FORM APPROVED California Department of Public Health STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X3) DATE SURVEY (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION AND PLAN OF CORRECTION COMPLETED IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: A. BUILDING B. WING CA230000261 11/11/2010 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 726 4TH ST RIDEOUT MEMORIAL HOSPITAL MARYSVILLE, CA 95901 SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X5) COMPLETE (X4) ID (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE PREFIX PREFIX CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DATE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) TAG TAG DEFICIENCY) A 000 A 000 Initial Comments **AMENDED** The following reflects the findings of the California Department of Public Health during the investigation of an entity reported incident. Entity reported incident: 234147 The inspection was limited to the specific entity reported incident investigated and does not represent the findings of a full inspection of the facility. Representing the Department: 27519, HFEN A deficiency was written at A017 for the entity reported incident: A 001 Informed Medical Breach A 001 Health and Safety Code Section 1280.15 (b)(2), " A clinic, health facility, agency, or hospice shall also report any unlawful or unauthorized access to, or use or disclosure of, a patient's medical information to the affected patient or the patient's representative at the last known address, no later than five business days after the unlawful or unauthorized access, use, or disclosure has been detected by the clinic, health facility, agency, or hospice." The CDPH verified that the facility informed the affected patient(s) or the patient's representative(s) of the unlawful or unauthorized access, use or disclosure of the patient's medical information.

Licensing and Certification Division

Patient 1

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

W1XC11

If continuation sheet 1 of 7

FORM APPROVED California Department of Public Health (X3) DATE SURVEY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION COMPLETED AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: A. BUILDING B. WING CA230000261 11/11/2010 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 726 4TH ST RIDEOUT MEMORIAL HOSPITAL MARYSVILLE, CA 95901 PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X5) COMPLETE ID (X4) ID (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PREFIX PREFIX DATE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE TAG TAG DEFICIENCY) A 017 A 017 1280.15(a) Health & Safety Code 1280 (a) A clinic, health facility, home health agency, or hospice licensed pursuant to Section 1204, 1250, 1725, or 1745 shall prevent unlawful or unauthorized access to, and use or disclosure of, patients' medical information, as defined in subdivision (g) of Section 56.05 of the Civil Code and consistent with Section 130203. The department, after investigation, may assess an administrative penalty for a violation of this section of up to twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) per patient whose medical information was unlawfully or without authorization accessed, used, or disclosed, and up to seventeen thousand five hundred dollars (\$17,500) per subsequent occurrence of unlawful or unauthorized access, use, or disclosure of that patients' medical information. For purposes of the investigation, the department shall consider the clinic's, health facility's, agency's, or hospice's history of compliance with this section and other related state and federal statutes and regulations, the extent to which the facility detected violations and took preventative action to immediately correct and prevent past violations from recurring, and factors outside its control that restricted the facility's ability to comply with this section. The department shall have full discretion to consider all factors when determining the amount of an administrative penalty pursuant to this section. This Statute is not met as evidenced by: Based on interview and record review, the facility failed to safeguard personal health information from unauthorized access and disclosure for Patient 1.

Licensing and Certification Division

Findings:

In a letter to the Department, dated

STATE FORM

W1XC11

If continuation sheet 2 of 7



STATEMENT OF DEPICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION A BULIDING BURNO CA230000261 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE TAG 4TH 5T TAG TAG 1TH 5T REQUIATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) DUTING an interview with Privacy Staff A on an animal minormation. The caches of the unauthorized staff members. Staff B, Licensed Nurse (LN) D, and LN E accessed Patient 1's EMRK from computers located at nurse's stations in the hospital and viewed his personal health information. LN C, LN F, Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA) G, and CNA H stated that they did not access Patient 1's EMRK from computers located at nurse's stations in the hospital, and this would have allowed anyone to use the computers to access any patient's health information. The information that was disclosed included Patient 1's name, medical record number, radiology (x-ray) and laboratory results. and his condition. Staff b, but he told her that he was from the Ridout Cancer Center. She stated that the while at a wedding she was attending, Staff B approach her and started to discuss Patient 1's hospitalization. She was connected to Patient 1's laboratory results and his condition. She was connected to Patient 1's laboratory results and his was connected to Patient 1's laboratory results and his condition. She was connected to Patient 1's laboratory results and his was connected to Patient 1's laboratory results and his was connected to Patient 1's laboratory results. PAG 1010 Patient 2 PROVIDENTS PLAN OF CORRECTION (Compliance Toxiblated Decided Patient 1's name, medical record number, radiology (x-ray) and laboratory results. PAG 1010 Patient 2 PROVIDENTS PLAN OF CORRECTION (Compliance Toxiblated The CDPH investigation to maximize gathering information in a timely mamber on medical record to the computers that they did not to go ff the computers that they did not access Patient 1's name, medical record number, radiology (x-ray) and laboratory results. PAG 1010 Patient 2 PROVIDENT PLAN OF CORRECTIVE CORRECTIVE CORRECTIVE ACCESS TO PROVIDENT PLAN	Californi	a Department of Pul	olic nealth											
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER RIDEOUT MEMORIAL HOSPITAL A 017 Continued From page 2 facility reported unauthorized access of Patient 1's personal medical information. Patient 1 was a member of the medical staff and because of his professional relationship with the facility he was known by the staff. The facility became aware of the unauthorized access to Patient 1's personal medical information on reported by Patient 1's family member to Nurse Administrator K. During an interview with Privacy Staff A on 10 at 1.30 pm, she confirmed that between 10 at 1.30 pm, she confirmed that between 110 at 1.30 pm, she confirmed that they did not access Patient 1's EMB, but they also stated that they did not access Patient 1's EMB, but they also stated that they did not access father 115 EMB, but they also stated that they did not access father 115 EMB, but they also stated that they did not access father 115 EMB, subtree 110 at 12.45 pm, she stated that they did not access father 115 EMB, subtree 110 at 12.45 pm, she stated that they did not log off the computers to access any patient's health information that was disclosed included Patient 1's family member on 110 at 12.45 pm, she stated that he ask from the Rideout Cancer Center. She stated that he talked abou					A MARINE W		COMPLETED							
IDEOUT MEMORIAL HOSPITAL X20 D			CA230000261	*	B. WING _									
MARYSVILLE, CA 95901 SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MIST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) PREFIX TAG A 017 Continued From page 2 facility reported unauthorized access of Patient 1's personal medical information. Patient 1 was a member of the medical staff and because of his professional relationship with the facility he was known by the staff. The facility he was reported by Patient 1's family member to Nurse Administrator K. During an interview with Privacy Staff A on 10 at 1:30 pm, she confirmed that between 10 at 1:30 pm, she confirmed that between 10 and 10 at 1:30 pm, she confirmed that between 10 and 10 at 1:30 pm, she confirmed that between 10 and 10 and 10 patient 1's family member to Nurse Administrator K. During an interview with Privacy Staff A on 10 at 1:30 pm, she confirmed that between 10 and 10 at 1:30 pm, she confirmed that between 10 and 10 at 1:30 pm, she confirmed that between 10 and 10 at 1:30 pm, she confirmed that between 10 and 10 at 1:30 pm, she confirmed that between 10 and 10 at 1:30 pm, she confirmed that between 10 and 10 at 1:30 pm, she confirmed that between 10 and 10 at 1:30 pm, she confirmed that between 10 and 10 at 1:30 pm, she confirmed that between 10 and 10 at 1:30 pm, she confirmed that the between 10 and 10 patient 1's family member to 10 patient	NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE													
A 017 A 017 Continued From page 2 facility reported unauthorized access of Patient 1's personal medical information. Patient 1 was a member of the medical staff and because of his professional relationship with the facility he was known by the staff. The facility became aware of the unauthorized access to Patient 1's personal medical information on professional relationship with the facility he was known by the staff. The facility became aware of the unauthorized access to Patient 1's personal medical information on professional relationship with the facility he was known by the staff. The facility became aware of the unauthorized access to Patient 1's personal medical information on professional relationship with the facility he was known by the staff. The facility became aware of the unauthorized access to Patient 1's personal medical information on professional relationship with the facility he was known by the staff. The facility became and the requirements of High working with the facility he was known by the staff. The facility became aware of the unauthorized access by Patient 1's learned in the staff of the computers with the stations in the hospital and viewed his personal health information. LN C, LN F, Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA) G, and CNA H stated that they did not a coess Patient 1's Lame, was disclosed included the promote of the computers to access any patients health information. The information that was disclosed included Patient 1's hame, medical record unmber, radiology (x-ray) and laboratory results. During an interview with Patient 1's family member on 100 to the promote of the promote of the computers to access any patients health information. The information that was disclosed included Patient 1's name, medical record unmber, radiology (x-ray) and laboratory results. During an interview with Patient 1's family member on 100 to the promote of the computers that they	RIDEOU	MEMORIAL HOSPIT	ΓAL	726 4TH S MARYSVIL	T LE, CA 95	901								
facility reported unauthorized access of Patient 1's personal medical information. Patient 1 was a member of the medical staff and because of his professional relationship with the facility he was known by the staff. The facility became aware of the unauthorized access to Patient 1's personal medical information on 10 when it was reported by Patient 1's family member to Nurse Administrator K. During an interview with Privacy Staff A on 10 at 1:30 pm, she confirmed that between 10 and 10, Patient 1's electronic medical record (EMR) had been accessed 143 times by seven unauthorized staff members. Staff B, Licensed Nurse (LN) D, and LN E accessed Patient 1's EMR from computers located at nurse's stations in the hospital and viewed his personal health information. LN C, LN F, Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA) G, and CNA H stated that they did not access Patient 1's EMR, but they also stated that they did not log off the computers to access any patient's health information. The information that was disclosed included Patient 1's name, medical record number, account number, radiology (x-ray) and laboratory results. During an interview with Patient 1's family member on 10 at 12:45 pm, she stated that while at a wedding she was attending, Staff B approach her and started to discuss Patient 1's hospitalization. She stated that the did not know Staff B, but he told her that he was from the Rideout Cancer Center. She stated that the talked about Patient 1's laboratory results and his condition. She was concerned as to how Staff B but her told for the notolerance immediate termination policy that was instituted because of this second HIPAA breach. **Involved staff were immediately placed on administrative leave pendiolitors were immediately reinforced with the requirements of HIPAA. **Termination of violators and a no tolerance approach for HIPAA violations was adopted immediately archies for HIPAA. **Termination of violators and a no tolerance medical record the Director of Accreditation and Regulatory Compliance fac	PREFIX	(EACH DEFICIENCY	Y MUST BE PRECEDED BY	FULL	PREFIX	(EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOU CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPR	RECTION (X5) SHOULD BE COMPLE APPROPRIATE DATE							
condition. She was concerned as to how Staff B occur on request of the Director of Risk		SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) Continued From page 2 facility reported unauthorized access of Patient 1's personal medical information. Patient 1 was a member of the medical staff and because of his professional relationship with the facility he was known by the staff. The facility became aware of the unauthorized access to Patient 1's personal medical information on 10 when it was reported by Patient 1's family member to Nurse Administrator K. During an interview with Privacy Staff A on 10 at 1:30 pm, she confirmed that between 10 and 10, Patient 1's electronic medical record (EMR) had been accessed 143 times by seven unauthorized staff members. Staff B, Licensed Nurse (LN) D, and LN E accessed Patient 1's EMR from computers located at nurse's stations in the hospital and viewed his personal health information. LN C, LN F, Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA) G, and CNA H stated that they did not access Patient 1's EMR, but they also stated that they did not log off the computers that they had used, which were located at nurse's stations in the hospital, and this would have allowed anyone to use the computers to access any patient's health information. The information that was disclosed included Patient 1's name, medical record number, account number, radiology (x-ray) and laboratory results. During an interview with Patient 1's family member on 10 at 12:45 pm, she stated that while at a wedding she was attending, Staff B approach her and started to discuss Patient 1's hospitalization. She stated that she did not know Staff B, but he told her that he was from the Rideout Cancer Center. She stated that he talked about Patient 1's laboratory results and his		34007-457	A 017 Corrective Actions Taken: * Involved staff were immediately administrative leave pending involved staff working with suspected victory were immediately reinforced with requirements of HIPAA. * Termination of violators and a mapproach for HIPAA violations with immediately and remains in force and the foliately and the fo	mediately placed on ending investigation. pected violators forced with the A. rs and a no tolerance olations was adopted ins in force. Informed of the no ermination policy cause of this second litation and e facilitated the maximize gathering manner. breach the rector locked the medical records to thorized access. ion of the chart was d from unauthorized ector immediately, were re-educated on this by a newsletter, cussing information performance and ers outside the								
		condition. She was	s concerned as to ho	w Staff B		occur on request of the Directo	, ,							

Licensing and Certification Division STATE FORM

6899 W1XC11

If continuation sheet 3 of 7

SOLL MAY IS PM 2 DH

California Department of Public Health (X3) DATE SURVEY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION COMPLETED AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: A. BUILDING B. WING 11/11/2010 CA230000261 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 726 4TH ST RIDEOUT MEMORIAL HOSPITAL MARYSVILLE, CA 95901 PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X5) COMPLETE ID (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PREFIX PREFIX CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) TAG TAG DEFICIENCY) A 017 A 017 Continued: Continued From page 3 A 017 * Reports generated will be forwarded to the By 6/18/10 the conversation was held in a public area, she and ongoing Privacy Officer for interpretation and became uncomfortable and anxious. immediate action if a breach is detected. Investigation reports will be forwarded to By 6/18/10 During an interview on 110 at 9 am, Staff B the Privacy Officer prior to notification of and ongoing stated he viewed Patient 1's medical information victims to confirm breached content. on a "daily basis." He stated, because he worked with Physician J and Physician J was consulted Persons Responsible: on Patient 1's case and even though he "never * Vice President of Operations received orders to go into the case," he felt that * Privacy Officer he was connected to the case and had a right to * Director of Health Information Management access Patient 1's EMR and review his personal health information even though. He stated that 10 at 8 pm, at a wedding he was attending along with Patient 1's family member, he had a conversation with her and discussed Patient 1's hospitalization and other personal medical information. During an interview on 110 at 1:30 pm, Privacy Staff A stated that if Physician J had required Staff B to do a social service consult on Patient 1, he would have indicated this in his dictation notes. During a record review on 10, Privacy Staff A confirmed that there was no documentation in Patient 1's EMR that indicated Physician J requested a social services consult for Patient 1 from Staff B. The facility's audit log (a record of access for EMRs) for Patient 1's EMR indicated that Staff B accessed Patient 1's EMR 65 times between 10 and /10. Documentation on the audit log for Patient 1 indicated that Staff B did not have authorization to access to Patient 1's EMR. The audit log for Patient 1 indicated that on 10 at 1:45 pm, LN D accessed Patient 1's

Licensing and Certification Division

EMR one time and viewed Patient 1's personal

STATE FORM

W1XC11

6889

If continuation sheet 4 of 7

SOU THE PM 2 OF

Californi	a Department of Put	olic Health		.,	X			
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIES IDENTIFICATION NUM CA230000261			(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING B. WING		- COMPLE	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED C 11/11/2010		
NAME OF P	ROVIDER OR SUPPLIER	GAZGGGGZG.	STREET ADD	RESS, CITY, S	STATE, ZIP CODE			
	T MEMORIAL HOSPIT	ΓAL	726 4TH S					
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)			ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF (EACH CORRECTIVE ACT CROSS-REFERENCED TO DEFICIENCE	(X5) COMPLETE DATE		
A 017	Continued From pa	age 4	11	A 017				
X *	medical information	n. Documentation on dicated that LN D did cess to Patient 1's EM	not have	20 (6)	8 3	,		
	During an interview on stated that she accessed Patient 1's blood gas results out of "curiosity and concern." She stated that she "knew it was wrong," and that she did not share the information with anyone else. The audit log for Patient 1 indicated that on 10 at 4:26 pm, LN E accessed Patient 1's EMR one time and looked at laboratory results. Documentation on the audit log for Patient 1 indicated that LN E did not have authorization to access to Patient 1's EMR.				6 52			
	stated that she acc looked at laborator concerned and cur realized that she sl the results and exit	v on very 110 at 8:30 at the sessed Patient 1's EM by results because she included in the stated that thould not have been been the ted the computer. She causs the results with	R and e was she ooking at e stated		e			
	/10 at 6 pm, LI personal medical in	atient 1 indicated that N C accessed Patient nformation in his EMF laboratory and radiolo	t 1's R two					
	information, but ad the computer which	10 at 8:30 at not view any of Patie Imitted that she did not hould have allowed access Patient 1's EM	nt 1's ot log off other		Di nama di nam			

Licensing and Certification Division STATE FORM

6899 W1XC11

If continuation sheet 5 of 7

011110 pp 0082 F90

SOIL MAY 18 PM 2 CH

California Department of Public Health (X3) DATE SURVEY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION COMPLETED IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: AND PLAN OF CORRECTION A. BUILDING B. WING 11/11/2010 CA230000261 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 726 4TH ST RIDEOUT MEMORIAL HOSPITAL MARYSVILLE, CA 95901 PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X5) COMPLETE SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X4) ID (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PREFIX PREFIX CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DATE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) TAG TAG DEFICIENCY) A 017 A 017 Continued From page 5 The audit log for Patient 1 indicated that on 10 at 3 pm, LN F accessed Patient 1's EMR one time and viewed his laboratory results. During an interview on /10 at 10 am, LN F stated that she did not access Patient 1's EMR but, she left her computer logged-on. She stated that Patient 1 was not assigned to her. She stated that she discussed only information about Patient 1 that was public knowledge. The audit log for Patient 1 indicated that CNA G accessed Patient 1's EMR 70 times between 10 and 10. During an interview on 10 at 2:30 pm, CNA G stated that she did not know Patient 1 and would not have looked at his health information because she had no need. She stated that someone might have access the information through a computer that she did not log off from or that someone knew her password. The audit log for Patient 1 indicated that on /10 at 3:13 pm and on 10 at 4:40 pm, CNA H accessed Patient 1's EMR three separate times. During an interview on 10 at 2:45 pm, CNA H stated that she did not look at Patient 1's chart because there was no reason for her to look. She did not know the patient. She stated that access was gained through a computer that she did not log off. During an interview with Privacy Staff L on /10 at 11:15 am, he stated that the facility's policy was for staff to log off when they leave a computer. Licensing and Certification Division

STATE FORM

W1XC11

If continuation sheet 6 of 7

C. I.I.O CDH2'FFC

SOTI WEALS BY 2 CH.

California Department of Public Health (X3) DATE SURVEY (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA COMPLETED AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: A. BUILDING B. WING 11/11/2010 CA230000261 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER 726 4TH ST RIDEOUT MEMORIAL HOSPITAL MARYSVILLE, CA 95901 PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X5) COMPLETE SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X4) ID PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PREFIX CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DATE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) TAG TAG DEFICIENCY) A 017 Continued From page 6 A 017 Privacy Staff A confirmed that Patient 1 was notified by mail on 110 about the unauthorized accesses to his personal health information.

Licensing and Certification Division

STATE FORM

6899

W1XC11

If continuation sheet 7 of 7

THE THE LEW STAND