

**Fiscal Year 2015-16**  
**Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Program**

**Funding Allocation Process**

The Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) program is funded through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) based on an annual formula allocation process. The California Department of Public Health, Center for Infectious Diseases, Office of AIDS (OA) assumes the grant administration responsibilities as the State of California (State) HOPWA grantee.

HOPWA Funding Reduction

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2015-16 available State HOPWA funds are four percent less than FY 2014-15. OA allocated a total of \$3,459,217 to local government agencies and non-profit community-based organizations to provide HOPWA services.

Non-Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Areas (non-EMSA)

Counties in California that are not eligible to receive HOPWA funding directly from HUD include 41 non-EMSA's. OA utilizes an allocation formula and applies additional stabilization measures as needed to minimize disproportionate changes in county allocations.

The allocation formula is based on reported HIV and AIDS cases by county as of June 30, 2013 (amended in FY 2011-12 to add HIV cases and eliminate HIV/AIDS cases reported from prisons).

OA applied the following stabilization measures:

- 1) Hold Harmless (HH): OA held counties harmless at no less than 96 percent of the FY 2014-15 county allocations.
- 2) Fiscal Adjustment (FA): Del Norte and Stanislaus counties reported significantly less need over the past two years and therefore received 85 percent of their FY 2014-15 allocations.
- 3) Household Displacement Prevention (HDP): Marin County only provides tenant based rental assistance and was flat funded to prevent household displacement.

Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Area (EMSA)

EMSA's are counties in California that are eligible to receive HOPWA funding directly from HUD.

OA assumed grantee responsibility for the Bakersfield EMSA and allocated \$371,645 based on HUD's direct allocation. OA previously assumed grantee responsibility for Fresno EMSA and is required to administer and allocate unspent and uncommitted funds from prior year grants. In FY 2015-16, OA will allocate \$135,000 to the Fresno County Public Health Department.

Column (1)	Column (2)	Column (3)	Column (4)	Column (5)	Column (6)	Column (7)	Column (8)
Jurisdiction	FY 14/15 Allocation	FY 15/16 Allocation Based on Formula of HIV and AIDS Cases as of June 30, 2013	Base Formula Allocation as a % of FY 14/15 Allocation	Stabilization Measures - HH @ 96% of FY 14/15 85% FA & 100% HDP	Final FY 15/16 Allocation	FY 15/16 Allocation as a % of FY 14/15 Allocation	Stabilization Measure Description
1 Alpine	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	-	-	No Cases
2 Amador	\$ 10,821	\$ 10,431	96.40%	\$ (433)	\$ 10,388	96%	HH
3 Butte	\$ 59,516	\$ 63,965	107.48%	\$ (2,381)	\$ 57,135	96%	HH
4 Calaveras	\$ 4,809	\$ 9,334	194.09%	\$ (192)	\$ 4,617	96%	HH
5 Colusa	\$ 1,804	\$ 1,373	76.11%	\$ (75)	\$ 1,729	96%	HH
6 Del Norte	\$ 9,595	\$ 5,765	60.08%	\$ (1,439)	\$ 8,156	85%	FA
7 Glenn	\$ 4,930	\$ 3,843	77.95%	\$ (205)	\$ 4,725	96%	HH
8 Humboldt	\$ 46,866	\$ 45,572	97.24%	\$ (1,875)	\$ 44,991	96%	HH
9 Imperial	\$ 65,528	\$ 64,790	98.87%	\$ (2,621)	\$ 62,907	96%	HH
10 Inyo	\$ 3,666	\$ 3,843	104.83%	\$ (147)	\$ 3,519	96%	HH
11 Kings	\$ 56,216	\$ 38,984	69.35%	\$ (2,333)	\$ 53,883	96%	HH
12 Lake	\$ 28,291	\$ 26,630	94.13%	\$ (1,174)	\$ 27,117	96%	HH
13 Lassen	\$ 15,133	\$ 5,765	38.10%	\$ (628)	\$ 14,505	96%	HH
14 Madera County	\$ 52,602	\$ 40,631	77.24%	\$ (2,183)	\$ 50,419	96%	HH
15 Marin	\$ 294,000	\$ 294,000	100.00%	\$ -	\$ 294,000	100%	HDP
16 Mariposa	\$ 4,208	\$ 6,314	150.05%	\$ (168)	\$ 4,040	96%	HH
17 Mendocino	\$ 34,220	\$ 48,318	141.20%	\$ (1,369)	\$ 32,851	96%	HH
18 Merced	\$ 43,643	\$ 51,338	117.63%	\$ (1,746)	\$ 41,897	96%	HH
19 Modoc	\$ 301	\$ 549	182.39%	\$ (12)	\$ 289	96%	HH
20 Mono	\$ 1,456	\$ 549	37.71%	\$ (60)	\$ 1,396	96%	HH
21 Monterey	\$ 183,723	\$ 174,877	95.19%	\$ (7,625)	\$ 176,098	96%	HH
22 Napa	\$ 60,418	\$ 65,888	109.05%	\$ (2,417)	\$ 58,001	96%	HH
23 Nevada	\$ 25,978	\$ 21,414	82.43%	\$ (1,078)	\$ 24,900	96%	HH
24 Plumas	\$ 2,705	\$ 2,196	81.18%	\$ (112)	\$ 2,593	96%	HH
25 San Joaquin	\$ 302,952	\$ 311,320	102.76%	\$ (12,118)	\$ 290,834	96%	HH
26 San Luis Obispo	\$ 131,987	\$ 120,520	91.31%	\$ (5,478)	\$ 126,509	96%	HH
27 Santa Barbara	\$ 158,108	\$ 152,091	96.19%	\$ (6,324)	\$ 151,784	96%	HH
28 Santa Cruz	\$ 132,257	\$ 109,264	82.61%	\$ (5,489)	\$ 126,768	96%	HH
29 Shasta	\$ 36,972	\$ 58,201	157.42%	\$ (1,479)	\$ 35,493	96%	HH
30 Sierra	\$ 301	\$ 549	182.39%	\$ (12)	\$ 289	96%	HH
31 Siskiyou	\$ 9,318	\$ 8,511	91.34%	\$ (387)	\$ 8,931	96%	HH
32 Solano	\$ 351,557	\$ 311,045	88.48%	\$ (14,590)	\$ 336,967	96%	HH
33 Sonoma	\$ 385,350	\$ 358,265	92.97%	\$ (15,992)	\$ 369,358	96%	HH
34 Stanislaus	\$ 165,923	\$ 147,424	88.85%	\$ (24,888)	\$ 141,035	85%	FA
35 Sutter	\$ 20,139	\$ 20,041	99.51%	\$ (806)	\$ 19,333	96%	HH
36 Tehama	\$ 10,220	\$ 11,805	115.51%	\$ (409)	\$ 9,811	96%	HH
37 Trinity	\$ 1,804	\$ 3,569	197.84%	\$ (72)	\$ 1,732	96%	HH
38 Tulare	\$ 82,360	\$ 80,987	98.33%	\$ (3,294)	\$ 79,066	96%	HH
39 Tuolumne	\$ 14,428	\$ 10,158	70.40%	\$ (599)	\$ 13,829	96%	HH
40 Ventura	\$ 259,706	\$ 250,374	96.41%	\$ (10,388)	\$ 249,318	96%	HH
41 Yuba	\$ 11,832	\$ 12,079	102.09%	\$ (473)	\$ 11,359	96%	HH
<b>Total Non-EMSA's</b>	\$ 3,085,643	\$ 2,952,572		\$ (133,071)	\$ 2,952,572		