

## Calendar Year (CY) 2014 HIV Prevention Allocations with Updated 2011 Epidemiological Data

Local Health Jurisdiction (LHJ) by Prevalence	CY 2013 Total Allocation before Sequestration*	CY 2014 Total Allocation**	CY 2014 Change from CY 2013
San Diego	\$ 1,885,890.00	\$ 1,832,360.00	-2.84%
Orange	\$ 1,120,718.00	\$ 1,012,569.00	-9.65%
Alameda	\$ 921,666.00	\$ 911,326.00	-1.12%
Riverside	\$ 962,736.00	\$ 865,338.00	-10.12%
San Bernardino	\$ 691,459.00	\$ 661,217.00	-4.37%
Sacramento	\$ 642,604.00	\$ 618,880.00	-3.69%
Santa Clara	\$ 521,017.00	\$ 509,846.00	-2.14%
Contra Costa	\$ 399,705.00	\$ 379,345.00	-5.09%
Fresno	\$ 302,825.00	\$ 296,685.00	-2.03%
San Joaquin	\$ 235,988.00	\$ 213,986.00	-9.32%
Kern	\$ 230,630.00	\$ 207,314.00	-10.11%
Sonoma	\$ 218,557.00	\$ 188,194.00	-13.89%
Solano	\$ 182,852.00	\$ 168,305.00	-7.96%
Ventura	\$ 170,204.00	\$ 157,137.00	-7.68%
Monterey	\$ 124,674.00	\$ 112,217.00	-9.99%
Stanislaus	\$ 123,814.00	\$ 110,516.00	-10.74%
Santa Barbara	\$ 108,966.00	\$ 94,905.00	-12.90%
Santa Cruz	\$ 85,066.00	\$ 69,593.00	-18.19%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 8,929,371.00</b>	<b>\$ 8,409,733.00</b>	<b>-5.82%</b>

**\*Calendar Year 2013 allocation totals reflect the following:**

1. The Local Assistance Allocation for Calendar Year (CY) 2013 includes both Base Funding, which was determined using the HIV Prevention Branch allocation formula, and Partner Services funding, which was allocated using a tiered system that funded according to local capacity to provide services.
2. January – June funding was not subject to sequestration-related budget cuts.
3. An annualized 5.2% sequestration cut was applied to the Base Funding allocation for the July – Dec 2013 time period. *The green column in this chart reflects the CY 2013 total allocation before sequestration.*
4. Partner Services funding for CY 2013 was not subject to sequestration-related budget cuts.

**\*\*Calendar Year 2014 allocation totals reflect the following:**

1. The Local Assistance Allocation for CY 2014 includes both Base Funding, which was determined using the same HIV Prevention Branch allocation formula as in 2013, and Partner Services funding.
2. OA used 2011 epidemiological data, the most recent data available, to calculate allocation amounts.
3. A 5.8% sequestration cut was applied to both the Base Funding and the Partner Services total funding amount prior to allocating to the local health jurisdictions.
4. Partner Services funding was determined by applying the same formula that was used for Base Funding. A tiered approach was not applied to Partner Services funding for CY 2014.

Long Beach is funded through the Los Angeles project area and is therefore not included in the table above.

More information about the OA HIV Prevention Branch funding formula is available at:

<http://www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/aids/Pages/OAContractHome.aspx>.

## CY 2014 HIV Prevention Allocations Detail - Base Funding

Local Health Jurisdiction (LHJ) by Prevalence	CY 2013 Base Allocation before Sequestration	CY 2014 Base Allocation	Base Difference	CY 2014 Base Change from 2013
San Diego	\$ 1,769,190	\$ 1,682,842	\$ (86,348)	-4.88%
Orange	\$ 1,004,018	\$ 930,179	\$ (73,839)	-7.35%
Alameda	\$ 892,491	\$ 837,100	\$ (55,391)	-6.21%
Riverside	\$ 846,036	\$ 794,602	\$ (51,434)	-6.08%
San Bernardino	\$ 662,284	\$ 607,235	\$ (55,049)	-8.31%
Sacramento	\$ 584,254	\$ 568,585	\$ (15,669)	-2.68%
Santa Clara	\$ 491,842	\$ 468,238	\$ (23,604)	-4.80%
Contra Costa	\$ 370,530	\$ 348,377	\$ (22,153)	-5.98%
Fresno	\$ 273,650	\$ 272,300	\$ (1,350)	-0.49%
San Joaquin	\$ 206,813	\$ 196,617	\$ (10,196)	-4.93%
Kern	\$ 201,455	\$ 190,397	\$ (11,058)	-5.49%
Sonoma	\$ 189,382	\$ 172,922	\$ (16,460)	-8.69%
Solano	\$ 168,264	\$ 154,531	\$ (13,733)	-8.16%
Ventura	\$ 155,616	\$ 144,352	\$ (11,264)	-7.24%
Monterey	\$ 110,086	\$ 103,121	\$ (6,965)	-6.33%
Stanislaus	\$ 109,226	\$ 101,554	\$ (7,672)	-7.02%
Santa Barbara	\$ 94,378	\$ 87,202	\$ (7,176)	-7.60%
Santa Cruz	\$ 70,478	\$ 63,966	\$ (6,512)	-9.24%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 8,199,993</b>	<b>\$ 7,724,120</b>	<b>\$ (475,873)</b>	<b>-5.803%</b>

The orange column in this chart reflects the CY 2014 total allocation including a sequestration cut of 5.8%.

Individual LHJ funding reductions differ due to differences in local epidemiological data.

## CY 2014 HIV Prevention Allocations Detail – Partner Services Funding

Local Health Jurisdiction (LHJ) by Prevalence	2013 Partner Services Allocation	2014 Partner Services Allocation	Partner Services Difference	2014 Partner Services Change from 2013
San Diego	\$116,700	\$ 149,518	\$ 32,818	28.12%
Orange	\$116,700	\$ 82,390	\$ (34,310)	-29.40%
Alameda	\$29,175	\$ 74,226	\$ 45,051	154.42%
Riverside	\$116,700	\$ 70,736	\$ (45,964)	-39.39%
San Bernardino	\$29,175	\$ 53,982	\$ 24,807	85.03%
Sacramento	\$58,350	\$ 50,295	\$ (8,055)	-13.80%
Santa Clara	\$29,175	\$ 41,608	\$ 12,433	42.62%
Contra Costa	\$29,175	\$ 30,968	\$ 1,793	6.15%
Fresno	\$29,175	\$ 24,385	\$ (4,790)	-16.42%
San Joaquin	\$29,175	\$ 17,369	\$ (11,806)	-40.47%
Kern	\$29,175	\$ 16,917	\$ (12,258)	-42.02%
Sonoma	\$29,175	\$ 15,272	\$ (13,903)	-47.65%
Solano	\$14,588	\$ 13,774	\$ (814)	-5.58%
Ventura	\$14,588	\$ 12,785	\$ (1,803)	-12.36%
Monterey	\$14,588	\$ 9,096	\$ (5,492)	-37.65%
Stanislaus	\$14,588	\$ 8,962	\$ (5,626)	-38.57%
Santa Barbara	\$14,588	\$ 7,703	\$ (6,885)	-47.20%
Santa Cruz	\$14,588	\$ 5,627	\$ (8,961)	-61.43%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$729,378</b>	<b>\$ 685,613</b>	<b>\$ (43,765)</b>	<b>-6.00%</b>

*The orange column in this chart reflects the CY 2014 Partner Services total allocation including a sequestration cut of 6%.*

Individual LHJ funding reductions differ in CY 2014 because Partner Services funding is now incorporated into the base funding amount, and is determined by applying the same formula that is used for Base Funding. In prior years (CY 2012 and 2013), the Partner Services program was funded separately from other prevention services and funds were allocated using a tiered system that took into account local capacity to provide services. LHJs that had lower capacity to provide Partner Services locally—where state Disease Investigation Specialists provided the services--received lower levels of funding for their local programs.

All Prevention funded-LHJs now have the capacity to conduct Partner Services locally. Incorporating Partner Services funding into the base funding amount allows LHJs the flexibility to determine how much they should spend on such activities, and to balance those needs with other local priorities.