



# California Department of Public Health Influenza Surveillance Program

# California Influenza and Other Respiratory Disease Surveillance for Week 2 (January 11, 2015 to January 17, 2015)

Note: This report includes data from many sources of influenza surveillance and it should be viewed as a preliminary "snapshot" of influenza activity for each surveillance week. Because data are preliminary, the information may be updated in later reports as additional data are received. These data should not be considered population-based or representative of all California public health jurisdictions.

# Overall influenza activity in California was "widespread\*" during Week 2.

#### **Influenza Report Highlights**

- Overall influenza activity in California continues to be at high levels
- Outpatient influenza-like illness (ILI)
  - 3.8% of patient visits during Week 2 were for ILI, which is lower than Week 1 (4.1%); the
    percentage of outpatient visits for ILI remains above the epidemic threshold for this time of
    year
- Hospitalization data
  - 7.8% of Kaiser patients hospitalized during Week 2 were admitted with a pneumonia and/or influenza (P&I) diagnosis, which is lower than Week 1 (8.2%); the percentage of P&I admissions remains above the epidemic threshold for this time of year
- Influenza virus detections by Respiratory Laboratory Network and Sentinel Laboratories
  - o 1376 (28%) of 4921 specimens tested were positive for influenza during Week 2, which is higher compared to Week 1 (25%)
  - Influenza A (H3) and influenza B viruses continue to be detected more than influenza 2009 A (H1) viruses
    - Nationally, 35% of influenza A (H3) viruses strain characterized match the 2014–2015 influenza vaccine component as of Week 1. The drifted influenza A (H3) virus, A/Switzerland/9715293/2013-Like (H3N2), is circulating in California
- Influenza-associated deaths among patients 0–64 years of age
  - o Three laboratory-confirmed influenza deaths were reported during Week 2
- Influenza-associated outbreaks
  - o 15 laboratory-confirmed influenza outbreaks were reported during Week 2
- For the Centers for <u>Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) definitions of influenza geographic distribution</u>, please go to <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/flu/weekly/overview.htm">http://www.cdc.gov/flu/weekly/overview.htm</a>.


## A. Outpatient and Inpatient Data

#### 1. Influenza Sentinel Providers

Sentinel providers (physicians, nurse practitioners, and physician assistants) situated throughout California report on a weekly basis the number of patients seen with influenza-like illness (ILI) and the total number of patients seen for any reason. ILI is defined as any illness with fever (≥100°F or 37.8°C) AND cough and/or sore throat (in the absence of a known cause other than influenza).

A total of 80 enrolled sentinel providers have reported data for Week 2. Based on available data, the percentage of visits for ILI in Week 2 (3.8%) exceeds the epidemic threshold (3.7%) for this time of year (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Percentage of Influenza-like Illness Visits Among Patients Seen by California Sentinel Providers, 2010–2015




The seasonal baseline was calculated using a regression model applied to data from the previous eight years. The epidemic threshold is two standard deviations above the seasonal baseline and is the point at which the observed percentage of ILI is significantly higher than would be expected at that time of the year.

# 2. Kaiser Permanente Hospitalization Data

Inpatients at Kaiser Permanente facilities with an admission diagnosis including the keywords "flu," "influenza," "pneumonia," or variants of the keywords are defined as pneumonia and influenza (P&I)-related admissions. The number of P&I admissions is divided by the total number of hospital admissions occurring in the same time period to estimate the percentage of P&I admissions. Admissions for pregnancy, labor and delivery, birth, and outpatient procedures are excluded from the denominator.

The percentage of hospitalizations for pneumonia and influenza (P&I) in Kaiser Permanente facilities in northern and southern California during Week 2 was 7.8% which decreased from Week 1 (8.2%) and exceeds the epidemic threshold (6.5%) for this time of the year (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Percentage of P&I Hospitalizations in Kaiser Permanente Northern and Southern California Hospitals, 2010–2015



The seasonal baseline was calculated using a regression model applied to data from the previous six years. The epidemic threshold is two standard deviations above the seasonal baseline and is the point at which the observed percentage of pneumonia and influenza hospitalizations in Kaiser Permanente hospitals in northern California is significantly higher than would be expected at that time of the year.

# 3. Influenza-Associated Hospitalizations, California Emerging Infections Program


The California Emerging Infections Program (CEIP), Influenza Surveillance Network (FluSurv-NET) conducts population-based surveillance for laboratory-confirmed influenza-associated hospitalizations among patients of all ages in Alameda, Contra Costa, and San Francisco counties.

The incidence of influenza-associated hospitalizations per 100,000 population increased in Week 1 (2.8) compared to Week 53 (1.4) (Figure 3). Data for Week 2 are not presented because results are still being collected and are likely to change. To date this season, the highest rate of hospitalization is among older adults age 64 and over, followed by children age 0-4 years (Figure 4).

7.0 -2013-2014 -2012-2013 ---2014-2015 incidence of infleunza hospitalizations per 100,000 5.0 4.0 2.0 1.0 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr

Figure 3. Incidence of Influenza Hospitalizations in CEIP Counties, 2012–2015





## B. Laboratory Update - Influenza

#### 1. Respiratory Laboratory Network (RLN) and Sentinel Laboratory Surveillance Results

Laboratory surveillance for influenza and other respiratory viruses involves the use of data from hospital, academic, private and public health laboratories located throughout California. These laboratories report the number of laboratory-confirmed influenza and other respiratory virus detections and isolations on a weekly basis.

The percentage of influenza detections in the RLN and sentinel laboratories in Week 2 was 28%, which was higher compared to Week 1 (25%) (Figure 5). Additional details can be found in Figure 6 and Table 1.

Neither the RLN nor CDPH-VRDL have identified any influenza viruses by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) typing or subtyping that are suggestive of a novel influenza virus.

Figure 5. Percentage of Influenza Detections in Respiratory Laboratory Network and Sentinel Laboratories, 2010–2015




Figure 6. Number of Influenza Detections by Type and Subtype Detected in Respiratory Laboratory Network and Sentinel Laboratories, 2014–2015




Table 1. Respiratory Specimens Testing Positive for Influenza by Influenza Type and Subtype — Respiratory Laboratory Network and Sentinel Laboratories, Current Week and Season to Date

	Week 2		Season to Date	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Number of Specimens Tested	4921		34,198	
<b>Number of Specimens Positive for Influenza</b>	1376	28.0*	4962	14.5*
Influenza Type/Subtype of Positive				
Specimens				
A	1319	95.9 <sup>†</sup>	4622	93.1 <sup>†</sup>
2009 A (H1)	0	$0.0^{\ddagger}$	6	0.1‡
A (H3)	217	16.5 <sup>‡</sup>	1230	26.6 <sup>‡</sup>
A, not subtyped	1102	83.5 <sup>‡</sup>	3386	73.3 <sup>‡</sup>
В	57	4.1 <sup>†</sup>	340	6.9 <sup>†</sup>

<sup>\*</sup> Percent of total specimens tested for influenza

#### 2. Antiviral Resistance Testing

The California Department of Public Health Viral and Rickettsial Disease Laboratory (CDPH-VRDL) has tested forty-one A (H3) influenza specimens and seven influenza B specimens for antiviral resistance (AVR) to date on samples collected since October 2014. No specimens were found to be resistant to oseltamivir.

#### C. Laboratory-Confirmed Severe Influenza Case Reports

Currently, as mandated under Section 2500 of the California Code of Regulations, deaths among patients aged 0–64 years with laboratory-confirmed influenza are reportable to CDPH.

In Week 2, three laboratory-confirmed influenza deaths were reported to CDPH. To date, five laboratory-confirmed influenza deaths have been reported for the 2014–2015 influenza season.

#### **D. Influenza-Associated Outbreaks**

CDPH received reports of 15 laboratory-confirmed influenza outbreaks during Week 2. These occurred in congregate care facilities located in the lower Southern, upper Southern, and Bay Area regions of California. To date for the 2014-2015 season, there have been 33 laboratory-confirmed influenza outbreaks reported to CDPH.

#### E. California Border Region Influenza Surveillance Network Data

The border influenza surveillance network is comprised of outpatient provider sentinel sites whose geographical coverage extends approximately 100 kilometers (60 miles) north of the California-Baja California border and includes Imperial and San Diego Counties, as well as some parts of Riverside County.


#### 1. Syndromic Surveillance Update

A total of 8 border region sentinel providers reported data during Week 2, compared to 14 during Week 1

<sup>†</sup> Percent of specimens positive for influenza


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Percent of influenza A positives

of 2015. The total number of patients screened by all sentinel sites for ILI during Week 2 was 3,363. Outpatient ILI activity decreased by 2.0% from Week 1 (3.7% ILI) to Week 2 (1.7% ILI). ILI activity for the California border region was higher for Week 2 when compared to activity for the same weeks during 2012–2013, but lower during 2013-2014 influenza seasons (Figure 1). All influenza syndromic data summarized for the border region represents a subset of CDC influenza sentinel providers in California.



#### 2. <u>Virologic Surveillance Update</u>

Cumulatively this season, a total of 2,650 respiratory specimens have been tested from border region clinics; of these, 437 (16.5%) tested positive for influenza. Of the 437 specimens that have tested positive, 393 (89.9%) were influenza A and 44 (10.1%) were influenza B. Of the 393 specimens that tested positive for influenza A, 146 (37.2%) were A (H3), 1 (0.3%) was 2009 A (H1), and 246 (62.6%) had no further subtyping performed. For Week 2, a total of 343 respiratory specimens were submitted for testing; 76 (22.2%) were positive for influenza virus. Of the positive specimens, 68 (89.5%) were influenza A and 8 (10.5%) were influenza B. Of the 68 specimens that tested positive for influenza A, 3 (3.9%) were A (H3) and 65 (85.5%) had no further subtyping performed. Laboratory data summarized in Figure 2 includes data from influenza sentinel sites as well as laboratory data from other border region laboratories.



# F. Laboratory Update - Other Respiratory Viruses

During Week 2, there were 2932 specimens tested for RSV and 541(18.5%) were positive, which is higher compared to Week 1 (16.9%) (Figure 7). Information on other respiratory viruses can be found in Figure 8.

Figure 7. Percentage of RSV Detections in Respiratory Laboratory Network and Sentinel Laboratories, 2010–2015





Figure 8. Percentage of Other Respiratory Pathogen Detections in Respiratory Laboratory Network and Sentinel Laboratories, 2014–2015



For questions regarding influenza surveillance and reporting in California, please email <a href="mailto:InfluenzaSurveillance@cdph.ca.gov">InfluenzaSurveillance@cdph.ca.gov</a>. This account is monitored daily by several epidemiologists.

For more information regarding the different influenza surveillance data sources, please visit the CDPH Influenza Surveillance Program

To obtain additional information regarding influenza, please visit the CDPH influenza website

Download a copy of the case report form for reporting any laboratory-confirmed influenza case that was either admitted to the ICU or died