6	398	100	398	53.5	4917	60.9
	FEMALE	10	0.4	2513	99.5	3	0.1	2526	34.4	117	100	117	15.7	2643	32.7
	TG	2	8.0	23	92.0			25	0.3	1	100	1	0.1	26	0.3
	UNKNOWN	1	0.4	264	99.6			265	3.6	228	100	228	30.6	493	6.1
	SUBTOTAL	85	1.2	7243	98.7	7	0.1	7335	4.3	744	100	744	9.0	8079	4.5
SUBTOTALS BY GENDER															
MALE		2125	2.0	103653	97.8	217	0.2	105995	62.4	5732	100	5732	69.1	111727	62.8
FEMALE		358	0.6	61099	99.3	90	0.1	61547	36.3	2249	100	2249	27.1	63796	35.8
TG		58	7.9	669	91.4	5	0.7	732	0.4	16	100	16	0.2	748	0.4
UNKNOWN		15	1.0	1449	98.9	1	0.1	1465	0.9	300	100	300	3.6	1765	1.0
TOTAL BY RESULT		2556	1.5	166870	98.3	313	0.2	169739	100	8297	100	8297	100	178036	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 OA = Office of AIDS
 TG = Transsgender

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 9. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER AND AGE GROUP		AGE GROUP																TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0-11 YEARS		12-19 YEARS		20-29 YEARS		30-39 YEARS		40-49 YEARS		50-59 YEARS		60 YEARS & OLDER		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	7	0.0	1215	4.3	8339	29.6	9672	34.4	4890	17.4	1634	5.8	868	3.1	1515	5.4	28140	100
	SUBTOTAL	7	0.0	1215	4.3	8339	29.6	9672	34.4	4890	17.4	1634	5.8	868	3.1	1515	5.4	28140	15.8
BISEXUAL	MALE	4	0.1	574	8.8	2208	33.7	1777	27.1	1075	16.4	468	7.1	158	2.4	294	4.5	6558	66.5
	FEMALE	2	0.1	612	18.5	1339	40.5	726	22.0	343	10.4	81	2.5	16	0.5	184	5.6	3303	33.5
	SUBTOTAL	6	0.1	1186	12.0	3547	36.0	2503	25.4	1418	14.4	549	5.6	174	1.8	478	4.8	9861	5.5
IDU	MALE	2	0.0	329	3.8	1596	18.5	2151	25.0	2894	33.6	1275	14.8	179	2.1	178	2.1	8604	61.4
	FEMALE	1	0.0	318	6.1	1100	21.3	1613	31.2	1599	30.9	405	7.8	46	0.9	91	1.8	5173	36.9
	TG			4	3.0	40	30.1	53	39.8	26	19.5	6	4.5	1	0.8	3	2.3	133	0.9
	UNKNOWN			6	5.4	21	18.9	27	24.3	31	27.9	13	11.7	1	0.9	12	10.8	111	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	3	0.0	657	4.7	2757	19.7	3844	27.4	4550	32.5	1699	12.1	227	1.6	284	2.0	14021	7.9
GAY/IDU	MALE			51	4.1	297	24.1	415	33.7	318	25.8	103	8.4	12	1.0	35	2.8	1231	100
	SUBTOTAL			51	4.1	297	24.1	415	33.7	318	25.8	103	8.4	12	1.0	35	2.8	1231	0.7
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE			64	7.4	167	19.3	248	28.7	223	25.8	103	11.9	28	3.2	31	3.6	864	37.0
	FEMALE	2	0.1	98	7.1	318	23.0	435	31.5	349	25.3	103	7.5	24	1.7	53	3.8	1382	59.3
	TG			1	1.7	14	23.7	31	52.5	5	8.5	2	3.4			6	10.2	59	2.5
	UNKNOWN			2	7.4	6	22.2	14	51.9	3	11.1			1	3.7	1	3.7	27	1.2
	SUBTOTAL	2	0.1	165	7.1	505	21.7	728	31.2	580	24.9	208	8.9	53	2.3	91	3.9	2332	1.3
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	1	0.1	164	10.2	496	30.8	383	23.8	350	21.7	113	7.0	11	0.7	93	5.8	1611	100
	SUBTOTAL	1	0.1	164	10.2	496	30.8	383	23.8	350	21.7	113	7.0	11	0.7	93	5.8	1611	0.9
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	1	0.0	324	7.7	1122	26.7	1086	25.8	1007	23.9	438	10.4	121	2.9	111	2.6	4210	51.8
	FEMALE	2	0.1	536	14.2	1027	27.2	993	26.3	861	22.8	223	5.9	30	0.8	102	2.7	3774	46.4
	TG			4	9.1	19	43.2	15	34.1	3	6.8	3	6.8					44	0.5
	UNKNOWN			12	11.7	21	20.4	26	25.2	29	28.2	7	6.8	2	1.9	6	5.8	103	1.3
	SUBTOTAL	3	0.0	876	10.8	2189	26.9	2120	26.1	1900	23.4	671	8.3	153	1.9	219	2.7	8131	4.6
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE			114	14.7	222	28.5	166	21.3	175	22.5	71	9.1	10	1.3	20	2.6	778	30.0
	FEMALE	1	0.1	224	13.7	367	22.5	483	29.6	409	25.0	104	6.4	14	0.9	31	1.9	1633	62.9
	TG			11	7.2	59	38.8	62	40.8	12	7.9	3	2.0			5	3.3	152	5.9
	UNKNOWN			7	21.2	14	42.4	6	18.2	4	12.1	1	3.0	1	3.0			33	1.3
	SUBTOTAL	1	0.0	356	13.7	662	25.5	717	27.6	600	23.1	179	6.9	25	1.0	56	2.2	2596	1.5
TRANSFUSION	MALE			12	2.2	116	20.8	106	19.0	164	29.4	86	15.4	53	9.5	20	3.6	557	49.6
	FEMALE			23	4.1	66	11.8	125	22.4	202	36.3	93	16.7	27	4.8	21	3.8	557	49.6
	TG							1	50.0					1	50.0			2	0.2
	UNKNOWN							2	28.6	1	14.3	2	28.6			2	28.6	7	0.6
	SUBTOTAL			35	3.1	182	16.2	234	20.8	367	32.7	181	16.1	81	7.2	43	3.8	1123	0.6

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 9. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER AND AGE GROUP		AGE GROUP																TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0-11 YEARS		12-19 YEARS		20-29 YEARS		30-39 YEARS		40-49 YEARS		50-59 YEARS		60 YEARS & OLDER		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HETEROSEXUAL /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	30	0.1	5791	14.1	15828	38.5	8986	21.9	5613	13.7	2353	5.7	770	1.9	1693	4.1	41064	62.1
	FEMALE	10	0.0	4853	20.1	9818	40.7	4694	19.4	2624	10.9	831	3.4	151	0.6	1162	4.8	24143	36.5
	TG	1	0.4	24	10.4	91	39.6	74	32.2	18	7.8	15	6.5	1	0.4	6	2.6	230	0.3
	UNKNOWN	1	0.1	135	18.3	246	33.3	129	17.5	75	10.2	31	4.2	12	1.6	109	14.8	738	1.1
	SUBTOTAL	42	0.1	10803	16.3	25983	39.3	13883	21.0	8330	12.6	3230	4.9	934	1.4	2970	4.5	66175	37.2
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE			4	7.4	14	25.9	16	29.6	6	11.1	7	13.0	3	5.6	4	7.4	54	31.4
	FEMALE			9	7.7	39	33.3	24	20.5	23	19.7	14	12.0	4	3.4	4	3.4	117	68.0
	TG							1	100									1	0.6
	SUBTOTAL			13	7.6	53	30.8	41	23.8	29	16.9	21	12.2	7	4.1	8	4.7	172	0.1
PARENT RISK	MALE	10	100															10	43.5
	FEMALE	13	100															13	56.5
	SUBTOTAL	23	100															23	0.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	23	0.1	1807	10.3	4739	27.0	4297	24.5	3551	20.2	1731	9.9	674	3.8	747	4.3	17569	44.9
	FEMALE	31	0.1	3068	14.6	6695	31.8	4750	22.5	3537	16.8	1704	8.1	484	2.3	797	3.8	21066	53.8
	TG	1	0.9	10	9.1	33	30.0	32	29.1	19	17.3	9	8.2	3	2.7	3	2.7	110	0.3
	UNKNOWN	1	0.3	50	13.1	95	24.8	94	24.5	64	16.7	21	5.5	8	2.1	50	13.1	383	1.0
	SUBTOTAL	56	0.1	4935	12.6	11562	29.5	9173	23.4	7171	18.3	3465	8.9	1169	3.0	1597	4.1	39128	22.0
UNKNOWN	MALE	8	0.4	122	5.8	573	27.4	562	26.9	367	17.6	134	6.4	53	2.5	269	12.9	2088	59.8
	FEMALE	3	0.3	130	12.7	312	30.5	210	20.5	164	16.0	79	7.7	21	2.1	105	10.3	1024	29.3
	TG					3	17.6	4	23.5	5	29.4	2	11.8			3	17.6	17	0.5
	UNKNOWN			8	2.2	20	5.5	8	2.2	7	1.9	6	1.7	1	0.3	313	86.2	363	10.4
	SUBTOTAL	11	0.3	260	7.4	908	26.0	784	22.5	543	15.5	221	6.3	75	2.1	690	19.8	3492	2.0
TOTAL BY AGE GROUP		155	0.1	20716	11.6	57480	32.3	44497	25.0	31046	17.4	12274	6.9	3789	2.1	8079	4.5	178036	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:

OA = Office of AIDS

IDU = Injection Drug User

TG = Transsgender

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 10. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND PREGNANCY STATUS (FEMALE CLIENTS ONLY)		TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND PREGNANCY STATUS		NO TEST		TOTAL BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND PREGNANCY STATUS			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
RISK BEHAVIOR	PREGNANT														
BISEXUAL	NO	14	0.4	3135	99.5	1	0.0	3150	98.1	90	100	90	96.8	3240	98.1
	YES			60	100			60	1.9	3	100	3	3.2	63	1.9
IDU	NO	85	1.7	4957	98.1	11	0.2	5053	98.3	31	100	31	96.9	5084	98.3
	YES			88	100			88	1.7	1	100	1	3.1	89	1.7
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	NO	50	3.7	1284	96.0	4	0.3	1338	98.2	20	100	20	100	1358	98.3
	YES	2	8.3	22	91.7			24	1.8					24	1.7
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	NO	13	0.8	1567	99.1	1	0.1	1581	98.7	9	100	9	100	1590	98.7
	YES			21	100			21	1.3					21	1.3
PARTNER OF IDU	NO	15	0.4	3659	99.4	6	0.2	3680	98.1	24	100	24	100	3704	98.1
	YES			70	100			70	1.9					70	1.9
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	NO	16	1.0	1560	98.8	3	0.2	1579	97.8	16	100	16	88.9	1595	97.7
	YES			36	100			36	2.2	2	100	2	11.1	38	2.3
TRANSFUSION	NO	9	1.7	529	98.1	1	0.2	539	97.1	2	100	2	100	541	97.1
	YES			16	100			16	2.9					16	2.9
HETEROSEXUAL /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	NO	57	0.3	22656	99.6	32	0.1	22745	97.5	811	100	811	98.2	23556	97.6
	YES	1	0.2	571	99.8			572	2.5	15	100	15	1.8	587	2.4
OCCUPATIONAL	NO	1	0.9	112	99.1			113	96.6					113	96.6
	YES			4	100			4	3.4					4	3.4
PARENT RISK	NO	1	7.7	12	92.3			13	100					13	100
NO REPORTED RISK	NO	80	0.4	18603	99.4	26	0.1	18709	92.9	611	100	611	66.1	19320	91.7
	YES	5	0.3	1424	99.4	4	0.3	1433	7.1	313	100	313	33.9	1746	8.3
UNKNOWN	NO	9	1.3	680	98.6	1	0.1	690	95.4	286	100	286	95.0	976	95.3
	YES			33	100			33	4.6	15	100	15	5.0	48	4.7
SUBTOTALS BY PREGNANCY STATUS															
NO		350	0.6	58754	99.3	86	0.1	59190	96.2	1900	100	1900	84.5	61090	95.8
YES		8	0.3	2345	99.5	4	0.2	2357	3.8	349	100	349	15.5	2706	4.2
TOTAL BY RESULT		358	0.6	61099	99.3	90	0.1	61547	100	2249	100	2249	100	63796	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 OA = Office of AIDS
 IDU = Injection Drug User

ALL SERVICES
OUTREACH SERVICES: CLINIC TYPE EQUALS STREET OUTREACH, MOBILE VAN, OR
REFERRAL SOURCE EQUALS REFERRED BY OA OUTREACH

TABLE 11. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER		NO TEST		TOTAL BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	112	3.6	2952	96.2	5	0.2	3069	100	237	100	237	100	3306	100
	SUBTOTAL	112	3.6	2952	96.2	5	0.2	3069	9.7	237	100	237	61.6	3306	10.3
BISEXUAL	MALE	24	1.9	1241	97.9	3	0.2	1268	63.7	35	100	35	92.1	1303	64.2
	FEMALE	1	0.1	722	99.7	1	0.1	724	36.3	3	100	3	7.9	727	35.8
	SUBTOTAL	25	1.3	1963	98.5	4	0.2	1992	6.3	38	100	38	9.9	2030	6.3
IDU	MALE	29	0.9	3227	98.9	8	0.2	3264	61.5	9	100	9	64.3	3273	61.5
	FEMALE	24	1.2	1945	98.7	2	0.1	1971	37.1	5	100	5	35.7	1976	37.1
	TG			20	95.2	1	4.8	21	0.4					21	0.4
	UNKNOWN			54	100			54	1.0					54	1.0
	SUBTOTAL	53	1.0	5246	98.8	11	0.2	5310	16.7	14	100	14	3.6	5324	16.5
GAY/ IDU	MALE	18	4.9	349	94.3	3	0.8	370	100	2	100	2	100	372	100
	SUBTOTAL	18	4.9	349	94.3	3	0.8	370	1.2	2	100	2	0.5	372	1.2
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	6	3.6	160	96.4			166	45.1	2	100	2	66.7	168	45.3
	FEMALE	9	4.7	184	95.3			193	52.4	1	100	1	33.3	194	52.3
	TG			5	100			5	1.4					5	1.3
	UNKNOWN			4	100			4	1.1					4	1.1
	SUBTOTAL	15	4.1	353	95.9			368	1.2	3	100	3	0.8	371	1.2
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	3	1.0	311	99.0			314	100	1	100	1	100	315	100
	SUBTOTAL	3	1.0	311	99.0			314	1.0	1	100	1	0.3	315	1.0
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	13	0.9	1472	98.8	5	0.3	1490	58.4	1	100	1	33.3	1491	58.4
	FEMALE	5	0.5	1006	99.3	2	0.2	1013	39.7	2	100	2	66.7	1015	39.7
	TG			10	100			10	0.4					10	0.4
	UNKNOWN	2	5.1	37	94.9			39	1.5					39	1.5
	SUBTOTAL	20	0.8	2525	98.9	7	0.3	2552	8.0	3	100	3	0.8	2555	7.9
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	1	0.4	261	99.6			262	35.0	1	100	1	100	263	35.1
	FEMALE	5	1.1	455	98.9			460	61.5					460	61.4
	TG	1	6.7	14	93.3			15	2.0					15	2.0
	UNKNOWN			11	100			11	1.5					11	1.5
	SUBTOTAL	7	0.9	741	99.1			748	2.4	1	100	1	0.3	749	2.3
TRANSFUSION	MALE			122	99.2	1	0.8	123	57.5					123	57.5
	FEMALE	1	1.1	88	97.8	1	1.1	90	42.1					90	42.1
	UNKNOWN			1	100			1	0.5					1	0.5

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES
OUTREACH SERVICES: CLINIC TYPE EQUALS STREET OUTREACH, MOBILE VAN, OR
REFERRAL SOURCE EQUALS REFERRED BY OA OUTREACH

TABLE 11. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER		NO TEST		TOTAL BY RISK BEHAVIOR AND GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
TRANSFUSION	SUBTOTAL	1	0.5	211	98.6	2	0.9	214	0.7					214	0.7
HETEROSEXUAL /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	30	0.5	6159	99.4	7	0.1	6196	63.8	15	100	15	65.2	6211	63.8
	FEMALE	7	0.2	3343	99.7	4	0.1	3354	34.5	6	100	6	26.1	3360	34.5
	TG	1	2.6	37	97.4			38	0.4					38	0.4
	UNKNOWN	1	0.8	123	99.2			124	1.3	2	100	2	8.7	126	1.3
	SUBTOTAL	39	0.4	9662	99.5	11	0.1	9712	30.6	23	100	23	6.0	9735	30.3
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	1	12.5	7	87.5			8	47.1					8	47.1
	FEMALE	1	11.1	8	88.9			9	52.9					9	52.9
	SUBTOTAL	2	11.8	15	88.2			17	0.1					17	0.1
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	22	0.6	3379	99.1	8	0.2	3409	49.9	36	100	36	67.9	3445	50.1
	FEMALE	16	0.5	3295	99.5	2	0.1	3313	48.5	17	100	17	32.1	3330	48.4
	TG			19	100			19	0.3					19	0.3
	UNKNOWN	1	1.2	85	98.8			86	1.3					86	1.3
	SUBTOTAL	39	0.6	6778	99.3	10	0.1	6827	21.5	53	100	53	13.8	6880	21.4
UNKNOWN	MALE	4	2.3	172	97.7			176	59.7	6	100	6	60.0	182	59.7
	FEMALE	2	1.9	105	98.1			107	36.3	3	100	3	30.0	110	36.1
	UNKNOWN			12	100			12	4.1	1	100	1	10.0	13	4.3
	SUBTOTAL	6	2.0	289	98.0			295	0.9	10	100	10	2.6	305	0.9
TOTAL BY TEST RESULT		340	1.1	31395	98.8	53	0.2	31788	100	385	100	385	100	32173	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
OA = Office of AIDS
IDU = Injection Drug User
TG = Transgender

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 12. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER AND STD HISTORY		HAS/HAD STD (PAST TWO YEARS)						TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		YES		NO		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	4950	17.6	20895	74.3	2295	8.2	28140	100
BISEXUAL	MALE	1125	17.2	4733	72.2	700	10.7	6558	66.5
	FEMALE	853	25.8	2172	65.8	278	8.4	3303	33.5
IDU	MALE	2956	34.4	4944	57.5	704	8.2	8604	61.4
	FEMALE	2217	42.9	2579	49.9	377	7.3	5173	36.9
	TG	39	29.3	94	70.7			133	0.9
	UNKNOWN	35	31.5	65	58.6	11	9.9	111	0.8
GAY/IDU	MALE	475	38.6	679	55.2	77	6.3	1231	100
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	153	17.7	622	72.0	89	10.3	864	37.0
	FEMALE	259	18.7	973	70.4	150	10.9	1382	59.3
	TG	16	27.1	39	66.1	4	6.8	59	2.5
	UNKNOWN	4	14.8	20	74.1	3	11.1	27	1.2
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	454	28.2	996	61.8	161	10.0	1611	100
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	884	21.0	2801	66.5	525	12.5	4210	51.8
	FEMALE	964	25.5	2387	63.2	423	11.2	3774	46.4
	TG	10	22.7	30	68.2	4	9.1	44	0.5
	UNKNOWN	28	27.2	65	63.1	10	9.7	103	1.3
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	179	23.0	503	64.7	96	12.3	778	30.0
	FEMALE	561	34.4	946	57.9	126	7.7	1633	62.9
	TG	28	18.4	118	77.6	6	3.9	152	5.9
	UNKNOWN	11	33.3	20	60.6	2	6.1	33	1.3
TRANSFUSION	MALE	97	17.4	376	67.5	84	15.1	557	49.6
	FEMALE	115	20.6	399	71.6	43	7.7	557	49.6
	TG			2	100			2	0.2
	UNKNOWN			6	85.7	1	14.3	7	0.6
HETEROSEXUAL /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	5660	13.8	30328	73.9	5076	12.4	41064	62.1
	FEMALE	4700	19.5	16782	69.5	2661	11.0	24143	36.5
	TG	27	11.7	167	72.6	36	15.7	230	0.3
	UNKNOWN	129	17.5	485	65.7	124	16.8	738	1.1
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	4	7.4	46	85.2	4	7.4	54	31.4
	FEMALE	8	6.8	95	81.2	14	12.0	117	68.0
	TG			1	100			1	0.6
PARENT RISK	MALE			9	90.0	1	10.0	10	43.5

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 12. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER AND STD HISTORY		HAS/HAD STD (PAST TWO YEARS)						TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		YES		NO		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PARENT RISK	FEMALE			9	69.2	4	30.8	13	56.5
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	1689	9.6	13653	77.7	2227	12.7	17569	44.9
	FEMALE	2577	12.2	15622	74.2	2867	13.6	21066	53.8
	TG	12	10.9	82	74.5	16	14.5	110	0.3
	UNKNOWN	48	12.5	259	67.6	76	19.8	383	1.0
UNKNOWN	MALE	80	3.8	738	35.3	1270	60.8	2088	59.8
	FEMALE	65	6.3	373	36.4	586	57.2	1024	29.3
	TG	3	17.6	9	52.9	5	29.4	17	0.5
	UNKNOWN	2	0.6	173	47.7	188	51.8	363	10.4
TOTAL BY STD HISTORY		31417	17.6	125295	70.4	21324	12.0	178036	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 OA = Office of AIDS
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 TG = Transgender

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 13. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND STD/HEPATITIS DIAGNOSIS		Chlamydia				Gonorrhea				Hepatitis B (HBV)				Hepatitis C (HCV)			
		NO		YES		NO		YES		NO		YES		NO		YES	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	27141	96.4	999	3.6	26261	93.3	1879	6.7	27485	97.7	655	2.3	27999	99.5	141	0.5
BISEXUAL	MALE	6241	95.2	317	4.8	6137	93.6	421	6.4	6465	98.6	93	1.4	6485	98.9	73	1.1
	FEMALE	2940	89.0	363	11.0	3146	95.2	157	4.8	3275	99.2	28	0.8	3250	98.4	53	1.6
IDU	MALE	8362	97.2	242	2.8	8183	95.1	421	4.9	8024	93.3	580	6.7	6542	76.0	2062	24.0
	FEMALE	4680	90.5	493	9.5	4858	93.9	315	6.1	4835	93.5	338	6.5	3900	75.4	1273	24.6
	TG	131	98.5	2	1.5	129	97.0	4	3.0	121	91.0	12	9.0	104	78.2	29	21.8
	UNKNOWN	105	94.6	6	5.4	106	95.5	5	4.5	102	91.9	9	8.1	91	82.0	20	18.0
GAY/IDU	MALE	1170	95.0	61	5.0	1135	92.2	96	7.8	1133	92.0	98	8.0	994	80.7	237	19.3
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	843	97.6	21	2.4	810	93.8	54	6.3	845	97.8	19	2.2	829	95.9	35	4.1
	FEMALE	1297	93.8	85	6.2	1334	96.5	48	3.5	1361	98.5	21	1.5	1345	97.3	37	2.7
	TG	58	98.3	1	1.7	54	91.5	5	8.5	58	98.3	1	1.7	55	93.2	4	6.8
	UNKNOWN	27	100			26	96.3	1	3.7	27	100			26	96.3	1	3.7
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	1439	89.3	172	10.7	1536	95.3	75	4.7	1594	98.9	17	1.1	1579	98.0	32	2.0
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	3983	94.6	227	5.4	3926	93.3	284	6.7	4145	98.5	65	1.5	4029	95.7	181	4.3
	FEMALE	3387	89.7	387	10.3	3607	95.6	167	4.4	3727	98.8	47	1.2	3629	96.2	145	3.8
	TG	43	97.7	1	2.3	41	93.2	3	6.8	44	100			42	95.5	2	4.5
	UNKNOWN	92	89.3	11	10.7	98	95.1	5	4.9	101	98.1	2	1.9	100	97.1	3	2.9
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	718	92.3	60	7.7	707	90.9	71	9.1	763	98.1	15	1.9	761	97.8	17	2.2
	FEMALE	1400	85.7	233	14.3	1483	90.8	150	9.2	1603	98.2	30	1.8	1570	96.1	63	3.9
	TG	147	96.7	5	3.3	142	93.4	10	6.6	149	98.0	3	2.0	151	99.3	1	0.7
	UNKNOWN	30	90.9	3	9.1	29	87.9	4	12.1	33	100			33	100		
TRANSFUSION	MALE	542	97.3	15	2.7	540	96.9	17	3.1	541	97.1	16	2.9	516	92.6	41	7.4
	FEMALE	523	93.9	34	6.1	543	97.5	14	2.5	551	98.9	6	1.1	533	95.7	24	4.3
	TG	2	100			2	100			2	100			2	100		
	UNKNOWN	7	100			7	100			7	100			7	100		
HETEROSEXUAL /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	38830	94.6	2234	5.4	39637	96.5	1427	3.5	40875	99.5	189	0.5	40740	99.2	324	0.8
	FEMALE	21919	90.8	2224	9.2	23579	97.7	564	2.3	24055	99.6	88	0.4	24014	99.5	129	0.5
	TG	225	97.8	5	2.2	222	96.5	8	3.5	228	99.1	2	0.9	228	99.1	2	0.9
	UNKNOWN	684	92.7	54	7.3	708	95.9	30	4.1	736	99.7	2	0.3	736	99.7	2	0.3
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	54	100			54	100			53	98.1	1	1.9	53	98.1	1	1.9
	FEMALE	114	97.4	3	2.6	117	100			116	99.1	1	0.9	116	99.1	1	0.9
	TG	1	100			1	100			1	100			1	100		
PARENT RISK	MALE	10	100			10	100			10	100			10	100		
	FEMALE	13	100			13	100			13	100			13	100		
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	17196	97.9	373	2.1	17205	97.9	364	2.1	17429	99.2	140	0.8	17248	98.2	321	1.8
	FEMALE	20035	95.1	1031	4.9	20759	98.5	307	1.5	20953	99.5	113	0.5	20825	98.9	241	1.1
NO REPORTED RISK	TG	109	99.1	1	0.9	110	100			108	98.2	2	1.8	105	95.5	5	4.5
	UNKNOWN	371	96.9	12	3.1	373	97.4	10	2.6	382	99.7	1	0.3	382	99.7	1	0.3
UNKNOWN	MALE	2072	99.2	16	0.8	2071	99.2	17	0.8	2084	99.8	4	0.2	2070	99.1	18	0.9
	FEMALE	996	97.3	28	2.7	1015	99.1	9	0.9	1022	99.8	2	0.2	1016	99.2	8	0.8
	TG	17	100			17	100			16	94.1	1	5.9	15	88.2	2	11.8
	UNKNOWN	362	99.7	1	0.3	363	100			363	100			363	100		
TOTAL BY DIAGNOSIS		168316	94.5	9720	5.5	171094	96.1	6942	3.9	175435	98.5	2601	1.5	172507	96.9	5529	3.1

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 13. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND STD/HEPATITIS DIAGNOSIS		Genital/Anal Warts (HPV)				Genital Herpes (HSV)				Syphilis				Trichomoniasis			
		NO		YES		NO		YES		NO		YES		NO		YES	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	27452	97.6	688	2.4	27656	98.3	484	1.7	27705	98.5	435	1.5	28116	99.9	24	0.1
BISEXUAL	MALE	6405	97.7	153	2.3	6388	97.4	170	2.6	6440	98.2	118	1.8	6546	99.8	12	0.2
	FEMALE	3143	95.2	160	4.8	3153	95.5	150	4.5	3260	98.7	43	1.3	3176	96.2	127	3.8
IDU	MALE	8499	98.8	105	1.2	8438	98.1	166	1.9	8499	98.8	105	1.2	8583	99.8	21	0.2
	FEMALE	5053	97.7	120	2.3	5018	97.0	155	3.0	5067	98.0	106	2.0	4975	96.2	198	3.8
	TG	132	99.2	1	0.8	131	98.5	2	1.5	128	96.2	5	3.8	133	100		
	UNKNOWN	109	98.2	2	1.8	110	99.1	1	0.9	109	98.2	2	1.8	109	98.2	2	1.8
GAY/IDU	MALE	1199	97.4	32	2.6	1196	97.2	35	2.8	1194	97.0	37	3.0	1228	99.8	3	0.2
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	849	98.3	15	1.7	846	97.9	18	2.1	847	98.0	17	2.0	863	99.9	1	0.1
	FEMALE	1345	97.3	37	2.7	1336	96.7	46	3.3	1365	98.8	17	1.2	1350	97.7	32	2.3
	TG	58	98.3	1	1.7	56	94.9	3	5.1	54	91.5	5	8.5	59	100		
	UNKNOWN	25	92.6	2	7.4	27	100			27	100			27	100		
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	1511	93.8	100	6.2	1523	94.5	88	5.5	1590	98.7	21	1.3	1557	96.6	54	3.4
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	4120	97.9	90	2.1	4123	97.9	87	2.1	4157	98.7	53	1.3	4186	99.4	24	0.6
	FEMALE	3651	96.7	123	3.3	3640	96.4	134	3.6	3737	99.0	37	1.0	3679	97.5	95	2.5
	TG	42	95.5	2	4.5	42	95.5	2	4.5	41	93.2	3	6.8	44	100		
	UNKNOWN	102	99.0	1	1.0	99	96.1	4	3.9	101	98.1	2	1.9	101	98.1	2	1.9
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	763	98.1	15	1.9	760	97.7	18	2.3	764	98.2	14	1.8	775	99.6	3	0.4
	FEMALE	1605	98.3	28	1.7	1593	97.6	40	2.4	1581	96.8	52	3.2	1510	92.5	123	7.5
	TG	148	97.4	4	2.6	149	98.0	3	2.0	144	94.7	8	5.3	152	100		
	UNKNOWN	33	100			33	100			33	100			30	90.9	3	9.1
TRANSFUSION	MALE	551	98.9	6	1.1	548	98.4	9	1.6	554	99.5	3	0.5	555	99.6	2	0.4
	FEMALE	543	97.5	14	2.5	534	95.9	23	4.1	553	99.3	4	0.7	544	97.7	13	2.3
	TG	2	100			2	100			2	100			2	100		
	UNKNOWN	7	100			7	100			7	100			7	100		
HETEROSEXUAL /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	40300	98.1	764	1.9	40353	98.3	711	1.7	40818	99.4	246	0.6	40934	99.7	130	0.3
	FEMALE	23219	96.2	924	3.8	23415	97.0	728	3.0	24018	99.5	125	0.5	23684	98.1	459	1.9
	TG	227	98.7	3	1.3	228	99.1	2	0.9	225	97.8	5	2.2	230	100		
	UNKNOWN	716	97.0	22	3.0	723	98.0	15	2.0	734	99.5	4	0.5	732	99.2	6	0.8
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	54	100			54	100			53	98.1	1	1.9	54	100		
	FEMALE	115	98.3	2	1.7	117	100			117	100			115	98.3	2	1.7
	TG	1	100			1	100			1	100			1	100		
PARENT RISK	MALE	10	100			10	100			10	100			10	100		
	FEMALE	13	100			13	100			13	100			13	100		
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	17344	98.7	225	1.3	17333	98.7	236	1.3	17466	99.4	103	0.6	17538	99.8	31	0.2
	FEMALE	20652	98.0	414	2.0	20676	98.1	390	1.9	20932	99.4	134	0.6	20877	99.1	189	0.9
NO REPORTED RISK	TG	107	97.3	3	2.7	110	100			108	98.2	2	1.8	110	100		
	UNKNOWN	371	96.9	12	3.1	373	97.4	10	2.6	380	99.2	3	0.8	381	99.5	2	0.5
UNKNOWN	MALE	2081	99.7	7	0.3	2081	99.7	7	0.3	2079	99.6	9	0.4	2085	99.9	3	0.1
	FEMALE	1017	99.3	7	0.7	1015	99.1	9	0.9	1021	99.7	3	0.3	1018	99.4	6	0.6
	TG	17	100			17	100			17	100			17	100		
	UNKNOWN	363	100			363	100			363	100			363	100		
TOTAL BY DIAGNOSIS		173954	97.7	4082	2.3	174290	97.9	3746	2.1	176314	99.0	1722	1.0	176469	99.1	1567	0.9

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 OA = Office of AIDS
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 TG = Transgender

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 14.OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND NUMBER OF PARTNERS		NUMBER OF PARTNERS IN PRIOR YEAR																TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0		1		2		3		4		5		6 or MORE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEX- UAL	MALE	104	0.4	4692	16.7	3481	12.4	3221	11.4	2268	8.1	2159	7.7	11941	42.4	274	1.0	28140	100
BISEXUAL	MALE	26	0.4	333	5.1	996	15.2	981	15.0	706	10.8	625	9.5	2828	43.1	63	1.0	6558	66.5
	FEMALE	10	0.3	201	6.1	589	17.8	552	16.7	383	11.6	353	10.7	1175	35.6	40	1.2	3303	33.5
IDU	MALE	885	10.3	2426	28.2	1261	14.7	1005	11.7	625	7.3	489	5.7	1789	20.8	124	1.4	8604	61.4
	FEMALE	228	4.4	1479	28.6	783	15.1	639	12.4	340	6.6	277	5.4	1342	25.9	85	1.6	5173	36.9
	TG	7	5.3	15	11.3	9	6.8	6	4.5	2	1.5	6	4.5	84	63.2	4	3.0	133	0.9
	UNKNOWN	11	9.9	30	27.0	15	13.5	13	11.7	7	6.3	11	9.9	24	21.6			111	0.8
GAY/IDU	MALE	13	1.1	162	13.2	115	9.3	125	10.2	117	9.5	89	7.2	584	47.4	26	2.1	1231	100
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	16	1.9	280	32.4	139	16.1	103	11.9	74	8.6	62	7.2	185	21.4	5	0.6	864	37.0
	FEMALE	14	1.0	684	49.5	250	18.1	119	8.6	66	4.8	50	3.6	186	13.5	13	0.9	1382	59.3
	TG			10	16.9	4	6.8	4	6.8	2	3.4	1	1.7	37	62.7	1	1.7	59	2.5
	UNKNOWN			7	25.9	6	22.2	6	22.2			1	3.7	7	25.9			27	1.2
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	7	0.4	364	22.6	332	20.6	262	16.3	163	10.1	138	8.6	331	20.5	14	0.9	1611	100
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	26	0.6	566	13.4	621	14.8	657	15.6	441	10.5	388	9.2	1484	35.2	27	0.6	4210	51.8
	FEMALE	13	0.3	1063	28.2	943	25.0	544	14.4	344	9.1	211	5.6	640	17.0	16	0.4	3774	46.4
	TG			2	4.5	3	6.8	6	13.6	4	9.1	3	6.8	26	59.1			44	0.5
	UNKNOWN	1	1.0	16	15.5	26	25.2	10	9.7	10	9.7	9	8.7	31	30.1			103	1.3
SEX FOR DRUGS/ MONEY	MALE	11	1.4	92	11.8	100	12.9	111	14.3	68	8.7	64	8.2	323	41.5	9	1.2	778	30.0
	FEMALE	24	1.5	279	17.1	261	16.0	192	11.8	107	6.6	98	6.0	602	36.9	70	4.3	1633	62.9
	TG	3	2.0	7	4.6	6	3.9	11	7.2	3	2.0	5	3.3	114	75.0	3	2.0	152	5.9
	UNKNOWN	1	3.0	1	3.0	3	9.1	5	15.2	2	6.1			19	57.6	2	6.1	33	1.3
TRANS- FUSION	MALE	40	7.2	162	29.1	108	19.4	77	13.8	53	9.5	32	5.7	82	14.7	3	0.5	557	49.6
	FEMALE	51	9.2	279	50.1	122	21.9	45	8.1	14	2.5	11	2.0	29	5.2	6	1.1	557	49.6
	TG	1	50.0	1	50.0													2	0.2
	UNKNOWN			2	28.6	2	28.6	2	28.6					1	14.3			7	0.6
HETERO- SEXUAL / MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE					12291	29.9	8677	21.1	5105	12.4	3938	9.6	11053	26.9			41064	62.1
	FEMALE					10903	45.2	5683	23.5	2771	11.5	1644	6.8	3142	13.0			24143	36.5
	TG					52	22.6	39	17.0	22	9.6	20	8.7	97	42.2			230	0.3
	UNKNOWN					221	29.9	144	19.5	86	11.7	68	9.2	219	29.7			738	1.1
OCCUPA- TIONAL	MALE	16	29.6	33	61.1											5	9.3	54	31.4
	FEMALE	28	23.9	85	72.6											4	3.4	117	68.0
	TG			1	100													1	0.6
PARENT RISK	MALE	10	100															10	43.5
	FEMALE	11	84.6													2	15.4	13	56.5

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 14.OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND NUMBER OF PARTNERS		NUMBER OF PARTNERS IN PRIOR YEAR																TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0		1		2		3		4		5		6 or MORE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	3366	19.2	14203	80.8													17569	44.9
	FEMALE	2178	10.3	18888	89.7													21066	53.8
	TG	17	15.5	93	84.5													110	0.3
	UNKNOWN	62	16.2	321	83.8													383	1.0
UNKNOWN	MALE															2088	100	2088	59.8
	FEMALE															1024	100	1024	29.3
	TG															17	100	17	0.5
	UNKNOWN															363	100	363	10.4
TOTAL BY NUMBER OF PARTNERS		7180	4.0	46777	26.3	33642	18.9	23239	13.1	13783	7.7	10752	6.0	38375	21.6	4288	2.4	178036	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 OA = Office of AIDS
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 TG = Transgender

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 15. 0A-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER AND NUMBER OF PRIOR TESTS		NUMBER OF PRIOR TESTS														TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0		1		2		3		4		5 or MORE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	2667	9.5	4257	15.1	2828	10.0	2760	9.8	2366	8.4	12321	43.8	941	3.3	28140	100
BISEXUAL	MALE	1817	27.7	1587	24.2	800	12.2	583	8.9	384	5.9	1231	18.8	156	2.4	6558	66.5
	FEMALE	802	24.3	908	27.5	458	13.9	335	10.1	225	6.8	506	15.3	69	2.1	3303	33.5
IDU	MALE	1709	19.9	2105	24.5	1206	14.0	889	10.3	614	7.1	1867	21.7	214	2.5	8604	61.4
	FEMALE	704	13.6	1263	24.4	685	13.2	540	10.4	441	8.5	1441	27.9	99	1.9	5173	36.9
	TG	17	12.8	12	9.0	18	13.5	19	14.3	10	7.5	54	40.6	3	2.3	133	0.9
	UNKNOWN	20	18.0	30	27.0	13	11.7	11	9.9	7	6.3	24	21.6	6	5.4	111	0.8
GAY/IDU	MALE	147	11.9	243	19.7	132	10.7	132	10.7	108	8.8	431	35.0	38	3.1	1231	100
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	218	25.2	232	26.9	117	13.5	80	9.3	55	6.4	136	15.7	26	3.0	864	37.0
	FEMALE	276	20.0	324	23.4	177	12.8	147	10.6	110	8.0	317	22.9	31	2.2	1382	59.3
	TG	3	5.1	13	22.0	4	6.8	6	10.2	6	10.2	26	44.1	1	1.7	59	2.5
	UNKNOWN	5	18.5	4	14.8	4	14.8	1	3.7	2	7.4	10	37.0	1	3.7	27	1.2
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	403	25.0	493	30.6	235	14.6	142	8.8	97	6.0	215	13.3	26	1.6	1611	100
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	1410	33.5	1234	29.3	551	13.1	337	8.0	180	4.3	442	10.5	56	1.3	4210	51.8
	FEMALE	1102	29.2	1115	29.5	506	13.4	338	9.0	221	5.9	425	11.3	67	1.8	3774	46.4
	TG	9	20.5	5	11.4	6	13.6	5	11.4	3	6.8	16	36.4			44	0.5
	UNKNOWN	33	32.0	24	23.3	14	13.6	8	7.8	9	8.7	9	8.7	6	5.8	103	1.3
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	237	30.5	208	26.7	94	12.1	76	9.8	36	4.6	105	13.5	22	2.8	778	30.0
	FEMALE	366	22.4	397	24.3	193	11.8	189	11.6	130	8.0	316	19.4	42	2.6	1633	62.9
	TG	6	3.9	22	14.5	7	4.6	11	7.2	16	10.5	86	56.6	4	2.6	152	5.9
	UNKNOWN	8	24.2	11	33.3			5	15.2	2	6.1	7	21.2			33	1.3
TRANSFUSION	MALE	204	36.6	149	26.8	75	13.5	34	6.1	22	3.9	62	11.1	11	2.0	557	49.6
	FEMALE	206	37.0	158	28.4	67	12.0	47	8.4	24	4.3	50	9.0	5	0.9	557	49.6
	TG	1	50.0	1	50.0											2	0.2
	UNKNOWN	2	28.6	2	28.6	1	14.3					1	14.3	1	14.3	7	0.6
HETEROSEXUAL /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	15878	38.7	11269	27.4	4801	11.7	3003	7.3	1683	4.1	3347	8.2	1083	2.6	41064	62.1
	FEMALE	8445	35.0	6973	28.9	3002	12.4	1984	8.2	1100	4.6	2009	8.3	630	2.6	24143	36.5
	TG	35	15.2	41	17.8	29	12.6	24	10.4	21	9.1	77	33.5	3	1.3	230	0.3
	UNKNOWN	270	36.6	208	28.2	73	9.9	39	5.3	25	3.4	72	9.8	51	6.9	738	1.1
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	20	37.0	9	16.7	12	22.2	1	1.9	2	3.7	9	16.7	1	1.9	54	31.4
	FEMALE	35	29.9	32	27.4	17	14.5	13	11.1	6	5.1	14	12.0			117	68.0
	TG							1	100							1	0.6
PARENT RISK	MALE	6	60.0	3	30.0					1	10.0					10	43.5
	FEMALE	10	76.9	2	15.4							1	7.7			13	56.5
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	7084	40.3	4896	27.9	1912	10.9	1101	6.3	659	3.8	1489	8.5	428	2.4	17569	44.9
	FEMALE	8538	40.5	6104	29.0	2277	10.8	1361	6.5	790	3.8	1520	7.2	476	2.3	21066	53.8

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 15. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER AND NUMBER OF PRIOR TESTS		NUMBER OF PRIOR TESTS														TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0		1		2		3		4		5 or MORE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
NO REPORTED RISK	TG	29	26.4	27	24.5	10	9.1	8	7.3	7	6.4	24	21.8	5	4.5	110	0.3
	UNKNOWN	159	41.5	111	29.0	30	7.8	20	5.2	9	2.3	26	6.8	28	7.3	383	1.0
UNKNOWN	MALE	446	21.4	415	19.9	266	12.7	174	8.3	110	5.3	358	17.1	319	15.3	2088	59.8
	FEMALE	319	31.2	234	22.9	118	11.5	71	6.9	38	3.7	88	8.6	156	15.2	1024	29.3
	TG			2	11.8	1	5.9	2	11.8	1	5.9	7	41.2	4	23.5	17	0.5
	UNKNOWN	23	6.3	22	6.1	8	2.2	8	2.2	6	1.7	14	3.9	282	77.7	363	10.4
TOTAL BY NUMBER OF PRIOR TESTS		53669	30.1	45145	25.4	20747	11.7	14505	8.1	9526	5.4	29153	16.4	5291	3.0	178036	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:

OA = Office of AIDS

IDU = Injection Drug User

TG = Transgender

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 16. 0A-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM TYPE AND AGENCY		TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGENCY		NO TEST		TOTAL BY AGENCY			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	LHD/agency														
ATS PROGRAM	ALAMEDA	43	1.5	2763	98.4	2	0.1	2808	4.9	1	100	1	0.0	2809	4.5
	BUTTE	1	0.8	130	99.2			131	0.2	15	100	15	0.4	146	0.2
	CONTRA COSTA	2	0.7	283	99.3			285	0.5	2	100	2	0.0	287	0.5
	FRESNO	16	1.5	1017	98.5			1033	1.8	2	100	2	0.0	1035	1.7
	GLENN			1	100			1	0.0					1	0.0
	HUMBOLDT	1	0.1	713	99.9			714	1.2	3	100	3	0.1	717	1.2
	INYO			39	100			39	0.1	1	100	1	0.0	40	0.1
	KERN			49	100			49	0.1					49	0.1
	KINGS									1	100	1	0.0	1	0.0
	LOS ANGELES	218	1.6	13477	98.1	43	0.3	13738	23.8	110	100	110	2.6	13848	22.3
	MARIN	1	0.2	585	99.8			586	1.0	1	100	1	0.0	587	0.9
	MENDOCINO	1	0.3	330	99.4	1	0.3	332	0.6					332	0.5
	MERCED	1	1.0	97	99.0			98	0.2					98	0.2
	MODOC			3	100			3	0.0					3	0.0
	MONTEREY	2	0.5	406	99.5			408	0.7	1	100	1	0.0	409	0.7
	NAPA	1	1.1	93	98.9			94	0.2					94	0.2
	NEVADA			19	100			19	0.0					19	0.0
	ORANGE	39	1.8	2124	98.1	3	0.1	2166	3.7	8	100	8	0.2	2174	3.5
	PLACER	1	0.3	334	99.7			335	0.6					335	0.5
	RIVERSIDE	54	2.3	2247	97.2	10	0.4	2311	4.0	2	100	2	0.0	2313	3.7
	SACRAMENTO	11	1.8	590	98.2			601	1.0	2	100	2	0.0	603	1.0
	SAN BERNARDINO	27	1.4	1929	98.6	1	0.1	1957	3.4	16	100	16	0.4	1973	3.2
	SAN DIEGO	119	2.6	4543	97.4	3	0.1	4665	8.1	43	100	43	1.0	4708	7.6
	SAN FRANCISCO	112	2.0	5547	98.0	4	0.1	5663	9.8	3868	100	3868	91.3	9531	15.4
	SAN JOAQUIN	2	0.9	212	98.6	1	0.5	215	0.4	2	100	2	0.0	217	0.3
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1	0.1	683	99.6	2	0.3	686	1.2					686	1.1
	SAN MATEO	1	1.2	82	98.8			83	0.1	1	100	1	0.0	84	0.1
	SANTA BARBARA	10	0.4	2653	99.6			2663	4.6	66	100	66	1.6	2729	4.4
	SANTA CLARA	40	1.6	2388	98.4			2428	4.2	23	100	23	0.5	2451	4.0
	SANTA CRUZ	5	0.4	1198	99.6			1203	2.1					1203	1.9
	SHASTA	1	0.2	620	99.8			621	1.1	6	100	6	0.1	627	1.0
	SOLANO	7	1.5	469	98.5			476	0.8	4	100	4	0.1	480	0.8
	SONOMA	9	0.4	2097	99.6			2106	3.6	2	100	2	0.0	2108	3.4
	STANISLAUS	10	1.6	598	97.9	3	0.5	611	1.1					611	1.0

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 16. 0A-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM TYPE AND AGENCY		TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGENCY		NO TEST		TOTAL BY AGENCY			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	LHD/agency														
ATS PROGRAM	SUTTER			1	100			1	0.0	1	100	1	0.0	2	0.0
	TULARE	4	1.3	306	96.2	8	2.5	318	0.6	1	100	1	0.0	319	0.5
	TUOLUMNE			153	100			153	0.3					153	0.2
	VENTURA	6	0.9	662	98.7	3	0.4	671	1.2					671	1.1
	YOLO	1	0.3	342	99.4	1	0.3	344	0.6	3	100	3	0.1	347	0.6
	YUBA			1	100			1	0.0					1	0.0
	LONG BEACH	50	2.4	1996	97.5	2	0.1	2048	3.5	23	100	23	0.5	2071	3.3
	PASADENA	15	1.9	757	97.3	6	0.8	778	1.3	2	100	2	0.0	780	1.3
	BERKELEY	25	0.6	4311	99.4			4336	7.5	27	100	27	0.6	4363	7.0
	SUBTOTAL	837	1.4	56848	98.4	93	0.2	57778	34.0	4237	100	4237	51.1	62015	34.8
NON-ATS LHD	LHD/agency														
	ALAMEDA	102	2.2	4428	97.7	4	0.1	4534	4.1	1	100	1	0.0	4535	4.0
	ALPINE			9	100			9	0.0					9	0.0
	AMADOR	1	0.7	147	97.4	3	2.0	151	0.1					151	0.1
	BUTTE	4	0.5	865	99.5			869	0.8	38	100	38	1.0	907	0.8
	CALAVERAS			110	98.2	2	1.8	112	0.1					112	0.1
	COLUSA			5	100			5	0.0					5	0.0
	CONTRA COSTA	15	0.6	2369	99.3	2	0.1	2386	2.2	34	100	34	0.9	2420	2.1
	DEL NORTE			44	97.8	1	2.2	45	0.0					45	0.0
	EL DORADO	3	0.4	717	98.8	6	0.8	726	0.7	3	100	3	0.1	729	0.6
	FRESNO	43	1.5	2742	98.4	3	0.1	2788	2.5	12	100	12	0.3	2800	2.4
	GLENN			114	100			114	0.1					114	0.1
	HUMBOLDT	1	0.1	718	99.9			719	0.7	8	100	8	0.2	727	0.6
	IMPERIAL	9	1.4	609	95.8	18	2.8	636	0.6					636	0.6
	INYO	1	7.1	13	92.9			14	0.0	1	100	1	0.0	15	0.0
	KERN	66	1.8	3662	98.2			3728	3.4	5	100	5	0.1	3733	3.3
	KINGS	5	1.1	446	98.9			451	0.4	13	100	13	0.3	464	0.4
	LAKE			279	99.6	1	0.4	280	0.3	1	100	1	0.0	281	0.2
	LASSEN	2	1.4	139	97.9	1	0.7	142	0.1					142	0.1
	LOS ANGELES	323	2.1	15178	97.6	46	0.3	15547	14.1	447	100	447	11.9	15994	14.0
	MADERA	17	4.9	333	95.1			350	0.3	1	100	1	0.0	351	0.3
	MARIN	9	0.4	2160	99.6			2169	2.0	19	100	19	0.5	2188	1.9
	MARIPOSA			102	98.1	2	1.9	104	0.1	3	100	3	0.1	107	0.1
	MENDOCINO	2	0.2	975	99.8			977	0.9	1	100	1	0.0	978	0.9
	MERCED	2	0.7	304	99.0	1	0.3	307	0.3					307	0.3

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 16. 0A-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM TYPE AND AGENCY		TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGENCY		NO TEST		TOTAL BY AGENCY			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	LHD/agency														
NON-ATS LHD	MODOC			15	100			15	0.0					15	0.0
	MONO			53	98.1	1	1.9	54	0.0					54	0.0
	MONTEREY	6	0.9	626	99.1			632	0.6					632	0.6
	NEVADA	4	0.5	830	99.3	2	0.2	836	0.8	1	100	1	0.0	837	0.7
	ORANGE	70	0.8	9017	99.2	5	0.1	9092	8.2	39	100	39	1.0	9131	8.0
	PLACER	1	0.3	319	99.7			320	0.3	1	100	1	0.0	321	0.3
	PLUMAS			80	100			80	0.1					80	0.1
	RIVERSIDE	54	1.7	3178	98.1	8	0.2	3240	2.9	1	100	1	0.0	3241	2.8
	SACRAMENTO	30	0.6	4824	99.3	2	0.0	4856	4.4	7	100	7	0.2	4863	4.3
	SAN BERNARDINO	23	0.5	4503	99.4	2	0.0	4528	4.1	24	100	24	0.6	4552	4.0
	SAN DIEGO	97	1.0	9881	98.9	8	0.1	9986	9.0	1584	100	1584	42.0	11570	10.1
	SAN FRANCISCO	587	4.4	12810	95.4	34	0.3	13431	12.2	172	100	172	4.6	13603	11.9
	SAN JOAQUIN	22	0.9	2381	97.8	32	1.3	2435	2.2	298	100	298	7.9	2733	2.4
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	3	0.2	1316	99.8			1319	1.2	4	100	4	0.1	1323	1.2
	SAN MATEO	45	1.1	4062	98.6	11	0.3	4118	3.7					4118	3.6
	SANTA BARBARA			880	99.9	1	0.1	881	0.8	93	100	93	2.5	974	0.9
	SANTA CLARA	39	1.7	2222	98.3			2261	2.0	3	100	3	0.1	2264	2.0
	SANTA CRUZ	1	0.2	457	99.8			458	0.4					458	0.4
	SHASTA	1	0.1	1089	99.9			1090	1.0	2	100	2	0.1	1092	1.0
	SIERRA			17	100			17	0.0					17	0.0
	SISKIYOU			280	100			280	0.3	62	100	62	1.6	342	0.3
	SOLANO	7	3.3	206	95.8	2	0.9	215	0.2	1	100	1	0.0	216	0.2
	SONOMA	9	0.3	2988	99.7			2997	2.7	12	100	12	0.3	3009	2.6
	STANISLAUS			560	99.8	1	0.2	561	0.5					561	0.5
	SUTTER	1	0.3	288	99.7			289	0.3	4	100	4	0.1	293	0.3
	TEHAMA			119	99.2	1	0.8	120	0.1					120	0.1
	TRINITY			38	100			38	0.0					38	0.0
	TULARE	18	1.3	1378	98.0	10	0.7	1406	1.3	57	100	57	1.5	1463	1.3
	TUOLUMNE			14	100			14	0.0					14	0.0
	VENTURA	3	0.6	485	99.4			488	0.4					488	0.4
	YOLO	1	0.3	331	99.7			332	0.3					332	0.3
	YUBA	3	0.9	321	98.8	1	0.3	325	0.3					325	0.3
	LONG BEACH	34	1.1	3187	98.9	1	0.0	3222	2.9	10	100	10	0.3	3232	2.8
	PASADENA	3	0.5	598	99.0	3	0.5	604	0.5	1	100	1	0.0	605	0.5

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 16. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM TYPE AND AGENCY		TESTED								NOT TESTED				TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGENCY		NO TEST		TOTAL BY AGENCY			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	LHD/agency														
NON-ATS LHD	BERKELEY	27	1.3	2094	98.7			2121	1.9	804	100	804	21.3	2925	2.6
	CSU LONG BEACH	6	0.9	698	99.0	1	0.1	705	0.6	2	100	2	0.1	707	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	1700	1.5	108613	98.3	216	0.2	110529	65.1	3769	100	3769	45.4	114298	64.2
RURAL HEALTH PROGRAM	LHD/agency														
	HUMBOLDT OPEN DOOR	2	1.9	103	98.1			105	28.9					105	16.5
	DM CAMARENA HC			254	98.4	4	1.6	258	71.1	274	100	274	100	532	83.5
	SUBTOTAL	2	0.6	357	98.3	4	1.1	363	0.2	274	100	274	3.3	637	0.4
INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM	LHD/agency														
	URBAN INDIAN HB	4	1.2	324	98.8			328	30.7	4	100	4	23.5	332	30.6
	ROUND VALLEY IHP			8	100			8	0.7	4	100	4	23.5	12	1.1
	LAKE THC			8	100			8	0.7	4	100	4	23.5	12	1.1
	SANTA CLARA VALLEY IHC			39	100			39	3.6					39	3.6
	CHAPA-DE IHC			50	100			50	4.7	1	100	1	5.9	51	4.7
	SONOMA IHP			36	100			36	3.4	3	100	3	17.6	39	3.6
	SOUTHERN IHC			135	100			135	12.6					135	12.4
	SAN DIEGO AIHC	13	2.8	452	97.2			465	43.5	1	100	1	5.9	466	42.9
	SUBTOTAL	17	1.6	1052	98.4			1069	0.6	17	100	17	0.2	1086	0.6
	TOTAL BY RESULT		2556	1.5	166870	98.3	313	0.2	169739	100	8297	100	8297	100	178036

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 ATS = Alternative Test Site
 AIHC = American Indian Health Center
 CSU = California State University
 DM = Darin M.
 HB = Health Board
 HC = Health Center
 IHC = Indian Health Center
 IHP = Indian Health Program
 LHD = Local Health Department
 OA = Office of AIDS

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 17. 0A-FUNDED HIV C&T SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY		RACE/ETHNICITY														TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		AFRICAN AMERICAN		AMERICAN INDIAN		ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER		LATINO		WHITE		OTHER RACE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	1561	6.0	179	0.7	2341	9.0	6697	25.7	14304	54.8	715	2.7	294	1.1	26091	100
	SUBTOTAL	1561	6.0	179	0.7	2341	9.0	6697	25.7	14304	54.8	715	2.7	294	1.1	26091	15.4
BISEXUAL	MALE	791	12.4	60	0.9	354	5.5	2342	36.7	2544	39.9	210	3.3	82	1.3	6383	66.5
	FEMALE	686	21.4	78	2.4	133	4.1	594	18.5	1558	48.5	102	3.2	59	1.8	3210	33.5
	SUBTOTAL	1477	15.4	138	1.4	487	5.1	2936	30.6	4102	42.8	312	3.3	141	1.5	9593	5.7
IDU	MALE	1450	17.0	275	3.2	100	1.2	1975	23.1	4511	52.8	146	1.7	93	1.1	8550	61.4
	FEMALE	767	14.9	254	4.9	63	1.2	982	19.1	2933	57.1	76	1.5	66	1.3	5141	36.9
	TG	16	12.0	4	3.0	9	6.8	70	52.6	33	24.8	1	0.8			133	1.0
	UNKNOWN	19	17.3	3	2.7	1	0.9	19	17.3	43	39.1	4	3.6	21	19.1	110	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	2252	16.2	536	3.8	173	1.2	3046	21.9	7520	54.0	227	1.6	180	1.3	13934	8.2
GAY/IDU	MALE	177	14.5	45	3.7	31	2.5	239	19.5	685	56.0	38	3.1	8	0.7	1223	100
	SUBTOTAL	177	14.5	45	3.7	31	2.5	239	19.5	685	56.0	38	3.1	8	0.7	1223	0.7
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	212	24.9	8	0.9	32	3.8	240	28.2	326	38.3	24	2.8	10	1.2	852	37.0
	FEMALE	233	17.1	26	1.9	45	3.3	498	36.6	521	38.3	31	2.3	8	0.6	1362	59.2
	TG	6	10.2	2	3.4	3	5.1	35	59.3	11	18.6	2	3.4			59	2.6
	UNKNOWN	1	3.7	1	3.7	1	3.7	8	29.6	8	29.6	4	14.8	4	14.8	27	1.2
	SUBTOTAL	452	19.7	37	1.6	81	3.5	781	34.0	866	37.7	61	2.7	22	1.0	2300	1.4
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	225	14.0	36	2.2	77	4.8	386	24.1	809	50.5	48	3.0	21	1.3	1602	100
	SUBTOTAL	225	14.0	36	2.2	77	4.8	386	24.1	809	50.5	48	3.0	21	1.3	1602	0.9
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	818	19.5	116	2.8	73	1.7	1181	28.2	1849	44.1	97	2.3	54	1.3	4188	51.8
	FEMALE	481	12.8	133	3.5	108	2.9	1039	27.7	1855	49.5	81	2.2	53	1.4	3750	46.4
	TG	5	11.4			3	6.8	28	63.6	8	18.2					44	0.5
	UNKNOWN	14	13.6	2	1.9	4	3.9	16	15.5	43	41.7	3	2.9	21	20.4	103	1.3
	SUBTOTAL	1318	16.3	251	3.1	188	2.3	2264	28.0	3755	46.4	181	2.2	128	1.6	8085	4.8
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	363	46.8	17	2.2	20	2.6	167	21.5	179	23.1	17	2.2	13	1.7	776	30.1
	FEMALE	839	52.0	29	1.8	114	7.1	270	16.7	326	20.2	24	1.5	13	0.8	1615	62.7
	TG	18	11.8			12	7.9	102	67.1	18	11.8	1	0.7	1	0.7	152	5.9
	UNKNOWN	6	18.2			3	9.1	11	33.3	7	21.2			6	18.2	33	1.3
	SUBTOTAL	1226	47.6	46	1.8	149	5.8	550	21.4	530	20.6	42	1.6	33	1.3	2576	1.5
TRANSFUSION	MALE	80	14.4	9	1.6	19	3.4	162	29.2	267	48.1	13	2.3	5	0.9	555	49.6
	FEMALE	75	13.5	14	2.5	20	3.6	212	38.2	213	38.4	16	2.9	5	0.9	555	49.6
	TG							1	50.0	1	50.0					2	0.2
	UNKNOWN							1	14.3	4	57.1			2	28.6	7	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	155	13.9	23	2.1	39	3.5	376	33.6	485	43.3	29	2.6	12	1.1	1119	0.7

(Continued)

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 17. OA-FUNDED HIV C&T SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY		RACE/ETHNICITY														TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		AFRICAN AMERICAN		AMERICAN INDIAN		ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER		LATINO		WHITE		OTHER RACE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HETEROSEXUAL /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	7186	18.2	430	1.1	1912	4.9	12656	32.1	15395	39.1	1260	3.2	580	1.5	39419	61.9
	FEMALE	3771	16.2	362	1.6	1844	7.9	6163	26.4	10099	43.3	670	2.9	408	1.7	23317	36.6
	TG	22	10.0	2	0.9	14	6.4	130	59.1	43	19.5	2	0.9	7	3.2	220	0.3
	UNKNOWN	89	12.7	5	0.7	31	4.4	155	22.2	255	36.5	28	4.0	136	19.5	699	1.1
	SUBTOTAL	11068	17.4	799	1.3	3801	6.0	19104	30.0	25792	40.5	1960	3.1	1131	1.8	63655	37.5
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	3	5.6			5	9.3	13	24.1	27	50.0	5	9.3	1	1.9	54	31.4
	FEMALE	7	6.0	3	2.6	10	8.5	48	41.0	43	36.8	5	4.3	1	0.9	117	68.0
	TG					1	100									1	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	10	5.8	3	1.7	16	9.3	61	35.5	70	40.7	10	5.8	2	1.2	172	0.1
PARENT RISK	MALE							10	100							10	43.5
	FEMALE	3	23.1					7	53.8	1	7.7	2	15.4			13	56.5
	SUBTOTAL	3	13.0					17	73.9	1	4.3	2	8.7			23	0.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	2548	15.1	217	1.3	1156	6.9	5534	32.8	6608	39.2	549	3.3	246	1.5	16858	45.0
	FEMALE	3154	15.7	286	1.4	1613	8.0	8120	40.3	6120	30.4	556	2.8	293	1.5	20142	53.8
	TG	15	13.9			7	6.5	48	44.4	34	31.5	3	2.8	1	0.9	108	0.3
	UNKNOWN	46	12.6	8	2.2	18	4.9	105	28.8	100	27.5	8	2.2	79	21.7	364	1.0
	SUBTOTAL	5763	15.4	511	1.4	2794	7.5	13807	36.8	12862	34.3	1116	3.0	619	1.7	37472	22.1
UNKNOWN	MALE	193	18.6	9	0.9	68	6.6	276	26.6	405	39.1	50	4.8	35	3.4	1036	54.7
	FEMALE	119	16.5	9	1.2	65	9.0	199	27.5	286	39.6	30	4.1	15	2.1	723	38.2
	TG	3	23.1			1	7.7	6	46.2	2	15.4	1	7.7			13	0.7
	UNKNOWN	10	8.2	1	0.8	1	0.8	10	8.2	10	8.2	20	16.4	70	57.4	122	6.4
	SUBTOTAL	325	17.2	19	1.0	135	7.1	491	25.9	703	37.1	101	5.3	120	6.3	1894	1.1
TOTAL BY RACE/ETHNICITY		26012	15.3	2623	1.5	10312	6.1	50755	29.9	72484	42.7	4842	2.9	2711	1.6	169739	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 OA = Office of AIDS
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 TG = Transgender

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 18. OA-FUNDED HIV C&T SERVICES BY DRUG USE, GENDER, AND TEST RESULT	MALE						FEMALE						TG						TOTAL BY DRUG USE	
	POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		TOTAL DRUG USE BY GENDER		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		TOTAL DRUG USE BY GENDER		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		TOTAL DRUG USE BY GENDER			
	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
Alcohol																				
----used	1189	1.8	65930	98.2	67119	63.5	151	0.5	32102	99.5	32253	52.5	27	7.0	361	93.0	388	53.4	99760	59.4
----not reported	936	2.4	37723	97.6	38659	36.5	207	0.7	28997	99.3	29204	47.5	31	9.1	308	90.9	339	46.6	68202	40.6
Marijuana																				
----used	570	1.7	33003	98.3	33573	31.7	83	0.5	15806	99.5	15889	25.9	14	8.6	148	91.4	162	22.3	49624	29.5
----not reported	1555	2.2	70650	97.8	72205	68.3	275	0.6	45293	99.4	45568	74.1	44	7.8	521	92.2	565	77.7	118338	70.5
Heroin																				
----used	180	2.4	7308	97.6	7488	7.1	76	2.0	3646	98.0	3722	6.1	5	13.2	33	86.8	38	5.2	11248	6.7
----not reported	1945	2.0	96345	98.0	98290	92.9	282	0.5	57453	99.5	57735	93.9	53	7.7	636	92.3	689	94.8	156714	93.3
Barbiturates																				
----used	31	2.4	1261	97.6	1292	1.2	9	1.3	701	98.7	710	1.2	2	16.7	10	83.3	12	1.7	2014	1.2
----not reported	2094	2.0	102392	98.0	104486	98.8	349	0.6	60398	99.4	60747	98.8	56	7.8	659	92.2	715	98.3	165948	98.8
Tranquilizers																				
----used	25	1.9	1321	98.1	1346	1.3	9	1.1	793	98.9	802	1.3	1	10.0	9	90.0	10	1.4	2158	1.3
----not reported	2100	2.0	102332	98.0	104432	98.7	349	0.6	60306	99.4	60655	98.7	57	7.9	660	92.1	717	98.6	165804	98.7
Crack																				
----used	199	2.8	6953	97.2	7152	6.8	83	1.9	4198	98.1	4281	7.0	13	17.1	63	82.9	76	10.5	11509	6.9
----not reported	1926	2.0	96700	98.0	98626	93.2	275	0.5	56901	99.5	57176	93.0	45	6.9	606	93.1	651	89.5	156453	93.1
Amphetamines																				
----used	408	2.8	14410	97.2	14818	14.0	51	0.7	7784	99.3	7835	12.7	15	12.4	106	87.6	121	16.6	22774	13.6
----not reported	1717	1.9	89243	98.1	90960	86.0	307	0.6	53315	99.4	53622	87.3	43	7.1	563	92.9	606	83.4	145188	86.4
Cocaine																				
----used	247	2.0	12380	98.0	12627	11.9	65	1.3	4974	98.7	5039	8.2	6	7.1	78	92.9	84	11.6	17750	10.6
----not reported	1878	2.0	91273	98.0	93151	88.1	293	0.5	56125	99.5	56418	91.8	52	8.1	591	91.9	643	88.4	150212	89.4
Nitrates/nitrites																				
----used	91	4.3	2001	95.7	2092	2.0	3	0.8	379	99.2	382	0.6	2	12.5	14	87.5	16	2.2	2490	1.5
----not reported	2034	2.0	101652	98.0	103686	98.0	355	0.6	60720	99.4	61075	99.4	56	7.9	655	92.1	711	97.8	165472	98.5
Ecstasy																				
----used	148	2.2	6526	97.8	6674	6.3	11	0.4	2920	99.6	2931	4.8	4	8.5	43	91.5	47	6.5	9652	5.7
----not reported	1977	2.0	97127	98.0	99104	93.7	347	0.6	58179	99.4	58526	95.2	54	7.9	626	92.1	680	93.5	158310	94.3
PCP																				
----used	13	1.1	1211	98.9	1224	1.2	9	1.4	615	98.6	624	1.0	1	11.1	8	88.9	9	1.2	1857	1.1
----not reported	2112	2.0	102442	98.0	104554	98.8	349	0.6	60484	99.4	60833	99.0	57	7.9	661	92.1	718	98.8	166105	98.9

(Continued)

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 18. OA-FUNDED HIV C&T SERVICES BY DRUG USE, GENDER, AND TEST RESULT	MALE						FEMALE						TG						TOTAL BY DRUG USE	
	POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		TOTAL DRUG USE BY GENDER		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		TOTAL DRUG USE BY GENDER		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		TOTAL DRUG USE BY GENDER			
	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
Hallucinogens																				
----used	39	1.2	3128	98.8	3167	3.0	5	0.4	1352	99.6	1357	2.2	1	6.7	14	93.3	15	2.1	4539	2.7
----not reported	2086	2.0	100525	98.0	102611	97.0	353	0.6	59747	99.4	60100	97.8	57	8.0	655	92.0	712	97.9	163423	97.3
Other Drug																				
----used	156	4.9	2999	95.1	3155	3.0	12	1.2	1021	98.8	1033	1.7	7	8.4	76	91.6	83	11.4	4271	2.5
----not reported	1969	1.9	100654	98.1	102623	97.0	346	0.6	60078	99.4	60424	98.3	51	7.9	593	92.1	644	88.6	163691	97.5

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:

C&T = Counseling and Testing

LSD = Lysergic Acid Diethylamide [l(y)s(ergic acid) d(iethylamide)]

OA = Office of AIDS

TG = Transgender

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 19. NO-SHOW RATE BY PROGRAM TYPE, AGENCY, AND FUNDING SOURCE		NON-OA-FUNDED SERVICES				OA-FUNDED SERVICES			
		APPEARED		NO-SHOW		APPEARED		NO-SHOW	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
ATS PROGRAM	ALAMEDA					1882	67.0	926	33.0
	BUTTE	1	100			114	87.0	17	13.0
	CONTRA COSTA					256	89.8	29	10.2
	FRESNO					677	65.5	356	34.5
	GLENN					1	100		
	HUMBOLDT	1	33.3	2	66.7	528	73.9	186	26.1
	INYO					34	87.2	5	12.8
	KERN					30	61.2	19	38.8
	LOS ANGELES	4	80.0	1	20.0	11332	82.5	2406	17.5
	MARIN					531	90.6	55	9.4
	MENDOCINO					305	91.9	27	8.1
	MERCED					77	78.6	21	21.4
	MODOC					2	66.7	1	33.3
	MONTEREY					271	66.4	137	33.6
	NAPA					82	87.2	12	12.8
	NEVADA					19	100		
	ORANGE					1853	85.5	313	14.5
	PLACER					287	85.7	48	14.3
	RIVERSIDE					1898	82.1	413	17.9
	SACRAMENTO					508	84.5	93	15.5
	SAN BERNARDINO					1415	72.3	542	27.7
	SAN DIEGO	40	95.2	2	4.8	4188	89.8	477	10.2
	SAN FRANCISCO					5353	94.5	310	5.5
	SAN JOAQUIN					184	85.6	31	14.4
	SAN LUIS OBISPO					608	88.6	78	11.4
	SAN MATEO					43	51.8	40	48.2
	SANTA BARBARA					2132	80.1	531	19.9
	SANTA CLARA					2100	86.5	328	13.5
	SANTA CRUZ			1	100	941	78.2	262	21.8
	SHASTA					477	76.8	144	23.2
	SOLANO					366	76.9	110	23.1
	SONOMA					1771	84.1	335	15.9
	STANISLAUS	82	68.3	38	31.7	421	68.9	190	31.1
	SUTTER							1	100
	TULARE					248	78.0	70	22.0

(Continued)

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 19. NO-SHOW RATE BY PROGRAM TYPE, AGENCY, AND FUNDING SOURCE		NON-OA-FUNDED SERVICES				OA-FUNDED SERVICES			
		APPEARED		NO-SHOW		APPEARED		NO-SHOW	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
ATS PROGRAM	TUOLUMNE					118	77.1	35	22.9
	VENTURA	4	80.0	1	20.0	539	80.3	132	19.7
	YOLO					274	79.7	70	20.3
	YUBA					1	100		
	LONG BEACH	1	100			1753	85.6	295	14.4
	PASADENA					711	91.4	67	8.6
	BERKELEY					3897	89.9	439	10.1
	SUBTOTAL	133	74.7	45	25.3	48227	83.5	9551	16.5
NON-ATS LHD	ALAMEDA					2974	65.6	1560	34.4
	ALPINE					1	11.1	8	88.9
	AMADOR					130	86.1	21	13.9
	BUTTE	58	87.9	8	12.1	666	76.6	203	23.4
	CALAVERAS					97	86.6	15	13.4
	COLUSA					2	40.0	3	60.0
	CONTRA COSTA	770	69.9	331	30.1	1443	60.5	943	39.5
	DEL NORTE					30	66.7	15	33.3
	EL DORADO	3	50.0	3	50.0	497	68.5	229	31.5
	FRESNO	103	92.0	9	8.0	1606	57.6	1182	42.4
	GLENN					81	71.1	33	28.9
	HUMBOLDT					514	71.5	205	28.5
	IMPERIAL	27	84.4	5	15.6	370	58.2	266	41.8
	INYO	2	50.0	2	50.0	13	92.9	1	7.1
	KERN					2324	62.3	1404	37.7
	KINGS	47	71.2	19	28.8	300	66.5	151	33.5
	LAKE	5	100			223	79.6	57	20.4
	LASSEN					117	82.4	25	17.6
	LOS ANGELES	465	78.2	130	21.8	12237	78.7	3310	21.3
	MADERA					269	76.9	81	23.1
	MARIN					1658	76.4	511	23.6
	MARIPOSA					74	71.2	30	28.8
	MENDOCINO					889	91.0	88	9.0
	MERCED					288	93.8	19	6.2
	MODOC					12	80.0	3	20.0
	MONO	22	91.7	2	8.3	54	100		
	MONTEREY	117	63.9	66	36.1	389	61.6	243	38.4

(Continued)

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 19. NO-SHOW RATE BY PROGRAM TYPE, AGENCY, AND FUNDING SOURCE		NON-OA-FUNDED SERVICES				OA-FUNDED SERVICES			
		APPEARED		NO-SHOW		APPEARED		NO-SHOW	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
NON-ATS LHD	NEVADA					618	73.9	218	26.1
	ORANGE	1718	78.5	470	21.5	6869	75.5	2223	24.5
	PLACER	6	66.7	3	33.3	232	72.5	88	27.5
	PLUMAS					41	51.3	39	48.8
	RIVERSIDE					2027	62.6	1213	37.4
	SACRAMENTO	775	68.5	356	31.5	3082	63.5	1774	36.5
	SAN BERNARDINO	93	32.5	193	67.5	3089	68.2	1439	31.8
	SAN DIEGO	889	65.4	470	34.6	6755	67.6	3231	32.4
	SAN FRANCISCO					11015	82.0	2416	18.0
	SAN JOAQUIN	43	58.1	31	41.9	1189	48.8	1246	51.2
	SAN LUIS OBISPO					1011	76.6	308	23.4
	SAN MATEO					2575	62.5	1543	37.5
	SANTA BARBARA	140	95.9	6	4.1	665	75.5	216	24.5
	SANTA CLARA	119	81.0	28	19.0	1554	68.7	707	31.3
	SANTA CRUZ	419	75.6	135	24.4	306	66.8	152	33.2
	SHASTA	2	100			765	70.2	325	29.8
	SIERRA					15	88.2	2	11.8
	SISKIYOU					195	69.6	85	30.4
	SOLANO	19	82.6	4	17.4	125	58.1	90	41.9
	SONOMA	13	92.9	1	7.1	2131	71.1	866	28.9
	STANISLAUS	251	52.7	225	47.3	405	72.2	156	27.8
	SUTTER	1	50.0	1	50.0	196	67.8	93	32.2
	TEHAMA	23	65.7	12	34.3	97	80.8	23	19.2
	TRINITY					37	97.4	1	2.6
	TULARE	3	75.0	1	25.0	871	61.9	535	38.1
	TUOLUMNE					8	57.1	6	42.9
	VENTURA	1	50.0	1	50.0	387	79.3	101	20.7
	YOLO					217	65.4	115	34.6
	YUBA					175	53.8	150	46.2
	LONG BEACH	123	83.7	24	16.3	2178	67.6	1044	32.4
	PASADENA					553	91.6	51	8.4
	BERKELEY					1454	68.6	667	31.4
	CSU LONG BEACH					590	83.7	115	16.3
	SUBTOTAL	6257	71.2	2536	28.8	78685	71.2	31844	28.8
RURAL HEALTH PROGRAM	HUMBOLDT OPEN DOOR					83	79.0	22	21.0

(Continued)

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 19. NO-SHOW RATE BY PROGRAM TYPE, AGENCY, AND FUNDING SOURCE		NON-OA-FUNDED SERVICES				OA-FUNDED SERVICES			
		APPEARED		NO-SHOW		APPEARED		NO-SHOW	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
RURAL HEALTH PROGRAM	DM CAMARENA HC					180	69.8	78	30.2
	SUBTOTAL					263	72.5	100	27.5
INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM	URBAN INDIAN HB					226	68.9	102	31.1
	ROUND VALLEY IHP					6	75.0	2	25.0
	LAKE THC					3	37.5	5	62.5
	SANTA CLARA VALLEY IHC					33	84.6	6	15.4
	CHAPA-DE IHC					45	90.0	5	10.0
	SONOMA IHP					27	75.0	9	25.0
	SOUTHERN IHC					135	100		
	SAN DIEGO AIHC					465	100		
	SUBTOTAL					940	87.9	129	12.1
DADP	ALAMEDA DADP	783	67.1	384	32.9				
	FRESNO DADP	1597	75.7	514	24.3				
	HUMBOLDT DADP	163	77.3	48	22.7				
	MARIN DADP	29	70.7	12	29.3				
	MODESTO DADP	150	100						
	PLACER DADP	350	79.5	90	20.5				
	RIVERSIDE DADP	11	100						
	SAN BERNARDINO DADP	474	57.3	353	42.7				
	SAN JOAQUIN DADP	346	92.0	30	8.0				
	SAN MATEO DADP	185	81.1	43	18.9				
	SANTA CRUZ DADP	256	75.1	85	24.9				
	LA-ALTAMED MTU	1628	85.0	287	15.0				
	LA-DREW MTU	120	80.5	29	19.5				
	LA-VALLEY CC MTU	383	82.5	81	17.5				
	LA-MAP MTU	644	59.7	434	40.3				
	LA-W.HOLLYWOOD MTU	397	60.8	256	39.2				
	LA-TARZANA MTU	258	70.3	109	29.7				
	SUBTOTAL	7774	73.8	2755	26.2				
TOTAL BY FUNDING SOURCE AND RESULT		14164	72.6	5336	27.4	128115	75.5	41624	24.5

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:

ATS = Alternative Test Site
 AIHC = American Indian Health Center
 CC = Community Clinic
 CSU = California State University
 DADP = Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs
 DM = Darin M.
 HB = Health Board

HC = Health Center
 IHP = Indian Health Program
 IHC = Indian Health Center
 LA = Los Angeles
 LHD = Local Health Department
 MTU = Mobile Testing Unit
 OA = Office of AIDS

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 20. 0A-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY DISCLOSURE REFERRALS AND CLIENT RISK LEVEL	CLIENT RISK LEVEL						TOTAL	
	HIV POSITIVE or INCONCLUSIVE		HIGH-RISK NEGATIVE		LOW-RISK NEGATIVE			
	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
MISSING ALL REFERRAL DATA								
----yes	461	20.0	13878	17.3	10864	18.1	25203	17.7
----no	1843	80.0	66155	82.7	49078	81.9	117076	82.3
NONE INDICATED								
----yes	81	3.52	7578	9.47	6698	11.2	14357	10.1
----no	2223	96.5	72455	90.5	53244	88.8	127922	89.9
Referral list only								
----referral provided	72	3.13	3011	3.76	2557	4.27	5640	3.96
----not reported	2232	96.9	77022	96.2	57385	95.7	136639	96.0
CCS/HIV children's program								
----referral provided	10	0.43	93	0.12	40	0.07	143	0.10
----not reported	2294	99.6	79940	99.9	59902	99.9	142136	99.9
Other Testing								
----referral provided	28	1.22	954	1.19	353	0.59	1335	0.94
----not reported	2276	98.8	79079	98.8	59589	99.4	140944	99.1
HIV Education Service								
----referral provided	428	18.6	23433	29.3	18411	30.7	42272	29.7
----not reported	1876	81.4	56600	70.7	41531	69.3	100007	70.3
Follow-up HIV Counseling								
----referral provided	418	18.1	25714	32.1	17357	29.0	43489	30.6
----not reported	1886	81.9	54319	67.9	42585	71.0	98790	69.4
Prevention Skill Development								
----referral provided	259	11.2	21473	26.8	16047	26.8	37779	26.6
----not reported	2045	88.8	58560	73.2	43895	73.2	104500	73.4
Prevention Support Group								
----referral provided	87	3.78	2724	3.40	869	1.45	3680	2.59
----not reported	2217	96.2	77309	96.6	59073	98.6	138599	97.4
Individual Psychotherapy								
----referral provided	91	3.95	1054	1.32	570	0.95	1715	1.21
----not reported	2213	96.1	78979	98.7	59372	99.0	140564	98.8
Alcohol/Drug Treatment								
----referral provided	62	2.69	4765	5.95	621	1.04	5448	3.83
----not reported	2242	97.3	75268	94.0	59321	99.0	136831	96.2
Twelve Step Program								
----referral provided	29	1.26	3035	3.79	439	0.73	3503	2.46
----not reported	2275	98.7	76998	96.2	59503	99.3	138776	97.5
Need Exchange Program								
----referral provided	41	1.78	949	1.19	35	0.06	1025	0.72
----not reported	2263	98.2	79084	98.8	59907	99.9	141254	99.3

(Continued)

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 20. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES BY DISCLOSURE REFERRALS AND CLIENT RISK LEVEL	CLIENT RISK LEVEL						TOTAL	
	HIV POSITIVE or INCONCLUSIVE		HIGH-RISK NEGATIVE		LOW-RISK NEGATIVE			
	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
EIP								
----referral provided	617	26.8	35	0.04	16	0.03	668	0.47
----not reported	1687	73.2	79998	100	59926	100	141611	99.5
Medical Services								
----referral provided	759	32.9	2217	2.77	1202	2.01	4178	2.94
----not reported	1545	67.1	77816	97.2	58740	98.0	138101	97.1
PCRS/Partner Notification								
----referral provided	329	14.3	24	0.03	7	0.01	360	0.25
----not reported	1975	85.7	80009	100	59935	100	141919	99.7
Case Management								
----referral provided	448	19.4	88	0.11	39	0.07	575	0.40
----not reported	1856	80.6	79945	99.9	59903	99.9	141704	99.6
Crisis Intervention								
----referral provided	77	3.34	38	0.05	19	0.03	134	0.09
----not reported	2227	96.7	79995	100	59923	100	142145	99.9
TB								
----referral provided	34	1.48	84	0.10	39	0.07	157	0.11
----not reported	2270	98.5	79949	99.9	59903	99.9	142122	99.9
STD Clinic								
----referral provided	118	5.12	8905	11.1	5992	10.0	15015	10.6
----not reported	2186	94.9	71128	88.9	53950	90.0	127264	89.4
Family Planning Clinic								
----referral provided	6	0.26	797	1.00	1069	1.78	1872	1.32
----not reported	2298	99.7	79236	99.0	58873	98.2	140407	98.7
Pregnancy Evaluation/Care								
----referral provided	1	0.04	84	0.10	252	0.42	337	0.24
----not reported	2303	100	79949	99.9	59690	99.6	141942	99.8
Social Services								
----referral provided	72	3.13	618	0.77	279	0.47	969	0.68
----not reported	2232	96.9	79415	99.2	59663	99.5	141310	99.3
Domestic Violence Counseling								
----referral provided			88	0.11	42	0.07	130	0.09
----not reported	2304	100	79945	99.9	59900	99.9	142149	99.9
Other								
----referral provided	169	7.34	2131	2.66	1111	1.85	3411	2.40
----not reported	2135	92.7	77902	97.3	58831	98.1	138868	97.6
TOTAL	2304	1.62	80033	56.3	59942	42.1	142279	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:

EIP = Early Intervention Program

OA = Office of AIDS

PCRS = Partner Counseling and Referral Services

STD = Sexually Transmitted Disease

TB = Tuberculosis

HIV COUNSELING INFORMATION FORM

Administrative Information

LHD/agency no.: Site no.:

- Clinic type:** (mark one ☒)
- ☐ (01) Alternative test site ☐ (01) Yes, Tested anonymously
- ☐ (02) Family planning ☐ (02) Yes, Tested confidentially
- ☐ (03) STD ☐ (03) Yes, Court or other non-OA test
- ☐ (04) Alc./drug treatment ☐ (04) No, Not at risk
- ☐ (05) Detention facility ☐ (05) No, Tested recently
- ☐ (06) Primary care ☐ (06) No, Still in window period
- ☐ (07) HIV test ☐ (07) No, Concern with confidentiality
- ☐ (08) Street outreach ☐ (08) No, Disclosure not convenient
- ☐ (09) Mobile van ☐ (09) No, Does NOT want to know
- ☐ (10) TB ☐ (10) No, Other reason
- ☐ (11) Youth drop in ☐ (11) Test not offered
- ☐ (12) Other health dept.
- ☐ (13) Other, specify: _____

Counseling and Test Result

DC

Unique Office of AIDS
Client Number

- Counseling:** (date and initial) _____ **Date Service Provided (mm/dd/yy)** _____ **Print Initials** _____
- Risk Assessment Counseling:** _____
- Follow-up Contact:** _____
(to reset missed disclosure sessions by confidential patients)
- Disclosure Counseling:** _____
- ☐ Mark ☒ if post disclosure counseling scheduled.
- Post Disclosure Counseling:** _____
- Test result:** (mark one ☒ and initial) _____ **Initials** _____
- ☐ (1) Positive ☐ (2) Negative ☐ (3) Inconclusive
- (carefully verify anonymous clients using Client Information)
- ☐ Mark ☒ if oral test kit used. ☐ Mark ☒ if rapid test used.

Matching criterion:
Enter first letter of last name. Mark "*" if declined/refused.

First letter of last name:

Alternative billing:
(mark all that apply ☒)

- ☐ No billing to OA
- ☐ Risk Assessment
- ☐ Disclosure
- ☐ Post Disclosure
- ☐ Laboratory Work

Client Information

- Race/ethnicity:** (mark one or two ☒)
- 1st** **2nd**
- ☐ (1) ☐ (1) African American (not Hispanic)
- ☐ (2) ☐ (2) American Indian/Alaskan Native
- ☐ (3) ☐ (3) Asian/Pacific Islander
- ☐ (4) ☐ (4) Hispanic/Latino(a)
- ☐ (5) ☐ (5) White (not Hispanic)
- ☐ (6) ☐ (6) Other, specify: _____

Date of birth: (mm/dd/yy)

Gender and pregnancy: (mark one ☒)

- ☐ (1) Male
- ☐ (2) Female
- ☐ (3) Pregnant Female
- ☐ (4) Transgendered: Male to Female
- ☐ (5) Transgendered: Female to Male
- ☐ (6) Other, specify: _____

Residence county: _____

Residence zip code:

☐ Mark ☒ if client is homeless.

Client was referred by: (mark one ☒)

- ☐ (01) HIV+ partner
- ☐ (02) PCRS/partner notification
- ☐ (03) OA NIGHT outreach (incentive/referral)
- ☐ (04) Other outreach worker
- ☐ (05) HIV education program
- ☐ (06) AIDS telephone hotline
- ☐ (07) Other AIDS agency
- ☐ (08) Alcohol/drug treatment program
- ☐ (09) M.D./health clinic
- ☐ (10) Friend/relative
- ☐ (11) Media (TV, radio, print)
- ☐ (12) No identifiable referral source

Client's reason for testing: (mark one ☒)

- ☐ (01) Reconfirming HIV+ result
- ☐ (02) Reports AIDS-like symptoms
- ☐ (03) Has current HIV+ partner
- ☐ (04) Had past HIV+ partner
- ☐ (05) TB diagnosis
- ☐ (06) STD related
- ☐ (07) Pregnancy
- ☐ (08) Risky behavior
- ☐ (09) Starting a new relationship
- ☐ (10) Just wanted to know
- ☐ (11) Other, specify: _____

HIV Testing History

Number of prior HIV tests: (circle one)

(0) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9+)

Date of last test result: _____/_____/_____ (mm/yy)

Last test result: (mark one ☒)

- ☐ (1) Positive
- ☐ (2) Negative
- ☐ (3) Inconclusive
- ☐ (4) Did not return for results

Risk Reduction Goals

Risk assessment stage of change: (mark one ☒)

- ☐ (1) Not thinking about it (Precontemplation)
- ☐ (2) Thinking about it (Contemplation)
- ☐ (3) Ready for action (Preparation)
- ☐ (4) Action
- ☐ (5) Maintenance

Immediate risk reduction goal setting:
(to be accomplished by client before disclosure)

At Disclosure: Risk reduction goal(s): (mark one ☒)

- ☐ (1) No Goal Established at Risk Assessment
- ☐ (2) Client Made No Effort
- ☐ (3) Goal Attempted
- ☐ (4) Goal Achieved

Post Disclosure/Short term risk reduction goal(s):

Long term risk reduction goal(s):

Referrals

Client referrals:

Record at risk assessment (RA), disclosure (D) and post disclosure (PD). Order by marking 1 for your primary referral. Other referrals should be numbered 2 and 3.

RA D PD

- _____ (01) NONE
- _____ (02) Referral list only

Other Testing

- _____ (03) CCS/HIV children's program
- _____ (04) Other testing

Risk/Harm Reduction

- _____ (05) HIV education service
- _____ (06) Follow-up HIV counseling
- _____ (07) Prevention skill development
- _____ (08) Prevention support group
- _____ (09) Individual psychotherapy
- _____ (10) Alcohol/drug treatment
- _____ (11) Twelve step program
- _____ (12) Needle exchange program

HIV Positive and Other Referrals

- _____ (13) EIP
- _____ (14) Medical services
- _____ (15) PCRS/partner notification
- _____ (16) Case management
- _____ (17) Crisis intervention
- _____ (18) TB

Other Services

- _____ (19) STD clinic
- _____ (20) Family planning clinic
- _____ (21) Pregnancy evaluation/care
- _____ (22) Social services
- _____ (23) Domestic violence counseling
- _____ (24) Other, specify: _____

Counselor: Review/Assess Introductory Issues

- ☐ Confidentiality/anonymity testing.
- ☐ Risk assessment process and purpose of form.
- ☐ What the HIV test measures.
- ☐ Meaning and accuracy of test results (positive, negative, inconclusive).
- ☐ Impact of HIV on the immune system.

Counselor: Review/Assess Testing Issues

- ☐ Window period/date of any follow-up test.
- ☐ Process of testing.
- ☐ Coping with waiting for test results.
- ☐ Client's readiness to be tested.
- ☐ Offer testing, if appropriate.
- ☐ Encourage the client to return for results.

Counselor Notes:

Discuss and record the client's behavior during the **last two years** unless otherwise indicated. If client has received an HIV test result during the last two years then discuss and record the client's behavior since the date of the client's last test result.

Date of Last Test Result: (if within last 2 years) ____/____/____ (mm/yy) (from HIV Testing History on front of form)

Sexual Risk History (last 2 years/last result)

Total number of sex partners: (000-999) <input type="text"/>		
Male sex partner(s).		
Partner(s): (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Sexual activity: (mark all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Frequency of barrier use: Never Sometimes Always
<input type="checkbox"/> (0) no partners	<input type="checkbox"/> Oral	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (1) one or more	<input type="checkbox"/> Vaginal	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (*) declined/refused	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal insertive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal receptive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
Female sex partner(s).		
Partner(s): (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Sexual activity: (mark all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Frequency of barrier use: Never Sometimes Always
<input type="checkbox"/> (0) no partners	<input type="checkbox"/> Oral	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (1) one or more	<input type="checkbox"/> Vaginal	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (*) declined/refused	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal insertive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal receptive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
Sex with sex worker(s)/prostitute(s).		
Partner(s): (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Sexual activity: (mark all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Frequency of barrier use: Never Sometimes Always
<input type="checkbox"/> (0) no partners	<input type="checkbox"/> Oral	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (1) one or more	<input type="checkbox"/> Vaginal	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (*) declined/refused	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal insertive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal receptive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
Sex partner(s) who injected drugs or other substances.		
Partner(s): (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Sexual activity: (mark all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Frequency of barrier use: Never Sometimes Always
<input type="checkbox"/> (0) no partners	<input type="checkbox"/> Oral	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (1) one or more	<input type="checkbox"/> Vaginal	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (*) declined/refused	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal insertive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal receptive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
HIV infected sex partner(s).		
Partner(s): (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Sexual activity: (mark all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Frequency of barrier use: Never Sometimes Always
<input type="checkbox"/> (0) no partners	<input type="checkbox"/> Oral	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (1) one or more	<input type="checkbox"/> Vaginal	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (*) declined/refused	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal insertive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal receptive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> Mark <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> if client knew partner's HIV positive status prior to sexual contact.		
(Females Only) Male partner(s) who has had sex with a male.		
Partner(s): (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Sexual activity: (mark all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Frequency of barrier use: Never Sometimes Always
<input type="checkbox"/> (0) no partners	<input type="checkbox"/> Oral	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (1) one or more	<input type="checkbox"/> Vaginal	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)
<input type="checkbox"/> (*) declined/refused	<input type="checkbox"/> Anal receptive	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) <input type="checkbox"/> (2) <input type="checkbox"/> (3)

Optional Data

Item 1: _____
Item 2: _____
Item 3: _____
Item 4: _____

Disclosure Counseling = MSM or Grey Box

DHS 8458 (11/1/2000)

Drug and Substance Use History (last 2 years/last result)

Drug and substance use.		<input type="checkbox"/> (*) declined/refused			
Drugs & substances used: (mark all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)	Injected: (mark if yes)	Frequency used with sex: Never Rarely Sometimes Usually			
<input type="checkbox"/> alcohol		<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> marijuana		<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> heroin, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) yes	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> barbiturate	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) yes	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> tranquilizers	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) yes	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> crack		<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> amphetamine	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) yes	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> cocaine	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) yes	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> nitrate/nitrite		<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> ecstasy	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) yes	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> PCP		<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> hallucinogens		<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (4)
<input type="checkbox"/> other, specify: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> (1) yes	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (4)
Injected drugs or other substances.					
Shared needles: (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)		Needle exchange: (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)			
<input type="checkbox"/> (1) Never		<input type="checkbox"/> (1) Never			
<input type="checkbox"/> (2) Sometimes		<input type="checkbox"/> (2) Sometimes			
<input type="checkbox"/> (3) Always		<input type="checkbox"/> (3) Always			
<input type="checkbox"/> Mark <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> if shared needles with a known HIV positive partner.		<input type="checkbox"/> (4) Not available in client's area			
Cleaned works: (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)		IDU treatment history: (mark one <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)			
<input type="checkbox"/> (1) Never		<input type="checkbox"/> (1) Never			
<input type="checkbox"/> (2) Sometimes		<input type="checkbox"/> (2) Within last 2 years/last result			
<input type="checkbox"/> (3) Always		<input type="checkbox"/> (3) Prior to last 2 years/last result			
Other Risk History (last 2 years/last result)					
Hepatitis and STD History.		<input type="checkbox"/> (*) declined/refused			
STDs/Hepatitis: (mark all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)					
<input type="checkbox"/> no STDs/Hepatitis		<input type="checkbox"/> genital/anal warts (HPV)			
<input type="checkbox"/> syphilis (syph, the pox, lues)		<input type="checkbox"/> genital herpes (HSV)			
<input type="checkbox"/> gonorrhea (GC, clap, drip)		<input type="checkbox"/> hepatitis B (HBV)			
<input type="checkbox"/> chlamydia		<input type="checkbox"/> hepatitis C (HCV)			
<input type="checkbox"/> trichomoniasis (trich)		<input type="checkbox"/> other, specify: _____			
Other risk factors. (mark <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> , if appropriate) Declined/refused					
<input type="checkbox"/> Received money/other items or services for sex.					<input type="checkbox"/> (*)
<input type="checkbox"/> Received drugs for sex.					<input type="checkbox"/> (*)
<input type="checkbox"/> Behavior resulting in other blood to blood contact (SM, tattooing, piercing, cuts, etc.) or that allows blood contact with mouth, vagina or anus.					<input type="checkbox"/> (*)
<input type="checkbox"/> Shared objects/fingers inserted in mouth, vagina or anus.					<input type="checkbox"/> (*)
<input type="checkbox"/> Blood to blood exposure on the job.					<input type="checkbox"/> (*)
<input type="checkbox"/> Job exposure blood known to be HIV+.					<input type="checkbox"/> (*)
<input type="checkbox"/> Blood/blood product transfusion before 1985 (or in a country where blood is/was not tested for HIV).					<input type="checkbox"/> (*)
<input type="checkbox"/> Child born of an HIV-infected woman.					<input type="checkbox"/> (*)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other behavior, specify: _____					

Counselor: Review/Assess Drug and STD Issues

- ☐ Prevention/harm reduction/safer sex with IDUs.
- ☐ Demonstrate proper needle cleaning.
- ☐ Encourage and support substance abuse treatment and recovery.
- ☐ Alcohol/drug use with sex as co-factor for HIV risk.
- ☐ Other sexual behaviors affecting STDs (eg, rimming).
- ☐ Presence of STDs as a co-factor for HIV risk.
- ☐ Health effects of concurrent STD/HIV (eg, pelvic inflammatory disease).

Counselor: Review/Assess Basic Issues

- ☐ Cultural/peer influences.
- ☐ Demonstrate proper condom & barrier use.
- ☐ Role-play with client to build needed skills.
- ☐ Discuss safer sex guidelines.
- ☐ Partner risks as they relate to client risk.
- ☐ Communication of HIV risk reduction with partner.
- ☐ Domestic violence/sexual assault.
- ☐ Voluntary PCRS/partner notification.
- ☐ Discuss obstacles to condom/barrier use.
- ☐ Pregnancy/maternal transmission (utero, birth, breastfeed).
- ☐ Integration of birth control and risk reduction.

